

Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

: 1

Physik



ROSETTA PLASMA CONSORTIUM USERS' MANUAL

RO-RPC-UM

Issue 2.18

October 06, 2011

Prepared by: Ingo Richter (MAG-TM) Emanuele Cupido (RPC-TM)



Rev. : 18

ROSETTA - RPC USER MANUAL

Approved by the ESA/ESTEC
Gerhard Schwehm, ROSETTA Project Scientist
Approved by the ESA/ESTEC:
Paolo Ferri, Spacecraft Operations Manager
Approved by the RPC Consortium:
Starch
J.L. Burch, RPC Spokesman



Rosetta RPC-UserManual

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page: 3

Authorised by the ICA Principal Investigator:

R. Lundin, ICA Principal Investigator

Authorised by the IES Principal Investigator:

J.L. Burch, IES Principal Investigator

Authorised by the LAP Principal Investigator:

A. Eriksson, LAP Principal Investigator

Authorised by the MAG Principal Investigator:

K.-H. Glassmeier, MAG Principal Investigator

Authorised by the MIP Principal Investigator:

J.G. Trotignon, MIP Principal Investigator

Authorised by the PIU Principal Investigator:

C.M. Carr, PIU Principal Investigator



Reference : RO-RPC-UM Rev. : 18

Table of Contents

Table of Contents		4
		9
List of Tables		11
Reference Document	ts	14
Acronym List		20
	nge Record	
1 General Descrip	otion	40
1.1 Scientific O	bjectives	40
1.2.1 Instrument 1.2.2 Sensor De 1.2.2.1 Lang 1.2.2.2 Ion a 1.2.2.3 Ion 0 1.2.2.4 Flux 1.2.2.5 Mutt 1.2.2.6 The 2 Experiment Cor 2.1 Physical	t Overview t Overview and Accomodation scriptions gmuir Probe (LAP) and Electron Sensor (IES) Composition Analyser (ICA) gate Magnetometer (MAG) and Impedance Probe (MIP) PIU and Common Electronics Box afiguration	41 42 47 47 52 55 58 60 62 64
2.1.1 RPC-0		65
2.1.2 IES		66
2.1.3 ICA		67
2.1.4 LAP		68
2.1.5 MIP		
2.1.6 MAG 2.1.6.1 Resu	alts of the Boom Alignment Measurement	73 76
2.2 Electrical		70
	erface Requirements	
2.2.1.1 Gene	eral Interface Description	79
2.2.1.2 Pow	er Distribution Block Diagram and Redundancy	80
2.2.1.3 Expe	eriment Power Requirements	82
2.2.1.4 Inter	face Circuits	82
2.2.2 OBDH Int	erface Requirements	83
2.2.2.1 Chai	nnel Allocation	83
2.2.2.2 Bit i	Rate Requirements	84
2.2.2.3 Timi	ing	84
2.2.2.4 Mon	ntoring	84
2.2.2.3 Elec	trical interface Circuits	83
2.2.2.5.1 G	eneral	85
2.2.2.5.2 S	BDL Receiver Circuit Specification	85
2.2.2.5.3 S	BDL Driver Circuit Specification	86
2.2.2.5.4 T	hermistors	
	leaters	
	igh Fower On/Off Command Interface	91 92



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : 18 Issue : 2 : October 06, 2011

: 5

2.3.1 Software	Concept and Functional Requirements	92
2.3.1.1 Sof	tware Overview	92
2.3.1.1.1	PIU	92
2.3.1.1.2	IES	93
2.3.1.1.3	ICA	100
2.3.1.1.4		100
	MIP	102
2.3.1.1.6		103
	C Autonomy Concept	
2.3.1.3 Sof		
2.3.1.4 Bot	tware Storageta Delivery Concept	106
2.3.1.3	Process ID Requirements	106
2.3.1.5.2	Science Data Delivery Concept	107
2.3.1.3.2	Science Data Delivery Concept Housekeeping Data Delivery Concept	107
2.3.1.3.3	Housekeeping Data Denvery Concept	10/
2.3.1.3.4	Use of Event Packets	107
2.3.1.3.3	I iming Requirements	108
2.3.1.6 Cor	ntext Files	109
	efinitions	
2.3.2.1 Pac	eket Services Compliance	109
	PIU Private Telecommand Service Definition	
	IES Private Telecommand Service Definition	112
2.3.2.1.3	ICA Private Telecommand Service Definition	112
2.3.2.1.4	LAP Private Telecommand Service Definition	112
2.3.2.1.5	MIP Private Telecommand Service Definition	112
2.3.2.2 Inst	trument Packet Definitions	113
2.3.2.2.1	PIU IM HK Packet Definition	115
2.3.2.2.2	IES TM Packet Definition	116
2.3.2.2.3	ICA TM packet Definition	117
2.3.2.2.4	LAP TM Packet Definition	119
2.3.2.2.5	MIP TM Packet Definition	120
2.3.2.2.6	MAG TM Packet Definition	122
2.3.2.2.7	PIU Telecommand Packet Definition	123
2.3.2.2.8	IES Telecommand Packet Definition	124
	ICA Telecommand Packet Definition	
232210	LAP Telecommand Packet Definition	125
	MIP Telecommand Packet Definition	105
	MAG Telecommand Packet Definition:	
	trument Packet Content Description	127
	source Requirements	
2.3.3.1 SSI	MM Allocation	128
2.3.3.1 SSI 2.3.3.2 SSI	MM Allocation	128
	MM Utilisation	
2.3.3.4 DM	AS Manitoning of DDC	131
2.3.3.4 DIV	AS Monitoring of RPC	131
2.3.3.5 Info	ormation Distribution Requirements	134
2.3.3.6 DM	AS TM Packetisation Requirements	134
2.4 Budgets		135
2.4.1 Telemetr	···	125
2.4.2 Mass & N	y Moments of Inertia	
2.4.2.1 Ser		
	-ti- Di-	120
		100
	rational Heaters Power Consumption	
2.4.6 Pyro Line	ered Thermistors	140
2.7.0 Fyro Line	es	140
2.5 Thermal_		141
2.5.1 Thermal	Design	141
2.5.1.1 The	ermal Design Requirements	141



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

2.5.1.2 Thermal Design Description	145
2.5.1.3 Thermal Control Category	147
2.5.2 Thermal Interfaces	148
2.5.2.1 Conductive Interface	148
2.5.2.2 Radiative Interface	148
2.5.2.3 Heaters	150
2.5.2.4 Coatings and Finishes	151
2.5.2.5 Thermal Interface Control Drawing 2.5.3 Temperatures and Thermal Control Budget	152 160
2.5.3.1 Temperatures Ranges	160
2.5.3.2 Heater Power Requirements	160
2.5.3.3 Heat Exchange Budget	161
2.5.3.4 Temperature Monitoring	162
2.5.4 Mathematical Model	163
2.5.4.1 Thermal Mathematical Model	163
2.5.4.2 Interface Thermal Mathematical Models	163
2.5.4.2.1 PIU: RPC-0	163
2.3.4.2.2 IES: RPC-1.1	103
2.5.4.2.4 LAB RPC 2.1	168
2.5.4.2.4 LAP: RPC-3.1 and RPC-3.2	
2.5.4.2.6 MAG: RPC-5.1 and RPC-5.2	174 176
3 Experiment Operations	179
3.1 Operating Principles	179
3.1.1 RPC	179
3.1.1.1 Operational Concept	181
3.1.1.2 Data Flow	182
3.1.2 Experiments	
3.1.2.1 PIU	
3.1.2.2 IES 3.1.2.3 ICA	101
3.1.2.4 LAP	104
3.1.2.4.1 General Operation	184
3.1.2.5 MIP	
3.1.2.6 MAG	
3.2 Operating Instructions	187
2.2.1	107
3.2.1 Introduction 3.2.2 Simultaneous commanding of RPC instruments	187
3.2.3 RPC Instrument Configuration	187
3.2.3.1 Instrument-specific notes	18/
3.2.4 Operation with OBCP	188
3.2.4.1 RPC Power On	
3.2.4.3 IES and ICA Mode Control	
3.2.4.4 LAP, MIP and MAG Mode Control	
3.2.4.5 IES Mode Control	105
3.2.4.6 ICA Mode Control	196
3.2.4.7 LAP Mode Control	197
3.2.4.8 MIP Mode Control	197
3.2.4.9 MAG Mode Control	198
3.2.4.10 Meaning of OBCP invocation parameters	199
3.2.5 LDL Mode Control	201
3.2.5.1 LDL Control with OBCP	201
3.2.5.2 LDL Control with FCP	
3.3 Operational Requirements and Constraints	
3.3.1 ICA Field-of-View 3.3.2 IES Field-of-View	203



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

222 0/04/21 1 7	207
3.3.3 S/C Attitude Requirements	207
3.3.3.1 ICA S/C Attitude Requirements	207
3.3.3.2 IES S/C Attitude Requirements	
3.3.3.3 LAP S/C Attitude Requirements	207
3.3.4 Environmental Pressure and dust level for IES (DMS-Service)	
3.3.5 Thruster Warnings	209
3.3.6 Operational Constraints	210
3.3.7 Thermal Constraints	213
3.4 Failure Detection and Recovery Strategy	213
3.4.1 Introduction	213
3.4.2 Failure Detection and Recovery Strategy	215
3.4.3 DMS Monitoring of RPC	215
3.4.4 PIU monitoring of RPC	216
3.4.5 Instrument-Specific Failure Detection Mechanisms	221
3.4.6 Ground-based Analysis of Telemetry	227
3.4.7 Recovery Strategy	227
3.4.7.1 What to do after an emergency switch off of PIU or a subt	ınit 227
3.4.7.2 What to do after IES switch off due to unsuitable environments	
or dust) 228	ď
3.4.7.3 Contingency procedures	229
3.5 Nominal Operating Plans	
3.5.1 Ground Test Plan	230
3.5.1.1 System Ground I/F & OPS Requirements	
3.5.1.1.1 EGSE	
3.5.2 In-orbit Commissioning Plan	235
3.5.2.1 Post-launch commissioning	235
3.5.2.2 Post-hibernation activities	235
3.5.2.2.1 IES	235
3.5.2.2.2 ICA	236
3.5.2.2.3 LAP	
3.5.2.2.4 MIP	239
3.5.2.2.5 MAG	240
3.5.2.3 Routine operations 3.5.3 Flight Operations Plan for each Mission Phase	
3.5.3.1 Cruise Phase	241
3.5.3.2 Mars Fly By	244
3.5.3.3 Earth Fly By	257
3.5.3.4 Asteroid Fly By	260
3.5.3.5 Comet Fly By	264
3.5.4 Interferences	265
Mode Descriptions	20/
4.1 Mode Transition Diagram	267
4.1.1 PIU	2.5
4.1.2 IES	268
4.1.3 ICA	269
4.1.4 LAP	271
4.1.5 MID	272
4.1.5 MIP	
4.2 Detailed Mode Description	275
4.2.1 IES	275
4.2.2 ICA	277
4.2.2.1 Telemetry modes.	277
4.2.3 LAP	280
4.2.3.1 Standard LAP Modes	280
4.2.3.1.1 LDL MODE	283
4.2.3.1.2 LDL Normal Mode	283



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

400 10 IDING 136 1	202
4.2.3.1.3 LDL Mixed Mode	283
4.2.3.1.4 MIP & LAP Synch.	284
4.2.4 MIP	285
4.2.5 MAG	287
4.3 RPC Operation Macro Modes (ROMM)	289
5 Operational Procedures	291
5.1 Ground Test Sequences / SVT	291
5.1.1 RPC	291
5.1.1.1 UFT	291
5.1.1.2 181	291
5.1.1.3 SVT	291
5.1.2 Experiments	291
5.1.2.1 PIU	291
5.1.2.2 IES	291
5.1.2.3 ICA	291
3.1.2.4 LAF	292
5.1.2.5 MIP	
5.1.2.6 MAG	292
5.2 Command Sequences	293
5.2.1 Summary of all RPC Command Sequences	293
5.2.2 OBCPs	293
3.2.5 FCF8	293
5.2.4 Contingency Recovery Procedures	294
5.3 Usage of the EQM	294
6 Data Operations Handbook	297



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

: 9

List of Figures

Figure 1.2-1: RPC Overall Block Diagram	
Figure 1.2-2: RPC Sensors Layout (stowed)	44
Figure 1.2-3: RPC Sensors Layout (deployed)	45
Figure 1.2-4 LAP diagram for the input stage of one of the two probes	49
Figure 1.2-5 Langmuir probe (LAP) block diagram for the bias circuitry	50
Figure 1.2-6: Langmuir Probe (LAP) Block Diagram for the digital electronics	51
Figure 1.2-7: Ion and Electron Sensor (IES) Block Diagram	54
Figure 1.2-8: Ion Composition Analyser (ICA) Block Diagram	57
Figure 1.2-9: Fluxgate Magnetometer (MAG) Block Diagram	59
Figure 1.2-10: Mutual Impedance Probe (MIP) Block Diagram	61
Figure 1.2-11: Plasma Interface Unit (PIU) Block Diagram	63
Figure 2.1-1: RPC Experiment Layout and Harness Diagram	64
Figure 2.1-2: RPC-0 Mechanical Interface Drawing	
Figure 2.1-3: IES Mechanical Interface Drawing	66
Figure 2.1-4: ICA Mechanical Drawing	67
Figure 2.1-5: LAP Mechanical Interface Drawing	68
Figure 2.1-6: LAP Support Bracket Mechanical Interface Drawing	
Figure 2.1-7: LAP Support Bracket (I/F Plate) MICD	
Figure 2.1-8: MIP Mechanical Interface Drawing	
Figure 2.1-9: MAG Sensor Dimensions	
Figure 2.1-10: MAG Sensor Boom Interface	74
Figure 2.1-11: MAG Sensor Integrated System Test Configuration	74
Figure 2.1-12: MAG Sensor Dimensions, Interface	75
Figure 2.2-1: RPC Power Distribution Block Diagram	81
Figure 2.2-2: SDBL Interface Circuits	
Figure 2.2-3: High Power Command Interface Circuit	91
Figure 2.3-1: IES Science Acquisition Time Overview for a 128 s Cycle	95
Figure 2.3-2: IES Science Acquisition Timing Detail for a 128 s Cycle	
Figure 2.3-3: IES Science Acquisition Collection Detail for a 128 s Cycle	
Figure 2.3-4: IES Science Acquisition, Processing Detail for a 128 s Cycle	98
Figure 2.3-5: IES Ping Pong Buffer Interaction for Different Cycle Lengths	
Figure 2.3-6: LAP Software Structure	
Figure 2.5-1: Thermal Interface Control Drawing for IES	
Figure 2.5-2: IES sensor (at CSG Kourou) with labeled Thermistors 1	154
Figure 2.5-3: Thermal Interface Control Drawing for ICA	
Figure 2.5-4: Thermal Interface Control Drawing for LAP	
Figure 2.5-5: Thermal Interface Control Drawing for MIP	
Figure 2.5-6: Thermal Interface Control Drawing for MAG	
Figure 2.5-7: MAG Sensor with Thermistor	
Figure 2.5-8: PIU Electronic Thermal Sketch	
Figure 2.5-9: IES Thermal Sketch	
Figure 2.5-10: ICA Main Dimensions	
Figure 2.5-11: ICA Thermal Nodes	
Figure 2.5-12: LAP1 and LAP2 Thermal Sketch	173



Rosetta ROSETTA | Issue | : 2 | Rev. | : 7 | | Date | : October 06, 2011 | | Page | : 10

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : 18

Figure 2.5-13: MIP Sensor Thermal Sketch	175
Figure 2.5-14: MAG-OB and MAG-IB Thermal Sketch	178
Figure 3.1-1: RPC Post Launch Support Organisation	
Figure 3.3-1: ICA Sector and Elevation numbering for ICA	204
Figure 3.3-2: Location of ICA on the spacecraft.	
Figure 3.3-3: Rosetta seen from the ICA point-of-view	205
Figure 3.3-4: IES ion and electron MCP anodes layout	206
Figure 3.3-5: ICA, Thermal behavior	
Figure 3.4-1: IES Safing and Autorecovery State Diagram	222
Figure 3.5-1: Overview of the RPC EGSE	
Figure 3.5-2: Autonomous Test of the PIU	
Figure 3.5-3: System Level Test of the Rosetta Plasma Package	
Figure 3.5-4: Logical Interfaces of the ICSTM EGSE	
Figure 3.5-5: RPC Experiment Level testing with the ICSTM EGSE	
Figure 3.5-6: Martian Bow Shock and Pile-up Boundary	
Figure 3.5-7: Mars Swing By - 1	
Figure 3.5-8: Mars Swing By - 2	
Figure 3.5-9: Mars Swing By - 3	
Figure 3.5-10: Mars Swing By - 4	251
Figure 3.5-11: Mars Swing By Geometry, Plasmaphysical Situation	252
Figure 3.5-12: Mars Fly By Geometry, side view	
Figure 3.5-13: Mars Fly By Geometry, northern view	253
Figure 3.5-14: Mars Fly By Geometry, Projections	
Figure 3.5-15: Mars Fly by , 3D Model	
Figure 3.5-16: Mars Fly by with magnetic field model	255
Figure 3.5-17: Mars Fly by & Martian Magnetosphere	
Figure 3.5-18: The Rosetta trajectory (blue) during EF1: x-y plane	
Figure 3.5-19: The Rosetta trajectory (blue) during EF1: z-x plane	
Figure 3.5-20: The Orbit of Asteroid STEINS	
Figure 3.5-21: Rosetta's flyby at Asteroid STEINS in September 2008	
Figure 3.5-22: The Orbit of Asteroid LUTETIA	
Figure 3.5-23 Rosetta's flyby at Asteroid LUTETIA in July 2010	
Figure 4.1-1: PIU Mode Transition Diagram	267
Figure 4.1-2: IES Mode Transition Diagram.	
Figure 4.1-3: ICA Mode Transition Diagram	
Figure 4.1-4: LAP Mode Transition Diagram	
Figure 4.1-5:MIP Mode Transition Diagram	
Figure 4.1-6: MAG Mode Transition Diagram	
Figure 4 2-1: LAP Macro Storage and Operations	



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date : Octo

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

List of Tables

Table 1.2-1: RPC Instruments	
Table 1.2-2: Experiment Assignment	
Table 1.2-3: Summary of expected LAP performance	49
Table 1.2-4: Summary of expected IES performance	53
Table 1.2-5: Summary of expected ICA performance	55
Table 1.2-6: Summary of expected MAG performances	58
Table 1.2-7: Summary of expected MIP performance	60
Table 2.1-1: Positions of the LAP sensors and the hinges	71
Table 2.1-2: Boom Alignment, Reference Calculations	
Table 2.1-3: Boom Alignment, Deployed Configuration	
Table 2.1-4: Boom Alignment, Stowed Configuration	
Table 2.2-1: Power Supply Interface Requirements	
Table 2.2-2: Experiment OBDH Interface Channels/Functions	
Table 2.2-3: Heater Budgets	
Table 2.2-4: Heater Connections, LCL-10 & LCL-18	89
Table 2.2-5: Heater Connections, LCL-40 & LCL-41	
Table 2.3-1: RPC Telemetry Identifier	
Table 2.3-2: RPC Packet Services	
Table 2.3-3: PIU TC Services	
Table 2.3-4: IES TC Services.	
Table 2.3-5: ICA TC Services	
Table 2.3-6: LAP TC Services	
Table 2.3-7: MIP TC Services	
Table 2.3-8: MAG TC Services	
Table 2.3-9: RPC Packet Generation Summary	
Table 2.3-11: RPC-IES TM Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-12: RPC-ICA TM Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-13: RPC-LAP TM Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-14: RPC-MIP TM Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-15: RPC-MAG TM Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-16: RPC-PIU TC Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-17: IEC TC Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-18: ICA TC Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-19: LAP TC Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-20: MIP TC Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-21: MAG TC Packet Definition	
Table 2.3-22: RPC Data Volume in Different Misison Phases	
Table 2.3-23: RPC OBCPs	
Table 2.3-24: RPC Monitoring Requirements	133
Table 2.4-1: RPC Telemetry Rates Summary	135
Table 2.4-2: RPC HousekeepingTelemetry Rate	135
Table 2.4-3: RPC ScienceTelemetry Budget	136
Table 2.4-4: RPC Mass & Moments of Inertia	
Table 2.4-5: RPC Power Consumption (overview)	139
Table 2.4-6: RPC power consumption (detailed)	139



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date : Octo

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : **18** Issue : 2

: October 06, 2011

Table 2.5-1: Overview of RPC related Thermistors & Temperature Limits	144
Table 2.5-2: Thermal Control Category	
Table 2.5-3: ICA Coating	
Table 2.5-4: TRP temperature range, space environment	160
Table 2.5-5: RPC Heater Power Requirements	
Table 2.5-6: Heat Exchange	161
Table 2.5-7: Temperature Sensors (s/c powered thermistors)	162
Table 2.5-8: Temperature Sensors (RPC internal sensors)	
Table 2.5-9: TMM Nodes	163
Table 2.5-10: PIU BOL / EOL Surface Properties	163
Table 2.5-11: PIU Node Properties	
Table 2.5-12: PIU TRP Design Temperature Ranges	164
Table 2.5-13: PIU Power Dissipations	
Table 2.5-14: PIU Interface Contact Conductances	
Table 2.5-15: IES BOL Surface Properties	165
Table 2.5-16: IES EOL Surface Properties	
Table 2.5-17: IES Node Properties.	
Table 2.5-18: IES TRP Design Temperature Ranges	
Table 2.5-19: IES Power Dissipations	
Table 2.5-20: IES Internal Conductive Couplings	
Table 2.5-21: IES Internal Radiative Couplings	
Table 2.5-22: IES Interface Contact Conductances	
Table 2.5-23: ICA BOL Surface Properties	
Table 2.5-24: ICA EOL Surface Properties	
Table 2.5-25: ICA Node Properties	
Table 2.5-26: ICA TRP Design Temperature Ranges	
Table 2.5-27: ICA Power Dissipations	
Table 2.5-28: ICA Internal Conductive Couplings	
Table 2.5-29: ICA Internal Radiative Couplings	
Table 2.5-30: ICA Interface Coductive Couplings	
Table 2.5-31: LAP BOL / EOL Surface Properties	
Table 2.5-32: LAP Node Properties	
Table 2.5-33: LAP TRP Design Temperature Ranges	
Table 2.5-34: LAP Power Dissipations	
Table 2.5-35: LAP Internal Conductive Couplings	
Table 2.5-36: LAP Interface Contact Conductances	
Table 2.5-37: MIP BOL / EOL Surface Properties	
Table 2.5-38: MIP Node Properties	
Table 2.5-39: MIP TRP Design Temperature Ranges	
Table 2.5-40: MIP Power Dissipations	
Table 2.5-41: MIP Internal Conductive Couplings	
Table 2.5-42: MIP Interface Contact Conductances	
Table 2.5-43: MAG BOL Surface Properties	
Table 2.5-44: MAG EOL Surface Properties	
Table 2.5-45: MAG Node Properties	
Table 2.5-46: MAG TRP Design Temperature Ranges	
Table 2.5-47: MAG Power Dissipations	
Table 2.5-48: MAG Internal Radiative Couplings	
Table 2.5-49: MAG: Interface Contact Conductances	
- unit -ie - i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	- · ·



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date : Octo

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : **18** Issue : 2 : October 06, 2011

Table 3.1-1: MIP Description of the configuration table	186
Table 3.2-1: List of RPC OBCPs for routine operations	189
Table 3.2-2: List of RPC OBCPs for special operations.	189
Table 3.2-3: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.1	191
Table 3.2-4: OBCP Details: OBCP 5 RP.2	192
Table 3.2-5: OBCP Details: OBCP 5 RP.3	193
Table 3.2-6: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.6	194
Table 3.2-7: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.7	195
Table 3.2-8: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.8	196
Table 3.2-9: OBCP Details: OBCP 5 RP.9	
Table 3.2-10: OBCP Details: OBCP 5 RP.10	
Table 3.2-11: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.11	
Table 3.2-12: Configuration Parameter: Mode_Type	199
Table 3.2-13: Configuration Parameter: ExpParam	
Table 3.2-14: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.4	
Table 3.2-15: LDLmode FCPs.	
Table 3.4-1: Events monitored by the DMS.	
Table 3.4-2: Parameters monitored by PIU	
Table 3.4-3: Limits of Parameters monitored by PIU	
Table 3.4-4: Limits set in RSDB.	
Table 3.4-5: IES Safing and Autorecovery Parameters	
Table 3.4-6: Actionlist for MOC	
Table 3.4-7: Contingency Recovery Procedures	
Table 3.5-1: ROSETTA'S Encounters with short periodic Comets	
Table 3.5-2: Martian Plasma Parameter observed by VIKING	
Table 3.5-3: Solar Wind Parameter in vicinity of Mars	
Table 3.5-4: Electron and Radio Fluxes at Mars	
Table 3.5-5: Asteroidal FlyBy Parameter	
Table 3.5-6: Asteroid Magnetic Fields & Encounter Distance Relation	
Table 4.2-1: IES Modes (SID+HK) and data rates	
Table 4.2-2: ICA Telemetry modes	
Table 4.2-3: Characteristics of the different MINIMUM modes	
Table 4.2-4: Characteristics of the different NORMAL modes	
Table 4.2-5: Characteristics of the different BURST modes.	
Table 4.2-6: List of LAP Macros	
Table 4.2-7: LAP Telemetry modes	
Table 4.2-8: Characteristics of the different LAP Normal modes	
Table 4.2-9: Characteristics of the different LAP Burst modes	
Table 4.2-10: MIP Modes (SID+HK) and vector rates	
Table 4.2-11: MAG Modes (SID+HK) and vector rates	
Table 4.3-1: RPC Operation Macro Modes	
Table 4.3-2: Macro Modes used for different Science Objectives in the Comet	207
Phase	290
I 1143V	= / U



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 14

Reference Documents

All the relevant documents listed below can be accessed at the RPC-WIKI,

URL: http://rpc.sp.ph.ic.ac.uk/wiki/bin/view/Documents/WebHome

Note: This page is password-protected.

Reference	Title	Number	Version	Date	Author
	General				
	Central				T
RD-GEN-1	RPC IST Procedure	RO-ALS-PR- 4037	2	25.09.2001	Claudio Prato
RD-GEN-2	RPC I&T - UFT Procedure for EM/EQM	RO-ALS-PR- 4051	2	07.08.2000	Claudio Prato
RD-GEN-3	RSDB Naming Convention	RO-ALS-TN-4002	4	25.07.2000	M.C. Ostorero
RD-GEN-4	RSDB TM / TC DATA	RO-DSS-LI-1018	2.3	03.11.2000	R.Eilenberger
RD-GEN-5	RPC Experiment OBCPs URD	RO-DSS-RS-1032 = RO-ESC-RS-5632	4	13.10.2004	S. Osipenco
RD-GEN-6	ROSETTA Service 19 "Information Distribution Service"	RO-DSS-TN-1138	2	15.03.2002	G. Lautenschläger
RD-GEN-7	Data Delivery Interface Document	RO-ESC-IF-5003	C2	21.02.2006	Rosetta GST
RD-GEN-8	RMCS CRID	RO-ESC-IF-5004	C2	21.02.2006	Rosetta GST
RD-GEN-9	FOP	RO-ESC-PL-5000	5.4	09.02.2007	ROS-FCT
RD-GEN-10	Rosetta DDS Test Plan	RO-ESC-PL-5305	1	27.03.2002	F. Delhaise
RD-GEN-11	System Validation Test Plan	RO-ESC-PR-5130	1	30.11.2001	ROSETTA FCT
RD-GEN-12	Asteroid Flyby Ops	RO-ESC-TN-5017	1	01.12.2000	Elsa Montagnon
RD-GEN-13	Planet Flyby Ops	RO-ESC-TN-5018	1	01.12.2000	Elsa Montagnon
RD-GEN-14	Near Sun Cruise Ops	RO-ESC-TN-5019	1	15.12.2000	Elsa Montagnon
RD-GEN-15	Commissioning Operations	RO-ESC-TN-5022	1	15.03.2001	Mark Sweeney
RD-GEN-16	Mission Calendar	RO-ESC-PL-5026	1	24.05.2005	Elsa Monttagnon
RD-GEN-17	The Near Comet Drift Phase: A Strategy For Possible Early Comet Detection And Arrival	RO-ESC-TN-5506	1	30.11.1999	R. Mackenzie
RD-GEN-18	EIDA	RO-EST-RS-3001/EID A	2.2	01.10.2003	
RD-GEN-19	EIDC	RO-EST-RS-3001/EID C	1.4	15.12.2000	
RD-GEN-20	EIDB	RO-EST-RS-3012/EID B	2	15.05.2001	
RD-GEN-21	Mission scenarios - Close encounter	RO-EST-TN-3027	0.7	20.03.2000	Detlef Koschny
RD-GEN-22	PI to RSOC I/F Test	RO-EST-TP-3051	1	23.05.2002	A. Hulsbosch
RD-GEN-23	Experiment_UM_Iss1_Planning		1	01.04.2001	Mark Sweeney
RD-GEN-24	Consolidated Report on Mission Analysis Churyumov-Gerasimenko 2004 (CReMA)	RO-ESC-RP-5500	5	01.08.2003	Canabal/Perez/Oter
RD-GEN-25	Search for Comet Trails	RO-IGEP-TR0019	1.1	04.10.2006	I.Richter
RD-GEN-26	Rosetta Far Thermal Analysis Report	RO-MMB-TN-3134	9	25.112002	S.Tuttle
RD-GEN-27	RPC TM/TC PACKET Definition & DSDB	Refer to RD-RPC-10			
RD-GEN-28	Guide to applying the ESA software engineering standards to small software projects	BSSC(96)2	1.0	1996	ESA Board for S/\ Standardization an Control



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

RD-GEN-29	ROSETTA Frame Kernel	ROS_V11.TF	1.1	6.12.2006	B.Semenov,NAIF
RD-GEN-30	ROSETTA Spacecraft User Manual	RO-DSS-MA-1001	5	31.10.2003	ROSETTA-Team
RD-GEN-31	Spacecraft Mechanical/Thermal Interface Control Document	RO-DSS-IF-1201	3	22.05.2002	G. Gebauer, R. Kerner,B. Kettner
RD-GEN-32	Giotto's mission to planet Earth,	GRL, 18,1663-1666,1991		1991	KH.Glassmeier, F. Neubauer, et al.
RD-GEN-33	Galileo flybys of Earth: the nature of the distant shock	Adv. Space Res. 16, 197, 1995.		1995	M. Kivelson, M., A. Prevost, et al.
RD-GEN-34	Special issue: Cassini's encounter with planet Earth	J. Geophys. Res.,106 (A12), 2001		2001	. rovost, ot an
RD-GEN-35	Earth Flyby #1	RO-EST-PL-3278	4.2	01.03.2005	V.Dhiri
RD-GEN-37	Interplanetary magnetic field enhancements and their association with the asteroid 2201 Oljato	Science, 226, 43		1984	Russell et al.
RD-GEN-38	ROSETTA Constraints. Summary & Implementation	RO-SGS-TN-0015	1c	2009	V. Dhiri
	Archiving			1	
RD-ARC-1	Planetary Science Archive PVV User Manual	SOP-RSSD-UM-004	4.1	15.05.2007	D.Heather
RD-ARC-2	Planetary Data System Standards Reference	JPL D-7669, part 2	3.6	01.08.2003	JPL
RD-ARC-3	Planetary Data System Data Preparation Workbook	JPL D-7669, part 1	3.1	17.02.1995	JPL
RD-ARC-4	Planetary Science Data Dictionary Document	JPL D-7116	Rev. E	28.08.2002	JPL
RD-ARC-5	Rosetta Archive Generation, Validation and Transfer Plan	RO-EST-PL-5011	2.3	10.01.2006	K.Wirth
RD-ARC-6	Rosetta Archive Converntions	RO-EST-TN-3372	2.5	26.04.2007	M.Barthelemy
RD-ARC-7	RPC Archiving Guidelines	RO-IGEP-TR0016	2.3	27.10.2005	Ingo Richter
RD-ARC-8	Planetary Science Archive Experiment Data Release Concept	SOP-RSSD-TN-15	1.16	12.05.2005	J.Zender
	RPC				
RD-RPC-1	RPC Operations Planning Document	RO-RPC-MA-6002	0.3	16.02.2004	Chris Lee
RD-RPC-2	RPC Commissioning Plan	RO-RPC-MA-6004	1.4	31.01.2003	Chris Lee
RD-RPC-3	RPC Cruise Phase Plan	RO-RPC-MA-6005	0.1	29.10.2002	Chris Lee
RD-RPC-4	RPC Operational Concept Note: Document not longer maintained. Incorporated into RPC-UM 2.7 and later versions in section 3.2 ff.	RO-RPC-MA-6006	2	07.12.2005	Emanuele Cupido
RD-RPC-5	PIU Magnetometer Processing Software Overview	RO-RPC-MA-6007	1.2	14.06.2007	Chris Lee
RD-RPC-6	RPC System Validation Test (SVT)	RO-RPC-TS-6006	0.3	29.11.2001	Chris Lee
RD-RPC-7	RPC_FCP_Definitions		1.4	16.08.2004	Chris Lee
	RPC Bench Test and SFT	RO-RPC-TS-6005		24.04.2002	Michael Ludlam
RD-RPC-8			1	07.02.2000	Paul Howarth
RD-RPC-8 RD-RPC-9	RPC Guide to filling in the RSDB		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	RPC Guide to filling in the RSDB RPC DSDB //xanthus.sp.ph.ic.ac.uk/rosetta/RSDB	Current_RSDB_05_01_06.mdb	•	05. 01.2006	Chris Lee



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : **18** Issue : 2 : October 06, 2011

RD-RPC-12	ROSETTA RPCServer User and Reference Manual		0.7	09.05.04	Lybekk, Lee		
RD-RPC-13	RPC Instrument Kernel	ROS_RPC_V15.TI	1.5	18.10.2006	B.Semenov,NAIF		
RD-RPC-14	RPC Modes Summary	RPC_modes_PW_DR_summary -rev2-12-06-2007.xls	2	12.06.2007	Emanuele Cupido		
	ICA						
RD-ICA-1	ICA SVT Procedure Definition		0.1	23.11.2001	Hans Borg		
RD-ICA-2	ICA-TmTc Data Formats and related s/w aspects		1.7	28.10.2004	Hans Borg		
RD-ICA-3	ICA_FCP_Definitions_1_10		1.10	09.12.2004	Emanuele Cupido		
RD-ICA-4	ICA_SVT_0_1		0.1	23.11.2001	Chris Lee		
RD-ICA-5	ICA Command Description		1.5	02.05.2002	Hans Borg		
RD-ICA-6	ICA – RPC : The Ion Composition Analyser in the RPC			Outdated; substituted by RD-ICA-8	Ole Norberg		
RD-ICA-7	ICA-EAICD	RO-RPC-ICA-EAICD		27.10.2006	H. Nilsson		
RD-ICA-8	RPC-ICA: The Ion Composition Analyzer of the Rosetta Plasma Consortium, SSR Special Edition			08.11.2006	H. Nilsson, R.Lundii et al.		
	IES						
RD-IES-1	IES Commands			07.02.2002	Ch. Zinsmeyer		
RD-IES-2	IES FCP Definitions		1.8	14.12.2004	Emanuele Cupido		
RD-IES-3	IES Interface Document	8182-SID-01	0	01.11.2001	John Hanley		
RD-IES-4	IES SVT Procedure Definition		0	29.11.2001	Chris Lee		
				ı	•		
	LAP						
RD-LAP-1	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc		2	16.08.2004	Emanuele Cupido		
RD-LAP-2	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition		0.7	19.02.2002	Chris Lee		
RD-LAP-2 RD-LAP-3	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM	IRFU-RPC-LAPUM	0.7 0.05	19.02.2002 08.11.2000	Chris Lee Reine Gill		
RD-LAP-2	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM LAP Command & Telemetry Description	IRFU-RPC-LAPUM RO-IRFU-LAPCTM	0.7	19.02.2002	Chris Lee		
RD-LAP-3 RD-LAP-4	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM		0.7 0.05	19.02.2002 08.11.2000	Chris Lee Reine Gill		
RD-LAP-3 RD-LAP-4 RD-LAP-5	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM LAP Command & Telemetry Description LAP Macros in PROM & Flash Flight	RO-IRFU-LAPCTM	0.7 0.05	19.02.2002 08.11.2000 06.06.2002	Chris Lee Reine Gill Reine Gill		
RD-LAP-2 RD-LAP-3 RD-LAP-4 RD-LAP-5 RD-LAP-6	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM LAP Command & Telemetry Description LAP Macros in PROM & Flash Flight Software Version 11.0 RPC-LAP: The ROSETTA Langmuir Probe	RO-IRFU-LAPCTM IRFU-ROS-LAPMPF	0.7 0.05	19.02.2002 08.11.2000 06.06.2002 07.04.2003	Chris Lee Reine Gill Reine Gill Reine Gill		
RD-LAP-3 RD-LAP-4 RD-LAP-5	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM LAP Command & Telemetry Description LAP Macros in PROM & Flash Flight Software Version 11.0 RPC-LAP: The ROSETTA Langmuir Probe Instrument	RO-IRFU-LAPCTM IRFU-ROS-LAPMPF Space Science Reviews 2007 LAP_MACRO_TABLE_100910.	0.7 0.05	19.02.2002 08.11.2000 06.06.2002 07.04.2003 27.06.2006	Chris Lee Reine Gill Reine Gill Reine Gill A.Eriksson et. al.		
RD-LAP-2 RD-LAP-3 RD-LAP-4 RD-LAP-5 RD-LAP-6	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM LAP Command & Telemetry Description LAP Macros in PROM & Flash Flight Software Version 11.0 RPC-LAP: The ROSETTA Langmuir Probe Instrument LAP Macro Table	RO-IRFU-LAPCTM IRFU-ROS-LAPMPF Space Science Reviews 2007 LAP_MACRO_TABLE_100910.	0.7 0.05	19.02.2002 08.11.2000 06.06.2002 07.04.2003 27.06.2006	Chris Lee Reine Gill Reine Gill Reine Gill A.Eriksson et. al.		
RD-LAP-2 RD-LAP-3 RD-LAP-4 RD-LAP-5 RD-LAP-6 RD-LAP-7	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM LAP Command & Telemetry Description LAP Macros in PROM & Flash Flight Software Version 11.0 RPC-LAP: The ROSETTA Langmuir Probe Instrument LAP Macro Table MAG Protocol and Analysis of Magnetic	RO-IRFU-LAPCTM IRFU-ROS-LAPMPF Space Science Reviews 2007 LAP_MACRO_TABLE_100910. PDF	0.7 0.05 1.5	19.02.2002 08.11.2000 06.06.2002 07.04.2003 27.06.2006 10.09.10	Chris Lee Reine Gill Reine Gill Reine Gill A.Eriksson et. al. A.Eriksson		
RD-LAP-2 RD-LAP-3 RD-LAP-4 RD-LAP-5 RD-LAP-6 RD-LAP-7	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM LAP Command & Telemetry Description LAP Macros in PROM & Flash Flight Software Version 11.0 RPC-LAP: The ROSETTA Langmuir Probe Instrument LAP Macro Table MAG Protocol and Analysis of Magnetic Measurements during Strayfield & CS Test Fluxgate Magnetometer Calibration for ROSETTA: Report on the Flight Unit and	RO-IRFU-LAPCTM IRFU-ROS-LAPMPF Space Science Reviews 2007 LAP_MACRO_TABLE_100910. PDF RO-IGM-TR-0001	0.7 0.05 1.5	19.02.2002 08.11.2000 06.06.2002 07.04.2003 27.06.2006 10.09.10 23.05.2001	Chris Lee Reine Gill Reine Gill Reine Gill A.Eriksson et. al. A.Eriksson		
RD-LAP-2 RD-LAP-3 RD-LAP-4 RD-LAP-5 RD-LAP-6 RD-LAP-7 RD-MAG-1 RD-MAG-2 RD-MAG-3	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM LAP Command & Telemetry Description LAP Macros in PROM & Flash Flight Software Version 11.0 RPC-LAP: The ROSETTA Langmuir Probe Instrument LAP Macro Table MAG Protocol and Analysis of Magnetic Measurements during Strayfield & CS Test Fluxgate Magnetometer Calibration for ROSETTA: Report on the Flight Unit and Flight Spare Unit Calibration Fluxgate Magnetometer Calibration for	RO-IRFU-LAPCTM IRFU-ROS-LAPMPF Space Science Reviews 2007 LAP_MACRO_TABLE_100910. PDF RO-IGM-TR-0001 RO-IGM-TR-0002	0.7 0.05 1.5 1.1 2.2	19.02.2002 08.11.2000 06.06.2002 07.04.2003 27.06.2006 10.09.10 23.05.2001 10.10.2001	Chris Lee Reine Gill Reine Gill Reine Gill A.Eriksson et. al. A.Eriksson		
RD-LAP-2 RD-LAP-3 RD-LAP-4 RD-LAP-5 RD-LAP-6 RD-LAP-7 RD-MAG-1 RD-MAG-2	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM LAP Command & Telemetry Description LAP Macros in PROM & Flash Flight Software Version 11.0 RPC-LAP: The ROSETTA Langmuir Probe Instrument LAP Macro Table MAG Protocol and Analysis of Magnetic Measurements during Strayfield & CS Test Fluxgate Magnetometer Calibration for ROSETTA: Report on the Flight Unit and Flight Spare Unit Calibration Fluxgate Magnetometer Calibration for ROSETTA: Analysis of the FGM Calibration	RO-IRFU-LAPCTM IRFU-ROS-LAPMPF Space Science Reviews 2007 LAP_MACRO_TABLE_100910. PDF RO-IGM-TR-0001 RO-IGM-TR-0002	0.7 0.05 1.5 1.1 2.2 1.1	19.02.2002 08.11.2000 06.06.2002 07.04.2003 27.06.2006 10.09.10 23.05.2001 10.10.2001 12.10.2001	Chris Lee Reine Gill Reine Gill Reine Gill A.Eriksson et. al. A.Eriksson Ingo Richter Ingo Richter		
RD-LAP-2 RD-LAP-3 RD-LAP-4 RD-LAP-5 RD-LAP-6 RD-LAP-7 RD-MAG-1 RD-MAG-2 RD-MAG-3 RD-MAG-4	LAP_FCP_Definitions.doc LAP_SVT_Procedure_Definition RPC LAP Instrument UM LAP Command & Telemetry Description LAP Macros in PROM & Flash Flight Software Version 11.0 RPC-LAP: The ROSETTA Langmuir Probe Instrument LAP Macro Table MAG Protocol and Analysis of Magnetic Measurements during Strayfield & CS Test Fluxgate Magnetometer Calibration for ROSETTA: Report on the Flight Unit and Flight Spare Unit Calibration Fluxgate Magnetometer Calibration for ROSETTA: Analysis of the FGM Calibration MAG SVT Procedure Definition.doc The Building and Operation of the MAG_FPG in the Fluxgate Magnetometer	RO-IRFU-LAPCTM IRFU-ROS-LAPMPF Space Science Reviews 2007 LAP_MACRO_TABLE_100910. PDF RO-IGM-TR-0001 RO-IGM-TR-0002	1.1 2.2 1.1 0	19.02.2002 08.11.2000 06.06.2002 07.04.2003 27.06.2006 10.09.10 23.05.2001 10.10.2001 12.10.2001 26.11.2001	Chris Lee Reine Gill Reine Gill Reine Gill A.Eriksson et. al. A.Eriksson Ingo Richter Ingo Richter Chris Lee		



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : **18** Issue : 2 : October 06, 2011

RD-MAG-8	DDC MAC CSE LIM	1	1.3	20.02.2002	Özer Aideger
KD-IVIAG-0	RPC MAG GSE UM		1.3	20.02.2002	Özer Aidogar
RD-MAG-9	RPC-MAG Knowledge Management		FINAL	01.08.2003	Richter, Diedrich, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-10	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the COMMISIONING PART 1	RO-IGEP-TR0006	5.2	12.04.2007	Richter, Diedrich, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-11	RPC-MAG Software: DDS2PDS User Manual	RO-IGEP-TR0007	3.1	20.01.2010	Ingo Richter
RD-MAG-12	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the COMMISIONING PART 2	RO-IGEP-TR0008	4.4	12.04.2007	Richter, Diedrich, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-13	ROSETTA-RPC-MAG to planetary Science Archive Interface Control Document EAICD	RO-IGEP-TR0009	2.9	05.09.2007	Ingo Richter
RD-MAG-14	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the COMMISIONING PART 3	RO-IGEP-TR0010	3.2	12.04.2007	Richter, Diedrich, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-15	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the INTERFERENCE CAMPAIGN	RO-IGEP-TR0011	3.1	13.04.2007	Richter, Diedrich, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-16	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, MCCR , Mission Commissioning Results Review	RO-IGEP-TR0013	1	10.11.2004	Ingo Richter
RD-MAG-17	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the Earth Flyby (EAR1)	RO-IGEP-TR0014	2	18.04.2007	Richter, Diedrich, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-18	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Anomaly Report & Analysis of the MAG-OB failure in June 2005	RO-IGEP-TR0015	1.2	03.08.2005	Richter, Diedrich, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-19	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Overview of Available Data and Data Quality, Mission Phases CVP-EAR1-CR2	RO-IGEP-TR0017	1.5	18.04.2007	Ingo Richter
RD-MAG-20	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the 45P/ Honda-Mrkos-Paidusakova Tail Encounter, 0408. July 2006	RO-IGEP-TR0018	0.2	12.04.2007	Richter, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-21	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the MARS Swingy (MSB) 2327. February 2007	RO-IGEP-TR0022	3.0	22.01.2010	Richter, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-22	ROSETTA RPC-Magnetometerexperiment, FK:50QP9702/5, Abschlußbericht			01.03.2005	Richter, Diedrich, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-23	RPCMAG Internal Packet Definitions	RO-IGEP-TN0001	1	15.12.2005	Richter, Diedrich, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-24	Sample Rate and Frequency Response Analysis of Rosetta RPC-MAG	RO-IWF-TR0001	1.1	23.01.2002	H. Eichelberger
RD-MAG-25	DC magnetic mapping of the Launch Facilities at CSG, Kourou	RO-IGM-TR004	1.1	06.09.2002	I.Richter
RD-MAG-26	RPC-MAG: The Fluxgate Magnetometer in the ROSETTA Plasma Consortium	Space Science Reviews 2007		2.11.2006	Glaßmeier,Richter, et. al.
RD-MAG-27	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the Earth Swingy (EAR2) 720. November 2007	RO-IGEP-TR0023	3.0	26.01.2010	Richter, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-28	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Overview of available data for CR3,EAR2, June 2007 – January 2008	RO-IGEP-TR0024	2.0	22.01.2010	Richter



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

RD-MAG-29	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the STEINS Flyby, 110. September 2008	RO-IGEP-TR0025	3.0	26.01.2010	Richter, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-30	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Overview of available data for CR4A, February 2008 - August 2008	RO-IGEP-TR0026	2.0	22.12.2010	Richter
RD-MAG-31	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Overview of available data for the STEINS flyby, September 2008 – November 2008	RO-IGEP-TR0027	2.0	22.01.2010	Richter
RD-MAG-32	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Step by Step Calibration Procedure	RO-IGEP-TR0028	1.0	8.02.2010	Richter
RD-MAG-33	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the Earth Swingy (EAR3) 917. November 2009	RO-IGEP-TR0029	1.0	30.03.2010	Richter, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-34	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the PC10 LAP-MAG Interference Test, 1617. November 2009	RO-IGEP-TR0030	1.0	26.01.2010	Richter, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-35	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Overview of available data for CR4B, December 2008 - February 2009	RO-IGEP-TR0031	1.0	22.01.2010	Richter
RD-MAG-36	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Overview of available data for EAR3, March 2009 – December 2009	RO-IGEP-TR0032	1.0	22.01.2010	Richter
RD-MAG-37	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Report of the Lutetia flyby, July 7 – 13, 2010	RO-IGEP-TR0033	1.0	16.09.2010	Richter, Glaßmeier
RD-MAG-38	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Overview of available data for CR5, December 2009 – June 2010	RO-IGEP-TR0034	1.0	7.10.2010	Richter
RD-MAG-39	Flight Reports of RPC_MAG, Overview of available data for Lutetia, July 2010 – September 2010	RO-IGEP-TR0035	1.0	7.10.2010	Richter
	MIP				
RD-MIP-1	MIP SVT Procedure Definition		0	26.11.2001	Chris Lee
RD-MIP-2	MIP_FCP_Definitions		1.9	06.09.2005	Emanuele Cupido
RD-MIP-3	Manuel d'Utilisation du F.S.	RPC-MIP-OP-1-020125-LPCE	1	15.03.2002	JL. Michau
RD-MIP-4	MIP/PIU Data Handling Interface	RPC-MIP-RP-126-990253-LPCE	3.3	23.05.2001	D.Lagoutte
RD-MIP-5	MIP Onboard Data Handling	RPC-MIP-RP-13-980317-LPCE	3.4	20.09.2000	D.Lagoutte
RD-MIP-6	Project ROSETTA Experience MIP Logiciel de bord Programmation de l'ADSP-2100A	RPC/MIP/RP/13/990216/LPCE	2.0	16.02.1999	F.Colin,
RD-MIP-7	RPC-MIP : The mutual Impedance Probe of the RPC	Space Science Review, 2006		25.06.2006	JG. Trotignon, JL. Michau,et al.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : **18** Issue : 2 : October 06, 2011

	PIU					
RD-PIU-1	PIU RPC ICD – Part I: Power Interfaces		1	06.01.1999	Chris Lee	
RD-PIU-2	PIU SVT Procedure Definition		1.5	15.02.2002	Chris Lee	
RD-PIU-3	PIU_FCP_Definitions		1.5	18.08.2004	Emanuele Cupido	
RD-PIU-4	PIU RPC ICD – Part II: Data Handling Interfaces		2.2	05.10.2000	Chris Lee	
RD-PIU-5	PIU RPC ICD – Part III: Mechanical Interfaces		1.1	18.03.1999	Chris Lee	



Rosetta RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 20

Acronym List

Acronym Description
A/D Analog/Digital
A/R As Required

AAD Attitude Anomaly Detector

AC Alternate Current

ACID Application Configuration Interface Data

ACK Acknowledge

ACM Active Cruise Mode

ACS Avionics Computer System
ACS Attitude Control System
ACU Attitude Control Unit
AD Applicable Document
ADC Analog to Digital Converter
ADD Architectural Design Document
ADP Acceptance Data Package

AFM Asteroid Flyby Mode

AIT Assembly Integration Tests
AIU AOCMS Interface Unit

AIV Assembly, Integration and Verification
AIV Activity of Integration and Validation
ALICE ORBITER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT

ALS Alenia Spazio AM Activation Mode

AME Absolute Measurement Error

AND Alphanumeric Display

ANSI American National Standards Institute

AO Announcement of Opportunity

AOCMS Attitude & Orbit Control Measurement System

AOCS Attitude & Orbit Control System

AOS Acquisition Of Signal

AOU Astronomical Observatory Uppsala

APC Active Payload Checkout
APD Active Payload Data Dump
APE Absolute Pointing Error
APID Application Process Identifier
APM Antenna Pointing Mechanism

APXS LANDER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT

AQP Acquisition Period

AS Address State (1750 Processor)

ASA Austrian Space Agency
ASAP As soon as possible
ASF Additional Safety Factors
ASI Agenzia Spaziale Italiana

ASIC Application Specific Integrated Circuit

ATA Alignment Test Adapter



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

RPC-UserManual

Page : 21

ATP Approach Transition Point

AU Astronomical Unit AWG American Wire Gauge

BB Broad Band
BC Bus Controller

BCP1 Broadcast Command Pulse 1 (Pulse at 1/8 Hz on OBDH bus)

BCU Battery Charge Unit

BDR Battery Discharge Regulator
BDU Battery Discharge Unit

BER Bit Error Rate

BERENICE ORBITER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT

BFL Back Focal Length

BIT Build In Test

BL Block Length, LAP

BMOS Buckling Margin Of Safety

BOB Break Out Box
BOL Beginning of Life
BPS Bits per second

BRU Battery Regulator Unit, Battery Recharge Unit

BSM Bus Support Module
C/C Collectively Controlled
CA Contract Authorisation
CADU Channel Access Data Unit
CAP Comet Acquisition Point
CAPS Cassini Plasma Spectrometer
CAV Command Acceptance Verification

CC Cost Code

CCB Configuration Control Board

CCCS Common Checkout & Control System

CCD Charged Coupled Device

CCDB Configuration Control Database CCE Central Checkout Equipment

CCITT Consultative Committee International Telegraph & Telephone

CCN Change Contract Notice

CCR Configuration Control Request CCS Central Check-out System

CCSDS Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems

CCU Central Computing Unit CDC Clock Drift Correction

CDMS Central Data Management System

CDMS Control and Data Management Subsystem (Sub-Assembly)

CDMU Central Data Management Unit

CDR Critical Design Review

CDV Command Dispatch Verification

CE Conducted Emission

CEPHAG/SA Centre d'Etude des Phenomenes Aleatoires et

Geophysiques/Service d'Aeronomie u CNES

CESR Centre d'Etude Spatiale des Rayonnements

CEV Command Execution Verification



RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 22

CFRP Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastic

CGSE Cryocooling Ground Support Equipment
CHAMPAGNE LANDER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT

CHF Critical History File
CHL Command History Log
CHM Critical Housekeeping Unit

CI Configuration Item

CIA Communication Interface Adapter
CIDL Configuration Item Data List

CISAS Centro Interdipartimentale di Studi e Attività Spaziali

CIVA Comet nucleus Infrared and Visibility Analyser (Lander Payload)

CLCW Command Link Control Word CLTU Command Link Transmission Unit

CM Configuration Management

CMD Command

CMF Configuration Management Facility
CMO Configuration Management Officer
CMP Configuration Management Plan
CNES Centre Nationale d'Etude Spatiale
COB Consolidated Obeservation Request

COG Centre Of Gravity
Co-I Co-Investigator
COM Centre Of Mass

CONSERT ORBITER & LANDER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENTS

COP Command Operations Procedure
COSAC LANDER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT
COSIMA ORBITER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT

COTS Commercial Off The Shelf

CPDU Command Pulse Distribution Unit

CPU Central Processing Unit CR Compression Ratio

CRAF Comet Rendezvous and Asteroid Fly-by mission

CRB CCD Readout Board
CRC Cyclic Redundancy Code
CRF Command Request Files

CRID Command Request Interface Document CRMA Consolidated Report on Mission Analysis

CRP Contingency Recovery Procedure

CRV Command Station Reception Verification

CS Conducted Susceptibility
CSG Centre Spatiale Guyanaise
CSM Communication Switching Matrix

CSME Communication Switching Matrix Element

CSP Charge Sensitive Preamplifier

CSPL Consolidated Parameter Scenario List CSV Command Station Radiation Verification

CSY Converter Synchronisation

CTC Cost to Completion

CUC CCSDS Unsegmented Time Code



RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 23

CUV Command Uplink Verification

CVP Commissioning and Verification Phase

D/TOS Directorate of Technical and Operational Support DARA Deutsche Agentur für Raumfahrtangelegenheiten

DAT Digital Analog Tape

DAWG Data Archiving Working Group

DB Database

DBMS Data Base Management System

DC Data Centre
DC Direct Current

DCA Dedicated Control Area
DCL Declared Components List
DCR Document Change Request
DCR Data Change Request
DCR Dedicated Control Room

DCS Dust Collector Subsystem (COSIMA)

DCT Discrete Cosine Transform
D&D Design and Development
DDD Detailed Design Document

DDID Data Delivery Interface Document

DDS Data Distribution System

DDV Design Development and Verification

DEF Deflector

DFMS Double Focusing Mass Spectrometer

DIB DPU Interface Board

DISR Descent Imager/Spectral Radiometer

D/L Down Link

DLR Deutsche Forschungsanstalt fuer Luft und Raumfahrt e.V.

DM Dynamic Model

DMA Direct Memory Access
DML Declared Materials List

DML Delayed Memory Load command DMPL Declared Mechanical Parts List DMS Data Management System

DMSS Distributed Mission Support System

DoD Depth of Discharge DOF Degree Of Freedom

DOP Division Operating Procedures
DOR Direct Operation Request
DPL Declared Process List

DPSS Data Packet Switching System

DPU Data Processing Unit

DQE Detector Quantum Efficiency

DRAM Dynamic Random Access Memory

DRB Delivery Review Board
DS Digital Serial Aquisition
DSDB Data Sheet Database
DSN Deep Space Network
DSP Digital Signal Processor



RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 24

DSS Dornier Space Systems
DST Deep Space Transponder

DTMM Detailed Thermal Mathematical Model

DWG Drawing

DWT Discrete Wavelet Transform

ECDR Experiment Critical Design Review

ECF Expedited Command File

ECP Executable Control Procedures
ECR Expedite Command Request
ECR Engineering Change Request
EDAC Error Detection And Correction
EDC Error Detection Correction

E-DSF Expedite - Detailed Schedule File

EE External Entity (SCOE)

EEPROM Electrically Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory

EFDR Experiment Final Design Review
EFOR Experiment Flight Operations Review
EGSE Electrical Ground Support Equipment
EID Experiment Interface Document

EID Event Identification

EIDR Experiment Intermediate Design Review EIRP Equivalent Isotropic Radiated Power

ELC Electron

EM Engineering Model

EMC ElectroMagnetic Compatibility
EMI ElectroMagnetic Interference

EOC End of Cycle
EOL End of Life
EOP End of Packet

EPC Electrical Power Conditioner
EPS Electrical Power Subsystem
EQM Electrical Qualification Model
ERF Event Reporting Function
ESA European Space Agency
ESA Electrostatic Analyzer

ESANET European Space Agency's communications Network

ESARAD ESA RADiation

ESATAN ESA Thermal Analyser ESD Electrostatic Discharge

ESDS Electrostatic Discharge Sensitive

ESM Earth Strobing Mode

ESOC European Space Operations Centre

ESS Electrical Support System

ESTEC European Space Research and Technology Centre

ESTRACK European Space Tracking Network

ETS EMC Test Station
EUT Equipment under Test
EUV Extreme Ultra Violet
F/D Flight Dynamics



RPC-UserManual

Reference: RO-RPC-UM : **2** Issue Rev.

: 18 : October 06, 2011 Date

Page : 25

FAR Flight Acceptance Review

File Assembly Unit FAU

FAT Factory Acceptance Test Fold-Back Current Limiter FCL **FCP** Flight Control Procedure **FCS** Flight Control System Flight Control Team **FCT FCV** Flow Control Valve FD Flight Dynamics FD Frequency Domain

Failure Detection, Isolation and Recovery **FDIR**

FDR Flight Dynamics (Control) Room

Functional Design Review FDR Flight Dynamics Request **FDR FDS** Flight Dynamics System

FE Front End

FEC Front End Controller FEE Front End Equipment

FE-LAN Front-End Local Area Network

Finite Element Model FEM

FF Full Frame

FID **Function Identifier** FIFO First In First Out

Fabrication and Test Outline FITO

FΜ Flight Model

File Management FΜ

Failure Mode Effect and Criticality Analysis **FMECA**

Finnish Meteorological Institute FMI Failure Management system **FMS FMS** File Management System FOD Flight Operations Director Flight Operations Plan **FOP FOP** Flight Operation Procedure

FOV Field Of View

FP Formal Procedures **FPA** Focal Plane Assembly

Field Programmable Gate Array **FPGA** Fine Pointing Accuracy Phase **FRAP** Flight Readiness Review FRR

FS Flight Spare File Transfer FT

FTS File Transfer System **FTA** Fault Tree Analysis File Transfer Protocol **FTP**

FUSE Far Ultraviolet Spectrograph Experiment

FUV Far Ultra Violet

Filter Wheel Mechanism **FWM**

G/S **Ground Station**

GFURD Ground Facilities User Requirements Document



RPC-UserManual

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Page : 26

GH **Grand Heading**

Grain Impact Analyser and Dust Accumulator (Orbiter Payload) GIADA Pointing on Ephemeris Phase: Phase with gyroless stellar **GLEP**

estimator

Global Mapping Injection point GMI Global Mapping Insertion GMI **GMT** Greenwich Mean Time

General Purpose Instrument Bus (IEEE 488-75) **GPIB**

GPR Ground Penetrating Radar

GRD Graphic Display

Ground Reference Model GRM

GS **Ground Station**

Ground Segment Design Review GSDR Ground Support Equipment GSE

GSEP Pointing on Ephemeris Phase: Phase with Gyro-stellar estimator

GSIR Ground Segment Implementation Review GSIS Ground Station Interface Specification

Ground Segment Manager GSM

Ground Segment Management Plan GSMP German Space Operations Centre **GSOC GSP** Ground commanded Slew Phase

GSRQR Ground Segment Requirements Review GSRR Ground Segment Readiness Review

Gravitational Waves GW

H/W Hardware **HDBK** Handbook

Hardware Design Review HDR

HF High Frequency

HFC High Frequency Clock High Gain Antenna HGA **HGAPM HGA Pointing Mechanism**

HIB Hibernation

HIPPS Highly Integrated Pluto Payload System

Housekeeping HK HL **High Limit**

Hibernation Mode НМ

HMC Halley Multicolour Camera

Hierarchical Object Oriented Design HOOD

High Power Amplifier **HPA HPC High Power Command**

HPC Module HPCM

High Performance Demodulator HPD HRM Holddown & Release Mechanism

HSD High Speed Data

Handling Transport Clamp Band HTCB

High Voltage HV

High Voltage Power Supply **HVPS** Individually Controlled I/C

I/F Interface



RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 27

I/O Input/Output

I&T Integration & Testing

IAA Instituto de Astrofisica de Andalucia IAS Institute d'Astrophysique Spatiale

IAS-CNR Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale/Consiglio Nazionale delle

Richerche

IB Inboard

I-BOB Intelligent Break Out Box

ICA Ion Composition Analyser (RPC)

IC Imperial College, London ICD Interface Control Document

ID Identifier

IDA Institut für Datenverarbeitungsanlagen

IDR Instrument Design Review

IEEE Institute of Electric and Electronics Engineers

IES Ion and Electron Sensor (RPC)

IF Intermediate Frequency

IFEM Interface Finite Element Model

IFOV Intrinsic Field Of View

IMMM Interface Mechanical Mathematical Model

IMP Imager for Mars Pathfinder

INTA Instituto Nacional de Tecnica Aerospacial

I/O Input / Output

IQR Internal Quality Report

IR Infra Red

IS Impact Sensor (GIADA)

ISO International Standards Organisation

IST Integrated System Test

IT Integration Test IT Interruption

ITMM Interface Thermal Mathematical Model

ITP Integration Test Plan
ITR Integration Test Report
ITT Invitation To Tender

IUE Internal Ultraviolet Explorer

IWF Institut für Weltraumforschung, Graz JPEG Joint Photographics Experts Group

JPL Jet Propulsion Laboratory

KAL Keep Alive Line
KAU Kilo Accounting Units
KBPS Kilo-Bits Per Second

KFKI Hungarian Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics

KO Kick Off

L Launch (time)
LAN Local Area Network
LAP Langmuir Probe (RPC)

LAS Laboratoire d'Astronomie Spatiale

LCB Last Chance Bit

LCDA Launcher Coupled Dynamic Analysis



RPC-UserManual

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 28

LCL Latching Current Limiter

LDL Long Debye Length (LAP/MIP Mode)

LEOP Launch and Early Orbit Phase LESS Lander Electrical Support System

LET Linear Energy Transfer

LF Low Frequency
LGA Low Gain Antenna

LID Lander Interface Document

LIFO Last In First Out

LIGA Lithographie, Galvanoformung und Abformung

LILT Low Intensity Low Temperature

LISN Line Impedance Stabilization Network

LIT Listen-In Test
LL Low Limit
LM Launch Mode

LMSS Lander Mechanical Support and Separation systems

LNA Low Noise Amplifier LO Local Oscillator

LOR Lander Operational Request

LOS Loss Of Signal LOS Line Of Sight

LPCE Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environnement

LRR Launch Readiness Review

LSB Least Significant Bit
LSI Large Scale Integration

LU Latch Up
LV Latch Valve

LVO Label Value Object

LVPS Low Voltage Power Supply

LZ Launch Window LZ Lander = SSP

M&C Monitoring and Control
MAC Model Assurance Criterion
MACS Modular Attitude Control System
MAG Fluxgate Magnetometer (RPC)
MAP Multiplexing Access Point
MAS Mission Analysis Section

MB Measurement Block

MBS Micro Balance Sensor (GIADA)

MC Measurement Cycle

MCM Monitoring and Control Module

MCM Multi Chip Module

MC-OCF Master Channel – Operational Control Field

MCP Micro Channel Plate MCR Main Control Room

MCR Memory Checksum Request

MCRR Mission Commissioning Results Review

MCS Mission Control System MDR Memory Dump Request



RPC-UserManual

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** : 18 Issue Rev.

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 29

MGA Medium Gain Antenna

MGM Magnetometer

MGSE Mechanical Ground Support Equipment Mechanical Interface Control Document MICD

Memory Identifier MID

ORBITER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT MIDAS

Monitoring Interval MINT

MIP Mission Implementation Plan MIP Mutual Impedance Probe (RPC) MIP Mandatory Inspection Points

Mission Implementation Requirements Document MIRD

MIRO Microwave Instrument for the Rosetta Orbiter (Orbiter Payload)

Memory Load, Medium Level ML Memory Load Command MLC Multi Layer Insulation MLI

Mass Memory MM

Memory Management MM Mass Memory Board **MMB**

MMD Mimic Display

MMU

Mono Methyl Hydrazine, (MMH-LTO) **MMH**

Man Machine Interface MMI MMS Matra Marconi Space

MMS-B Matra Marconi Space (Bristol) Matra Marconi Space (Stevenage) MMS-H MMS-T Matra Marconi Space (Toulouse)

Memory Management Unit Mission Operations Centre MOC Mission Operations Department MOD LANDER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT **MODULUS**

Moment Of Inertia MOI

MOP Mission Operations Phase

MOS Margin Of Safety

MOU Memorandum Of Understanding

MPA Mission Planning Area

MPAE Max Planck Institut für Aeronomie

Max Planck Institut MPI

MPIK Max Planck Institut für Kernphysik

MPP Multiple Phase Pinning

Maximum Power Point Tracking **MPPT**

Memory Patch Request **MPR** Mission Planning System **MPS MPTS** Multi Purpose Tracking System

MRB Material Review Board **MRT** Mission Readiness Test Most Significant Bit MSB

Mission System Design Review MSDR

Master Science Plan **MSP**

Mechanical Support and Separation system MSS

Mission Simulation Test MST



RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page: 30

MSSW Mission Specific Software

MTL Mission Timeline
MTTR Mean Time To Repair

MUPUS Multi Purpose Sensor experiment (Lander Payload)

MUSC Microgravity User Support Centre

MUX Multiplexer N/A Not Applicable

NAC Narrow Angle Camera NACK Not Acknowledge

NASA National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASAPSCN NASA Private System Communication Network

NASTRAN NASA Structural Analysis Tool

NAVCAM Navigation Camera

NB Narrow Band NC Non Conformity NCM Near Comet Mode

NCR Non Conformance Report

NCTRS Network Control and Telemetry Receiver System

NDIU Network Data Interface Unit NDM Neutral Dynamics Monitor

NF Normal Frequency NM Normal Mode

NOCC Network Operations Control Centre (JPL)

NRT Near Real Time

NRZ-L Never Return to Zero-Level

NTO Nitrogen Tetroxide
OA Operational Archive
OAP Off Axis Paraboloid

OB Onboard OB Outboard

OBC On-Board Computer
OBC On-Board Clock

OBCP On-Board Control Procedure
OBDH On-Board Data Handling
OBEM On-Board Event Monitoring

OBR Observation Request OBS On-Board Software

OBSM On-Board Software Maintenance

OBSW On-Board Software
OBT On-Board Time
OC Output Code
OC Open Centre

OCC Operations Control Centre

OCM Orbit Control Mode

OCXO Oven Controlled Crystal Oscillator

OD Operations Director

OHP Observatoire d'Haute Provence

OIOR Orbiter Instrument Operational Request

OIP Orbit Injection Point



RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 31

OM Operations Manager
OMM Operational Macro Mode

OOL Out Of Limits

OPI Orbiter Payload Instrument

OPS Operations

ORATOS Orbit Attitude Operations System
ORS Operation Request Structure
OSI Open System Interconnection

OSIRIS Optical, Spectroscopic and Infrared Remote Imaging System

(Orbiter) Payload)
Open University

P/B Play Back (data from Solid State Recorder)

P/L Payload

OU

PA Product Assurance

PAD Padding (to a good block length modulo packet length,

packets only, LAP)

integer packets only, LAP)

PAIP Product Assurance Implementation Plan PALASIM Parallel Access Large Silicon Memory

PC Project Control
PC Passive Checkout

PCA Pressure Controlled Assembly

PCB Printed Board Circuit

PCE Power Controller Electronics
PCM Pulse Code Modulation
PCM Power Converter Module
PCS Packet Check Sequence
PCU Power Control Unit
PDF Product Definition File
PDL Pseudo Design Language
PDR Preliminary Design Review

PDR Preliminary Design Review
PDS Planetary Data System
PDU Power Distribution Unit
PEM Project Element Manager
PEM Plasma Environment Monitor
PES Performance Evaluation System

PFC Parameter Format Code

PFM Proto Flight Model

PHD Project History Documents
PI Principal Investigator
PID Parameter Identifier
PID Process Identifier
PIR Post Integration Review

PISA Principal Investigators Support Area

PIU Plasma Interface Unit (RPC)

PKT Packet

PLM Payload Module
PM Project Manager
PM Processing Module



RPC-UserManual

Reference: RO-RPC-UM : **2** Issue Rev.

: 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 32

PMD Propellant Management Device

Project Management Information System PMIS

PMP Part Material and Process **Processor Module Unit** PMU POR **Payload Operation Request**

PPWR Primary Power

Pixel Response Non Uniformity **PRNU** Programmable Read Only Memory PROM PRR Propellant Refillable Reservoir

PS Pass Schedule

Planetary Science Archive PSA **PSF** Point Spread Function

PSK Phase Shift Key

Payload Support Module **PSM PSR Project Support Room Processor Status Registers PSR PSR Project Status Review**

PSRI Planetary Science Research Institute

Portable Satellite Simulator **PSS**

PSS Procedures, Specifications and Standards

PSS Programme System Standards

PSU Power Supply Unit PT **Product Tree**

Parameter Type Code **PTC** PTR Pointing Requirement File

Post, Telegraph and Telephone authority PTT

Pre-Transmission Validation PTV Packet Utilisation Standard **PUS PVNC** Pyro Valve Normally Closed **PVNO** Pyro Valve Normally Opened

Quality Assurance QΑ

Quality Assurance Engineer QAE **Quality Assurance Management** QAM

QAPM Quality Assurance Procedures Manual

QC **Quality Control**

Quality Policy Manual QPM Qualification Test Review QTR Research & Development R&D

Real Time (system) R/T **RAF** Return All Frames

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory RAL

Random Access Memory RAM

RAMS Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety

Resolution Band Width **RBW** RC Responsibility Code Remote Computer RC

RCCCS Rosetta Common Checkout & Control System

Reaction Control Subsystem **RCS**

Reference Document RD



RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : **October 06, 2011**

Page : 33

RDB Rosetta Database

RDDD Rosetta Database Definition Document

RDDS Rosetta Data Disposition System

RDM Raw Data Medium
RDVM Rendezvous Maneuvre
RE Radiation Emission
RF Radio Frequency

RF S/S Radio Frequency Subsystem (TT&C S/S)

RFC Request For Change

RFC Radio Frequency Self Compatibility

RFD Request for Deviation

RFDU Radio Frequency Distribution Unit

RFI Radio Frequency Interface RFMU Radio Frequency Mock-Up

RFW Request For Waiver RH Radiation Hardened

RID Review Item Discrepancy / Disposition

RIS Remote Imaging System

RISC Reduced Instruction Set Computer

RL Register Load

RLA Register Load Address

RLG Ring Laser Gyro

RLGS Rosetta Lander Ground Segment

RM Reconfiguration Module

RMCS Rosetta Mission Control System
RMOC Rosetta Mission Operations Centre

RNCTRS Rosetta Network Control & Telemetry Receiver System ROIRD ROSETTA Operations Interface Requirements Document

ROKSY Rosetta Knowledgement System
ROLIS LANDER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT

ROM Read Only Memory

ROMAP Rosetta Magnetic Field and Plasma experiment (Lander

Payload)

ROSINA Rosetta Orbiter Spectrometer for Ion and Neutral Analysis

(Orbiter Payload)

ROSIS ROSETTA Spacecraft Interface Simulator

RP Rundown Phase

RPC Rosetta Plasma Consortium (Orbiter Payload)

RPE Relative Pointing Error
RPM Remote Processing Module
RRP Rate Reduction Phase

RPRO ROSETTA Common Packetized Protocol

RSDB ROSETTA System Database

RS Radiated Susceptibility

RSI Radio Science Investigation (Orbiter Payload)

RSOC Rosetta Science Operations Centre

RSS Root Sum Square

RT Real Time

RT Remote Terminal



RPC-UserManual

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 34

RTC Real Time Clock

RTM Reduced Thermal Model

RTMM Reduced Thermal Mathematical Model

Reflectron Time Of Flight RTOF Remote Terminal Unit RTU **RWA** Reaction Wheel Assembly

RWL Reaction Wheel

RX Receiver S/A Solar Array S/C Spacecraft

Safe / Hold Mode S/HM

S/S Subsystem S/W Software SA Solar Array

SAA Solar Aspect Angle

SADM Solar Array Drive Mechanism

Sun Aguisition Mode SAM Sun SAP Sun Aquisition Phase SAP Science Activity Plan Sun Aquisition Sensor SAS

SASW Standard Application Software Standard Balanced Digital Link SBDL Spacecraft Elapsed Time SCET Spacecraft Control Language SCL SCOE Spacecraft Check Out Equipment

SCP Sun Capture Phase

Satellite (Spacecraft) Data Base SDB

System Design Document SDD

Software Development Environment SDE Station Data Interchange Document SDID

SDR System Design Review

System Engineer SE

(16,22) Hamming Single bit for Error Correcting code **SECDED**

SEL Single Event Latch-up

SEPAC Space Experiment with Particule Accelerator

LANDER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT SESAME

SEU Single Event Upset Safety Factor SF

SFDU Standard Formatted Data Unit

SFT System Functional Test

Space Ground Interface Control Document SGICD

SGM Safeguard Memory

SI Silicon

Structure Identifier SID

SIM Simulator

SIMSAT Software Infrastructure for Modelling SATellites

SIR Simulation Room

Spacecraft Information System SIS Spacecraft Interface Simulator SIS



RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 35

SIV Software Independent Validation

SKM Sun Keeping Mode
SLE Space Link Extension
SLI Space wire Link I/F
SM Structural Model

SMCS Scalable Multi-Channel Communication Subsystem

SMD Surface Mounted Device SNR Signal to Noise Ratio

SOC Science Operations Centre

SOHO Solar & Heliospheric Observatory
SOM Spacecraft Operations Manager
SOR Spacecraft Operation Request
SOT Science Operations Team

SOW Statement of Work

SOWG Science operating working group

SPACON Spacecraft Controller SPB Superpixel Binning

SPC Science Programme Committee

SPD Space Division
SPG Single Point Ground
SPL Scenario Parameter List

SPEVAL Spacecraft Performance Evaluation System

SpM Sin-up Mode SPP Sun Point Phase

SPR Software Problem Report SPT Specific Performance Test

SPWR Secondary Power

SQA Terma Space Division Quality Assurance

SR Software Requirements

SRD Software Requirements Document
SREM Standard Radiation Environment Model
SRR Subsystems Requirements Review

SSC Status Consistency Checking SSD Space Science Department SSMM Solid State Mass Memory SSP Surface Science Package SSPA Solid State Power Amplifier

SSR Solid State Recorder STC Station Computer

STIL Irish Space Technology Institute

STM Structural Thermal Model

STN Standard

STO Sovuz Transfer Orbit

STP System Temperature Point

STR Star Tracker

STSP Solar Terrestrial Science Programme

SUM Software User Manual

SuM Survival Mode

SVF Software Validation Facility



RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 1 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 36

SVM Service Module

SVT System Validation Test

SW Software

SWG Science Working Group SWR Standing Wave Ratio

SWRI South West Research Institute

SWT Science Working Team

TBC To be confirmed TBD To Be Defined TBI To be Inserted

TBP Time Broadcast Pulse

TBR To be resolved TBS To be supplied TBW To be written TC Telecommand

TCDL Test Configuration Data List
TCDP Tele Command Detail Parameter
TCGP Tele Command Global Parameter
TCM Trajectory Correction Manoeuvre
TCP-IP Transport Protocol-Internet Protocol

TCS Test Control System

TCS Thermal Control Subsystem
TCSL Test Configuration Status List
TC S/S Thermal Control Subsystem

TD Time Domain

TER Terma Elektronic A.S. TF Transfer Function

TFG Transfer Frame Generator
THA Transport Handling Adapter

TID Task Identifier

TIDE Thermal Ion Dynamics Explorer

TLC Telecommand
TLM Telemetry
TM Telemetry

TMM Thermal Mathematical Model

TMP Telemetry Processing System (within ground station)

TOP Transfer Orbit Phase

TR Tone Ranging
TRB Test Review Board

TRP Test Report

TRP Temperature Reference Point

TRR Test Readiness Review

TRRB Test Readiness Review Board

TSE Test Support Equipment

TSP Test Specification

TSY Timer Synchronisation Pulse

TT&C Tracking, Telemetry & Commanding

TT&C S/S

Telemetry, Telecommand and Communication Subsystem

(RF S/S)



Rosetta

RPC-UserManual

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 37

TTC Tracking, Telemetry & Commanding
TUB Technical University of Budapest
TUB Technical University Braunschweig
TUBS Technical University Braunschweig

TV Thermal Vacuum

TWTA Travelling Wave Tube Assembly TWTL Two Way Travelling Lighttime

TX Transmitter

UARS Upper Atmospheric Research satellite

UD User Defined UM User Manual

UMOS Ultimate Margin Of Safety

UFT Unit Functional Test

U/L Up Link

UPM Universidad Politecnica de Madrid URD User Requirements Document

URF Unit Reference Frame USO Ultra Stable Oscillator

UTC Universal Time Coordinated

UTC Universal Time Code

UV Ultra Violet

UVD Under Voltage Detector

UVSC Ultra Violet Spectrometer Component

V&V Verification & Validation

VC Virtual Channel

VCA Virtual Channel Assembler
VCM Virtual Channel Multiplexer
VDC Voltage Direct Current
VDU Video Display Unit

VHDL VHSIC Hardware Description Language

VHF Very High Frequency

VHSIC Very High Speed Integrated Circuit

VIS Vertical Integration Stand

VIMS Visual Infrared Mapping Spectrometer VIRTIS ORBITER PAYLOAD INSTRUMENT

VIS Visual

VSWR Voltage Standing Wave Ratio

VT Validation Test
VTP Validation Test Plan
VTR Validation Test Report

W/S Work Station

WAC Wide Angle Camera

WAOSS Wide Angle Optoelectric Stereo Scanner

WBS Work Breakdown Structure

WBS Workpackage Breakdown Structure

WCA Worst Case Analysis

WD Watch Dog

WDE Wheel Drive Electronics

WDW Window



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 38

WIU Wave Guide Interface Unit

WP Work Package

WPD Work Package Description

WRT With Respect To

WTC Wavelet Transform Coding WVR Water Vapor Radiometer

WWW World Wide Web

YMOS Yield Margin Of Safety ZOM Zero Order Monitor



Rosetta ROSETTA Issue : 2 RPC-UserManual Page : 39

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Documentation Change Record

Issue	Rev.	Sect.	Date	Changes	ECR No.
Draft	0.93	All	16.11.00	First draft issue	N/A
Draft	0.94	All	28.11.00	LAPUM implemented; EIDB update implemented	N/A
Draft	0.95	All	20.12.00	UM Meeting partially added & changed	N/A
Draft	0.96	All	15.03.01	EIDB Changes partially implemented	N/A
Draft	0.97	All	06.07.01		N/A
Draft	0.987	All	20.02.02	SOWG comments added, etc	N/A
Draft	0.99	All	30.05.02	EFOR comments implemented	N/A
Draft	0.992	All	21.06.02	Flyby Scenarios added	N/A
Draft	0.994	All	28.10.02	Minor Improvements	N/A
Draft	0.995	All	21.11.02	RSDB reference, minor improvements	N/A
1	0	All	01.12.03	Minor changes	N/A
2	07	All	22.12.05	Various changes	N/A
2	08		10.04.06	Action on dEFOR Comments	N/A
2	09		10.05.07	Action on dEFOR Comments	N/A
2	091	Ref. Docs	15.05.07	List Updated	N/A
2	11		06.08.07	Final Actions on dEFOR Comments	N/A
2	12		03.09.07	Changes on MIP & LAP	N/A
2	14		21.06.11	IES Changes, Changes on review according to RO-EST-LI-3383	N/A
2	18		6.10.11	Final Version after review acc. to RO-EST-LI-3383	N/A



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 40

1 General Description

1.1 Scientific Objectives

The Rosetta Orbiter Plasma Consortium (RPC) will consist of five sensors:

• Langmuir Probe (LAP)

• Ion and Electron Sensor (IES)

• Ion Composition Analyser (ICA)

• Fluxgate Magnetometer (MAG)

Mutual Impedance Prob (MIP),

as well as a joint

• Plasma Interface Unit (PIU)

acting as instrument control, spacecraft interface, and power management unit.

The scientific objectives are far reaching and related to the overall scientific aims of the ROSETTA mission. It is intended to investigate the following scientific areas of interest:

- The physical properties of the cometary nucleus and its surface Special emphasis will be paid to determine the electrical properties of the crust, its remnant magnetization, surface charging and surface modification due to solar wind interaction, and early detection of cometary activity.
- The inner coma structure, dynamics, and aeronomy

Charged particle observation as planned will allow a detailed examination of the aeronomic processes in the coupled dust-neutral gas-plasma environment of the inner coma, its thermodynamics, and structure such as the inner shocks.

 The development of cometary activity, and the micro- and macroscopic structure of the solar-wind interaction region as well as the formation and development of the cometary tail.



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 41

The study of the cometary lonopause
 The ionopause is one of the major boundaries and comet-solar wind interaction regions. It was theoretically predicted and first and only observed by the Giotto s/c at comet Halley. The structure and dynamics as well as the formation of the ionpause is largely unknown and therefore a major a science objective for RPC.

- The study of the plasma / gas composition & interaction
 Cometary dust, evolving from the cometary surface, gets partially
 be charged by UV-and collision processes. The charged dust
 particles interact with the plasma environment. Due to low m/q
 ratio its behavor differs from ionized gas. The interaction is one of
 the most fundamental process during the solar system and planet
 formation.
- Asteroid Solar wind interaction
 The planned asteroid flybys of the ROSETTA spacecraft will provide an excellent opportunity to study in detail the physics of the solar wind asteroid interaction. The proposed payload is also most suitable to investigate this interaction. Furthermore, the planned observations will allow us to study the magnetic and electric conductivity properties of the asteroid.

1.2 Experiment Overview

Our RPC plasma consortium consists of five different sensors and a common plasma interface unit (PIU) as a single interface between the package and the spacecraft. Such a highly integrated package saves spacecraft resources such as mass and power. Great care has been taken to provide robust sensors of proven technology that will operate and survive in a cometary environment. The sensors used bear heritage from many different space missions such as GEOS 2, ARCAD 3, Voyager, Giotto, CLUSTER, Viking, Freja, MARS-96, and Cassini.



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

: 42

1.2.1 Instrument Overview and Accomodation

Sensor etc.	Mnemonic	Responsible Group
LAngmuir Probe	LAP	IRF-U, Uppsala
Ion and Electron Sensor	IES	SwRI, San Antonio
Ion Composition Analyser	ICA	IRF-K, Kiruna
Fluxgate MAGnetometer	MAG	IGEP, TU Braunschweig
Mutual Impedance Probe	MIP	LPCE, Orleans
Plasma Interface Unit	PIU	ICSTM, London
Electrical Ground Support Equipment	EGSE	ICSTM, London

Table 1.2-1: RPC Instruments

The accommodation of the sensors and interfaces are indicated in Figure 1.2-2 and Figure 1.2-3.



Rosetta Reference Issue RPC-UserManual Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM Issue : 2 Rev

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 43




Figure 1.2-1: RPC Overall Block Diagram



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 44

LAP two sensors, each mounted at the tip of about 1.5m

long booms, separated > 1m in the direction towards

the nucleus

IES body mounted at the nucleus facing edge of the

instrument platform

ICA body mounted at the nucleus facing edge of the

instrument platform

MAG two sensors mounted at a distance of about 1.4 m

> and 1.55 m from the s/c and close to the tip of the MAG/LAP-boom. The boom points roughly in negative x-direction, hence pointing away from

nucleus.

MIP boom mounted: the four electrodes that make up the

> sensor are mounted at a minimal distance of 1m from the spacecraft structure, sensor pointing

towards the comet direction (within 45°).

PIU The PIU is contained within the RPC common

electronic box, which also houses the MAG, MIP and

LAP electronics




Figure 1.2-2: RPC Sensors Layout (stowed)



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 45




Figure 1.2-3: RPC Sensors Layout (deployed)

Units RPC-3.0, RPC-4.0 RPC-5.0 and RPC-6.0 are stacked in a single assembly referred to as RPC-0.



Rosetta ROSETTA Issue : 2 RPC-UserManual Page : 46

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Experiment	Experiment	Item Name	Proces	Service Type
Unit	Name		s ID	Range
RPC-0		Common electronics box		
RPC-3.0		LAP control electronics		
RPC-4.0		MIP control electronics		
RPC-5.0		MAG control electronics		
RPC-6.0		PIU		
RPC-1	IES		84	210-219
RPC-1.1		IES sensor assembly		
RPC-2	ICA		85	220-229
RPC-2.1		ICA sensor assembly		
RPC-3	LAP		86	230-239
RPC-3.0		LAP control electronics		
RPC-3.1		LAP sensor 1		
RPC-3.2		LAP sensor 2		
RPC-3.3		LAP sensor support 1		
RPC-3.4		LAP sensor support 2		
RPC-4	MIP		87	240-249
RPC-4.0		MIP control electronics		
RPC-4.1		MIP sensor assembly		
RPC-5	MAG		88	250-255
RPC-5.0		MAG control electronics		
RPC-5.1		MAG OB sensor		
RPC-5.2		MAG IB sensor		
RPC-6	PIU		83	200-209
RPC-6.0		PIU		

Table 1.2-2: Experiment Assignment



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM**Issue : **2** Rev. : **18**

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 47

1.2.2 Sensor Descriptions

1.2.2.1 Langmuir Probe (LAP)

The LAP sensors are two spherical Langmuir probes, one probe at the tip of each of the two solid booms. The probes are identified as RPC-3.1 and RPC-3.2 though the abbreviations P1 and P2 are often used. The probes can be independently operated in any of to bias modes:

A *bias voltage* can be applied to the probe. In this case the asic measured quantity is the current flowing from the probe to the plasma.

A *bias* current (including zero, corresponding to floating probes) can be applied to the probe. In this case, the basic quantity measured is the voltage of the probe with respect to the spacecraft.

Probe P2 may also be used by the MIP instrument for use in the LDL (Long Debye Length) mode. In general, voltage bias is to be used for determining the prime LAP science parameters of the plasma density, electron temperature, plasma flow speed, and the density fluctuation spectrum, while the bias current is applied to get measurements of spacecraft potential and electric (wave) fields. The bias can either be constant or "swept", i.e. varied in steps over some range of voltage or current. LAP also has the possibility to apply a square-wave voltage of up to a few kHz to one probe and observe the resulting signal on the other probe.

A variety of different measurements can be produced by this arrangement, producing different data types. The basic data types are listed above. However, it should be noted that the LAP flight s/w is very flexible, and functions can be defined for construction of other data types not listed here.

Time series data.

With the probes at constant bias, the time series, at some constant sampling frequency, from both or any of the probes, or derived time series like their sum or their average, can be transmitted.

Probe bias sweeps.

The bias voltage (or current) can be varied during a, brief interval, known as a sweep. While the samples acquired still constitute a time series, the basic assumption is that the plasma does not vary during the short sweep, and the sweep is treated as a set of instantaneous and simultaneous samples acquired at different bias.

Spectral data.

The LAP onboard software can also calculate frequency spectra from the time series data.

Within the fundamental restrictions of low power and mass figures, LAP must be able to perform among others the following tasks:

• Perform plasma diagnostics by Langmuir probe sweeps for a wide range of plasma parameters. This implies the possibility to vary the probe bias



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 48

voltage, with the number, size and duration of the sweep steps optimised for the expected plasma parameters.

- Determine plasma flow velocities up to 10 km/s by a dual-probe time-of-flight technique. As the LAP probe separation is 5 m, this leads to the possibility to observe time shifts down to 0.5 ms, which is allowed by the 18.75 kHz sampling frequency of the LAP probes.
- Estimate electrical fields and spacecraft potentials as well as plasma density variations. This is implemented by the implementation of a fixed-bias-current mode in addition to the fixed-bias-voltage mode.
- Observe plasma wave fields at least up to the 7 kHz lower limit of RPC-MIP. This is possible by the inclusion of analog filters cutting at 8 kHz and fast sampling of two of the four ADCs at 18.75 kHz.
- Implement an active mode for investigation of the propagation of low frequency (up to a few kHz) waves. This implies the possibility to transmit a signal, with an adjustable frequency, amplitude and duration, from one probe and receive it at the other probe, with the possibility to interchange the Tx/Rx roles of the probes.
- Allow one of the probes to be used as a receiving antenna for the MIP instrument in its long-Debye length mode.
- Observe the electrostatic signature of dust impacts. This is possible using the 18.75 kHz sampling.

In addition to these fundamental capabilities, LAP also implements a limited propagation experiment, where square waves can be generated on any of the two probes and observed on the other, and also has the capability to observe the electrostatic signature of dust impacts using the 18.75 kHz sampling.

The functionality and general design of the LAP electronics are illustrated by the block diagrams in Figure 1.2-4, Figure 1.2-5 and Figure 1.2-6. Figure 1.2-4 shows the input stage to one of the two probes: the other one is similar. With switches in the position shown, the instrument is configured for current bias (E-field mode). Figure 1.2-5 shows the bias circuitry concept, and Figure 1.2-6 the digital electronics. More on the LAP design can be found in the published instrument description RD-LAP-6.

From the list above and the block diagrams, it should be clear that LAP is characterized by a large number of different operational modes. When comparing the very limited science telemetry rates available (1.6 bit/s, 62.5 bit/s or 2253 bit/s) to the data generated inside LAP (18.75 ksamples/s from two probes with 16 bit samples implies 600 kbit/s), it is obvious that another characteristic of LAP is the need for onboard data processing. This is achieved by digital filtering and sample selection mechanisms, controlled by the LAP macros. The flight software also includes provisions for onboard spectral calculations, though this is not implemented in present science modes. A summary of the expected LAP performance is given in Table 1.2-3.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 49

Quantity	Range
Electron/ ion number density	1 – 10 ⁶ cm ⁻³
Electron temperature	~10 meV – 10 eV
Plasma flow velocity	up to 10 km/s
Electron/ion number density fluctuations	0.05 - 50 %
Spacecraft potential	±30 V
Plasma Waves	0 – 8 kHz
Solar UV integrated ionizing flux (if n _e < 3 x 10 ³ cm ⁻³)	
Dust impacts (if d > 1mm, v > hundreds m/s)	

Table 1.2-3: Summary of expected LAP performance

Langmuir Probe (LAP) Input Stage




Figure 1.2-4 LAP diagram for the input stage of one of the two probes.



Rosetta Reference: RO-RPC-UM Issue : **2** Rev.

Date : October 06, 2011

: 18

Page : 50

LAP Transmitter, Floating power supply and DAC converters




Figure 1.2-5 Langmuir probe (LAP) block diagram for the bias circuitry.



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 51

LAP Processor Board




Figure 1.2-6: Langmuir Probe (LAP) Block Diagram for the digital electronics



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev.

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 52

1.2.2.2 Ion and Electron Sensor (IES)

The IES for ROSETTA is an electrostatic analyzer (ESA), featuring electrostatic angular deflection to obtain a field of view of 90° x 360°. The instrument objective is to obtain ion and electron distribution functions over the energy range extending from 4 eV/e up to17.7 keV/e, with a basic 3D time resolution of 1s. The angular resolution for electrons is 6° x 22.5° (16 elevation and 16 azimuthal sectors). For ions the angular resolution is 6° x 45° (16 elevation and 8 polar-angle sectors) with additional segmentation to nine 5° sectors in the 45° azimuthal sector most likely to contain the solar wind (giving a total of 16 azimuthal sectors for ions). A complete sweep of elevation angles and energies requires a minimum of 128 s. Table 1.2-4 lists the complete set of IES performance parameters and its resource requirements. The back-to-back top hat geometry of the IES electrostatic analyzer allows it to analyze both electrons and positive ions with a single entrance aperture. The IES top hat analyzers have toroidal geometry with a smaller radius of curvature in the deflection plane than in the orthogonal plane. This toroidal feature results in a flat deflection plate geometry at the poles of the analyzers and has the advantage that the focal point is located outside the analyzers rather than within them, as is the case with spherical top hat analyzers. In addition, the IES entrance aperture contains electrostatic deflection electrodes, which expand its elevation angle field of view to ±45°. With the typical top hat azimuthal field of view of 360°, the IES acquires a total solid angle of 2.8 π steradians.

lons and electrons approaching the IES first encounter a toroidal-shaped grounded grid encircling the instrument. Once inside the grid the electric field produced by bipolar electrodes deflects ions and electrons with a range of energies and elevation angles into a field-free entrance aperture containing serrated walls to minimize scattering of ultraviolet light and charged particles into the instrument. The particles then enter the top hat region and the electric field produced by the flat electrostatic analyzer segments of the ion and electron analyzers. Particles within a narrow 4% energy pass band will pass through the analyzers and be focused onto the electron and ion MCPs, which produce charge pulses on 16 discrete anodes, which define the azimuthal acceptance angles. The selected energy will correspond to the voltages on the ESAs.

Pulses from the segmented MCPs are amplified by charge-sensitive preamplifiers (CSPs) and recorded in the 16 x 24 bit ion and electron counters. The data are buffered before being sent to the output serial register for transmission to the PIU as serial telemetry packets. The stepping sequences of the angle and energy deflection voltages of the instrument are determined by the modes of operation.

The IES instrument will contain a single micro-controller (RTX2010) as shown in. This micro-controller shall communicate with the PIU over the IEEE 1355 bus, transmit the collected science data, and monitor the instrument status. The flight software is written in the C and Forth programming languages.

The PIU shall store and re-transmit the data stream that the instrument produces. Other than data compression, no special data handling is required. The PIU shall store time-tagged commands so that a sequence of commands



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 53

can be performed between the times that ground stations are in direct contact with the satellite.

Paramete	<u>er</u>	<u>Value</u>	
Energy:	Range Resolution Scan	4 eV to 17.7 keV 0.04 mode-dependent	
Angle:	Range (FOV)	90° x 360°	(2.8 π sr)
	Resolution (electrons)	6° x 22.5°	(16 elevation x 16 azimuthal)
	Resolution (ions)	6° x 45° (6° x 5° for ions in one sector)	(16 azimuthal x 16 polar)
Temporal	resolution:		
3D distribution downlink data		1 s 128 s	
Geometric factor:			
total (ions) per 45° sector (ions) total (electrons) per sector (electrons)		5 x 10 ⁻⁴ cm ² sr eV/e 5 x 10 ⁻⁵ cm ² sr eV/e 5 x 10 ⁻⁵ cm ² sr eV/e 5 x 10 ⁻⁶ cm ² sr eV/e	V counts/electron V counts/electron

Table 1.2-4: Summary of expected IES performance



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 54




Figure 1.2-7: Ion and Electron Sensor (IES) Block Diagram



Reference : RO-RPC-UM Issue : 2 Rev

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 55

1.2.2.3 Ion Composition Analyser (ICA)

The ion composition analyser uses the same type of elevation analyser as the IES. Particles enter the analyser through an outer-grounded grid. Behind the grid is a deflection system whose purpose is to deflect particles coming from angles between 45° and 135° with respect to the vertical axis, into the electrostatic analyser. Ions within a swept energy pass band will pass the electrostatic analyser. The ions are then deflected in a cylindrical magnetic field set up by permanent magnets; the field deflects lighter ions more than heavier ions into the centre of the analyser. The ions finally hit an MCP and are detected by an anode system. Ions are analysed in both direction and mass per charge simultaneously. The magnet assembly can be biased with respect to the electrostatic analyser to post accelerate ions; this post acceleration enables a selection of both mass range and mass resolution.

Quantity		Range		
Energy:	Range	1 eV to 40 KeV		
	Resolution	ΔE/E = 0.07		
	Scan	Mode-dependent; normally 96		
Angle:	Range (FOV);	90° x 360° (2.8 π sr)		
	Resolution	5° x 22.5°		
		(16 elevation steps x 16 sectors)		
Temporal resolution:	2D distribution	4 s (solar wind mode) 12 s (normal mode)		
	3D distribution	64 s (solar wind mode) 192 s (normal mode)		
Geometric factor:				
	per 22.5° sector	6 x 10 ⁻⁴ cm ² sr		
	per 360° sector	1 x 10 ⁻² cm ² sr		

Table 1.2-5: Summary of expected ICA performance



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 56

The instrument contains the following high voltages:

- entrance deflection voltages for the upper and lower electrodes
- electrostatic analyser deflection voltage
- postacceleration voltage
- MCP bias voltage

The HV supplies is built providing MCP bias voltage and Main High voltage. Entrance deflection voltages for the upper and lower electrodes, electrostatic analyser deflection voltage, and post acceleration voltage is be obtained by the Main HVPS and HV optocouplers.



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 57




Figure 1.2-8: Ion Composition Analyser (ICA) Block Diagram



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 58

1.2.2.4 Fluxgate Magnetometer (MAG)

To measure the magnetic field a system of two ultra light triaxial fluxgate magnetometers (about 36 g each) is built, with the outboard (OB) sensor mounted close to the tip of the about 1.5 m long spacecraft boom pointing away from the comet nucleus and with the inboard (IB) sensor on the same boom about 15 cm closer to the spacecraft body. Two magnetometer sensors are required to minimise the influence of the rather complex spacecraft field on the actual measurements, and for redundancy purposes.

In order to meet the scientific requirements as discussed above the spacecraft magnetic DC-field requirement is about 25 nT at the outboard MAG sensor. To achieve this goal a magnetic cleanliness programme was necessary - conducted by the experimenter team, supported by the ROSETTA project.

To further eliminate spacecraft fields and zero-offsets the so called multi-magnetometer technique will be applied in conjunction with statistical in-flight techniques. To increase time resolution 6 A/D converters (one for each of the six sensor channels) will be used synchronously. The A/D converters have a resolution of 20 bits each. MAG will be operated with a high temporal resolution of about 20 vectors/sec outboard or inboard. The vector rate of transmitted vectors (burst mode, normal mode,...) will be adopted to the available data rate by vector averaging inside the PIU-DPU.

Quantity	Range	
Range	+/- 16384 nT	
Quantization steps	+/- 0.031 nT	
Bandwidth	0-10 Hz	
Time resolution OB/IB	20 vectors/sec	

Table 1.2-6: Summary of expected MAG performances

For internal details of the MAG instrument refer to document RD-MAG-5.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

: 18 Issue : **2** Rev. : October 06, 2011 : 59 Date

Page




Figure 1.2-9: Fluxgate Magnetometer (MAG) Block Diagram



Reference: RO-RPC-UM : **2** Issue

Date : October 06, 2011

Rev.

Page : 60

1.2.2.5 Mutual Impedance Probe (MIP)

The MIP sensor (ref. Figure 1.2-10) measures the electrical coupling of a transmitting antenna and a receiving antenna, and identifies the plasma density, temperature, and drift velocity from the features of the frequency response. No direct contact between the sensor and the plasma is required because the coupling is capacitive only. So, MIP performance is independent of the chemical composition and photoemissive properties of the probe. It is also immune to contamination by dust and ice deposits. Extremely low energetic plasmas can then be explored, an important advantage in a medium where temperatures as low as a few tens of Kelvin have been predicted.

In its passive mode, this instrument has also the capability of a plasma wave analyser. It is, therefore, proposed to detect the electric fields of electrostatic and electromagnetic waves associated with the interaction of the solar wind. with the charged dust, and ionized outgassing products of the nucleus, as well as the impulsive signals generated by individual dust particles impacting the spacecraft surface.

The characteristics of the MIP sensor are listed in Table 1.2-7.

Quantity	Range	
Electron density	2 - 1.5 10 ⁵ cm ⁻³ ; accuracy 5%	
	2 - 280 cm ⁻³ for Long Debye Length Mode	
Temperature	30 - 10 ⁶ K; accuracy 10%	
Drift velocity	100 - 1000 m/s; accuracy about 100 m/s	
Frequency domain	7 kHz – 3.5 MHz	
Wave – sensitivity	1.0 mV m ⁻¹ Hz ^{-1/2} at 100 kHz	
- dynamic range	60 dB	
Debye length	0.5 - 20 cm	
	10 - 200 cm for Long Debye Length Mode	
Time resolution	0.8 sec (burst mode, TBC)	
	10 sec (normal mode)	
	200 sec (survey mode)	

Table 1.2-7: Summary of expected MIP performance



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 61




Figure 1.2-10: Mutual Impedance Probe (MIP) Block Diagram



Reference : RO-RPC-UM Issue : 2 Rev.

Date : October 06, 2011

: 18

Page : 62

1.2.2.6 The PIU and Common Electronics Box

The PIU is an interface and control unit that lies between the spacecraft and the five RPC sensors. The block diagram of RPC (Figure 1.2-1) shows the functional architecture of the package and indicates the role played by the PIU. The principal functions of PIU are as follows:

- Provision of power conversion from the s/c primary power system to the secondary voltages required by the sensor units.
- Provision of the power management system to switch, on ground command, the sensor units through power-switches which also provide over-current protection against failures in the sensor units.
- Control by command of the sensors' function; integration and packetisation of the data from the sensors.
- Provision of a data i/f to the s/c, which implements at least the minimum set of packet services required.
- On-board data processing for the MAG sensor unit, which has no processor incorporated in its own electronics.

No single point failure should disable PIU, and any single point failure should disable no more than one experiment unit (and should not affect the performance of any other). This requirement establishes that PIU must be designed to be tolerant of any single point failure, and should allow for graceful degradation in the event of multiple failures. The RPC is, therefore, provided with two independent connections to the spacecraft power, service signal, and data systems. These connections are managed by PIU to provide a single set of connections to each sub-experiment. The PIU provides, in a redundant configuration, data handling and power conversion. Each secondary voltage to each sub-experiment is individually switched and current limited.

A block diagram of the PIU (Figure 1.2-11) shows the dual-redundant processor and power supply configuration. The processor and interface units provide the data-handling interface between the spacecraft and the experiments, and also control the power switches which distribute power within RPC. The processor and DC/DC converter units are used in a 'cold redundant' fashion.

Embedded software operating in the PIU processor will handle the complex packet services and data management protocols required by the spacecraft. The PIU will also monitor the sub-experiments health and safety, and will take autonomous action in order to prevent damage from occurring.



Rosetta Referrissue Date Page

Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 63




Figure 1.2-11: Plasma Interface Unit (PIU) Block Diagram

Reference : **RO-RPC-UM**Issue : **2** Rev. : **18**

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 64

2 Experiment Configuration

2.1 Physical

The following diagram shows the layout of RPC and refers to Figure 1.2-1 and Figure 1.2-2 for the experiment accomodation on the satellite.




Figure 2.1-1: RPC Experiment Layout and Harness Diagram



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

: 18 Issue : **2** Rev.

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 65

2.1.1 RPC-0




Figure 2.1-2: RPC-0 Mechanical Interface Drawing



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 66

2.1.2 IES




Figure 2.1-3: IES Mechanical Interface Drawing



: RO-RPC-UM Rosetta Reference Issue : **2** Rev.

Date : October 06, 2011

: 18

Page : 67

2.1.3 ICA




Figure 2.1-4: ICA Mechanical Drawing



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 68

2.1.4 LAP




Figure 2.1-5: LAP Mechanical Interface Drawing



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 69




Figure 2.1-6: LAP Support Bracket Mechanical Interface Drawing



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 70




Figure 2.1-7: LAP Support Bracket (I/F Plate) MICD



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

: 71

	X [m]	Y [m]	Z [m]
LAP-1	-1.19	2.43	3.88
Hinge 1	-1.19	0.85	2.30
LAP-2	2.48	0.78	-0.65
Hinge 2	-1.19	0.65	0.30

Table 2.1-1: Positions of the LAP sensors and the hinges.

Table 2.1-1 shows the coordinates (in the s/c reference system) of the LAP sensors and the hinges at the boom roots.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 72

2.1.5 MIP




Figure 2.1-8: MIP Mechanical Interface Drawing



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 73

2.1.6 MAG

The following drawings show the MAG Inboard and Outboard sensors as well as the Mumetal Stimuli configuration and mirror location for ground alignment measurements (this is no flight item).




Figure 2.1-9: MAG Sensor Dimensions



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 2.1-10: MAG Sensor Boom Interface




Figure 2.1-11: MAG Sensor Integrated System Test Configuration



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 2.1-12: MAG Sensor Dimensions, Interface



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 76

2.1.6.1 Results of the Boom Alignment Measurement

MAG Boom	Alignment Evalu	ation:							1
	= [MAGinTHEO								
	= [THEOinMRC]							_	
[IIIEOIIIOO]		x[iiiitOiiiOO]						_	
MRC during	Deployed MAG-	Boom	MRC during N	Nominal Turn 1	Table Measurement				
Theo in MR			MRCinSC	corrected by					
Nominal Cosi	nes of Mirror Bea	am	Nominal Cosin	<u> </u>			[Theo_inMRC]*[MRCinSC] = [Theo_in_SC]		
Cos(Xsc)	Cos(Ysc)	Cos(Zsc)	Cos(Xsc)	Cos(Ysc)	Cos(Zsc)				
0,99994966	-0,00015882	-0,01003286	0,99995493	0,00000000	0,00949445		0,99999816	-0,000285091	-0,00053564
0,00033541	0,99984501	0,01760261	0,00011951	0,99992078	-0,01258673		0,000287776	0,999987364	0,00501882
0,01002851	-0,01760509	0,99979472	-0,00949370	0,01258730	0,99987571		0,000534203	-0,005018974	0,99998726
					Deployed [MAGinTheo] measured				
					SC_X	SX_Y	SC_Z		
				O.Bu	0,219583040714178	0,960668204776953	-0,169999672238927		
				v	0,792831952783288	-0,074175491152600	0,604909490054593		
				w	0,568507504679185	-0,267609037296758	-0,777936128663883		
				I.B_u	0,215552374952193	0,962688088601813	-0,163611789662776]	
				v	0,792214219227469	-0,074444045803663	0,605685326632728		
				w	0,570906125826550	-0,260172496833484	-0,778701783345300		

Table 2.1-2: Boom Alignment, Reference Calculations



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : **2** Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

: 77

	Deployed MAGinSC_	_nominal			Deployed [MAGinSC]] measured			Deviation from Nominal SC		ninal SC
	sc_x	SX_Y	SC_Z		sc_x	SX_Y	SC_Z	Error sources	[deg]	[arcmin]	OB & IB average plus offset
O.B. _u	0,214611970000000	0,965260430000000	-0,149043633238507	O.B. _u	0,219768642967342	0,961446688834391	-0,165293702534850	1),2),3), 4)	1,00	60,06	47.35'+12.7
٧	0,787926219516248	-0,080929399621984	0,610428296260632	v	0,793133605262099	-0,077436608300837	0,604104838499749	2),3),4)	0,51	30,61	28.8' +1.75'
w	0,577160267977233	-0,248440605684821	-0,777922419342320	w	0,568014812986632	-0,263863290785682	-0,779573816904796	1),3)	1,03	61,90	49.37'+12'
I.B_ u	0,214611970000000	0,965260430000000	-0,149043633238507	I.B_ u	0,215741971645595	0,963435635624521	-0,158893604903554	1),2),3), 4)	0,58	34,66	47.3' - 12.7'
v	0,787926219516248	-0,080929399621984	0,610428296260632	v	0,792516208990562	-0,077708877352077	0,604879648250715	2),3),4)	0,45	27,12	28.8' -1.75'
w	0,577160267977233	-0,248440605684821	-0,777922419342320	w	0,570415164733284	-0,256423685312912	-0,780303423965860	1),3),4)	0,61	36,85	49.37'- 12.5'
]	Deviation	on IB to OB	
v:	Along Boom Axis								[deg]	[arcmin]	
w:	MAG (Perpendicular to mounting plane)							u_IB w.r.t. OB	0,45	26,88	
	1) Tolerance of MAG I/F: O.B.: 0.22deg = 13.3arcmin and I.B. 0.184deg = 10.9arcmin							v	0,06	3,53	
	2) Tolerance of MAG OB or IB fixation max: 0.2deg =12arcmin (rotation in mounting plane)							w	0,45	26,99	
	3) Mounting Tolerance of Mirror : tbd										
	4) Boom I/F planarity	error about max 10arcm	nin								
	5) Possible rotation of	of MAG bracket +/-0.82de	eg or 49arcmin	•							

Table 2.1-3: Boom Alignment, Deployed Configuration



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM | Issue : 2 | Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

	Stowed MAGinSCnomin	nal			Stowed [MAGinSC] measured				Deviation from Nomin		
	sc_x	SX_Y	sc_z		sc_x	SX_Y	sc_z	Error sources	[deg]	[arcmin]	OB & average offset
O.Bu	-1,00	0,00	0,00	O.Bu	-0,999996321	-0,00253212	0,000973021	1),2),3),4)	0,16	9,33	47.35'+12.
v	0,00	1,00	0,00	v	-0,002528122	0,999988449	0,004087938	2),3),4)	0,28	16,52	28.8' +1.75
w	0,00	0,00	-1,00	w	-0,000983361	0,004085463	-0,999991171	1),3)	0,24	14,45	49.37'+12'
I.B_u	-1,00	0,00	0,00	I.B_u	-0,999986718	-0,001887027	0,004796146	1),2),3),4)	0,30	17,72	47.3' - 12.7
v	0,00	1,00	0,00	v	-0,001868169	0,999990519	0,00393335	2),3),4)	0,25	14,97	28.8' -1.75'
w	0,00	0,00	-1,00	w	-0,004803523	0,003924337	-0,999980763	1),3),4)	0,36	21,32	49.37' - 12
									Deviati	on IB to OB	
v:	Along Boom Axis								[deg]	[arcmin]	
w:	MAG (Perpendicular to me	ounting plane)						u_IB w.r.t. OB	0,22	13,33	
								v	0,04	2,33	
								w	0,22	13,14	
											,

Table 2.1-4: Boom Alignment, Stowed Configuration



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 79

2.2 Electrical

2.2.1 Power Interface Requirements

2.2.1.1 General Interface Description

The RPC low-voltage DC/DC converters are part of the PIU. The converters are fully redundant, the non-operating converter being held in cold redundancy. The non-synchronised PIU low-voltage converters will operate at a frequency of 65.5 kHz. +/- 5%.

RPC will not use the keep alive power interface provided by the spacecraft.

The interface to the spacecraft is designed to prevent any single point failure which could lead to a short circuit.

To further protect the spacecraft and the RPC, the converter includes a current limiting trip-out and slow turn on circuit at the input.

Function	Number of Main Lines Required		LCL Class (place holder only, to be assigned by Project)
+ 28 V MAIN BUS RPC Experiment Supply (Switched and Current limited)	1	1	C (44 W / 1.6 A trip-off limit)
+ 28 V MAIN BUS Non-op. Heater Power For IES (Switched and Current limited)	1	1	A (11 W / 0.4 A trip-off limit)
Converter Synchronisation Signal (no longer baselined)	0	0	
Keep-Alive Supply	0	0	

Table 2.2-1: Power Supply Interface Requirements



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Date . October 06, 20

Page : 80

2.2.1.2 Power Distribution Block Diagram and Redundancy


The RPC Power Supply Unit consists of two, identical pairs of DC/DC Converters in cold redundancy. Each PSU is capable of supplying the total power required for the functioning of the complete RPC instrument. Each PSU consists of two DC-DC converters, one to provide 4 secondary voltages: +28 V, $\pm 12 \text{ V}$ and -5 V, the other +5 V (all voltages nominal). The two PSUs receive raw +28 V (nominal) input voltages from the Prime and Redundant spacecraft power interfaces. Switching between the PSUs is performed by switching the input between the spacecraft Primary to Redundant supplies.

The PSU secondary voltages are distributed to the user subsystems (ICA, IES, LAP, MAG, MIP, PIU) through the Power Management System. This consists of individual current-limited voltage switches switched on or off by ground command through H/W configuration commands received and decoded in the spacecraft interface units.



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011






Figure 2.2-1: RPC Power Distribution Block Diagram



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 82

2.2.1.3 Experiment Power Requirements

The Power Requirements are listed in section 2.4.3.

2.2.1.4 Interface Circuits

Each of the RPC PSUs has an interface to the spacecraft which is designed to prevent short circuit under any single-point failure. This interface also features a current limited trip-out switch to protect the RPC, and a slow turn on circuit which will comply with the inrush current and current slew specifications of the spacecraft LCL.

The interface is also compliant in respect of reverse-current generation, and will operate safely under any of the over/under voltage situations as detailed in RD-GEN-18.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 83

2.2.2 OBDH Interface Requirements

2.2.2.1 Channel Allocation

Interface Channels	Signal Type or Function	Main	Redundant
Telecommand Channels	Memory Load Commands	1	1
	High Power ON/OFF Commands	1	1
Telemetry Channels	16 Bit Serial Digital Channel	1	1
Monitor Channels	Spacecraft Powered Thermistors	3	0
	Bi-level Channels	0	0
	Analogue Channels	0	0
Timing Channels	High Frequency Clock	1	1
	Broadcast Pulse	1	1
Special Synchronisation Channels	Converter Synchronisation Signal	0	0

Table 2.2-2: Experiment OBDH Interface Channels/Functions

Note:

The high power On/Off channel will be used to select either the main or redundant PIU data-processing unit. This is independent of the main or redundant LCL selection.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 84

2.2.2.2 Bit Rate Requirements

Refer to section 2.4.1 for a detailed description of the RPC telemetry requirements.

2.2.2.3 Timing

RPC requires the following timing channels/services:

- High Frequency Clock (redundant channel)
- Timer Synchronisation Pulse (redundant channel)
- Telecommand Time Packet

For the Timer Synchronisation Pulse, a repetition rate of 8 seconds is required. The time Packet will be required after PIU power-on, and thereafter approximately every day.

Timing information received from the spacecraft will be decoded in the PIU and distributed to the sub-experiment units to be used for time stamping of science telemetry data.

RPC has no special requirements on timing. A correlation of on-board time to UTC to within 100 ms is acceptable.

2.2.2.4 Monitoring

The PIU monitors specified internal voltages, temperatures, and digital status of all sensor units. Logic for corrective action and safe shut-down of sensors is built into the PIU. Refer to section 3.4.4 for details.

The spacecraft is required to monitor three spacecraft powered thermistors for sensor units IES, ICA and MIP. Heaters are provided for IES and ICA, operable by the spacecraft according to limit-set.

The PIU monitors and verifies correct reception for all TCs. Correct execution of a TC can be verified either by a change of state in the HK or the transmission of an execution acknowledge.



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 85

2.2.2.5 Electrical Interface Circuits

2.2.2.5.1 General

As indicated in section 2.2.2.1, the PIU digital electronics are fully redundant, with the redundant branch being powered off whilst the nominal branch is operational (and vice-versa). Branch selection is made with the high power ON/OFF command. Internally, PIU will implement no cross-over between the main and redundant receiver/driver circuits.

RPC Thermistor interfaces are not redundant.

Digital interface circuits comply with the Standard Balanced Digital Link specification. SBDL interface circuits within PIU are designed such that unpowered circuits maintain a high impedance to the spacecraft.

2.2.2.5.2 SBDL Receiver Circuit Specification

Figure 2.2-2 shows the SBDL interfaces, main side only. All circuits are replicated for the redundant interface, according to the pin-out of the J01 connector given in RD-GEN-20 section 2.6.4.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 86

2.2.2.5.3 SBDL Driver Circuit Specification

The driver interface circuit is given in Figure 2.2-2.




Figure 2.2-2: SDBL Interface Circuits



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

: 87

2.2.2.5.4 Thermistors

Thermistors are of type A, refer to Table 2.5-7. The RPC internal thermistors are therefore all of type YSI 440907.

Information about the external ones has been digged out of the Spacecraft User Manual (RD-GEN-30).

All necessary information including parameter names, limits and location is summarized in Table 2.5-1.



Rosetta Refere Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : **2** Rev. : **18** Date : **October 06, 2011**

Page: 88

2.2.2.5.5 Heaters


PL PDU	LCL No.	Power [W]	Circuit power	Name for H/W heaters	effectect units	no heater	ofHG THS	CG THS
			[W]	- for LCL branch A listed only - ON/OFF -		circuits		
x	10	4.33		ROS/COS/RPC/ , LCL 10A ON, PDU-P/L-A/B	Ro DPU, CosEU, PIU	3	0 / 40	-30 / +5
				ROS/COS/RPC/ , LCL 10A OFF, PDU-P/L-A/B				
x	18	4.37		SSP ESS/ RPC IES, LCL 18A ON, PDU-P/L-A/B	ESS, IES	2	0 / 40	-30 / +5
				SSP ESS/ RPC IES, LCL 18A OFF, PDU-P/L-A/B				
x	40	0.5		RPC IES HTR, LCL 40A ON, PDU-P/L-A/B	IES	1	no	No
				RPC IES HTR, LCL 40A OFF, PDU-P/L-A/B				
x	41	6.98		RPC ICA A/SREM/L, LCL 41A ON, PDU-P/L-A/B	ICA, SREM	1	0 / 40	-30 / +5
				RPC ICA A/SREM/L, LCL 41A OFF, PDU-P/L-A/B				
			27.7	main bus voltage				

Table 2.2-3: Heater Budgets



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM**Issue : **2** Rev. : **18**

Date : October 06, 2011







Table 2.2-4: Heater Connections, LCL-10 & LCL-18



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011






Table 2.2-5: Heater Connections, LCL-40 & LCL-41



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : **2** Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 91

2.2.2.5.6 High Power On/Off Command Interface

Figure 2.2-3 shows the High Power On/Off Command interface, main side only. The circuit is replicated for the redundant interface.




Figure 2.2-3: High Power Command Interface Circuit



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 92

2.3 Software

2.3.1 Software Concept and Functional Requirements

2.3.1.1 Software Overview

2.3.1.1.1 PIU

The PIU unit provides the interface between the five RPC experiments and the spacecraft for telecommanding and telemetry data. The RPC experiments IES, ICA, LAP and MIP contain micro-processors or micro-controllers, and operate semi-independently of the PIU; the MAG experiment contains no micro-processor – it delivers digital samples to the PIU, which is responsible for the processing and filtering of the data.

All the functionality of the packet services is the responsibility of the PIU. The PIU contains real-time code written in the 'C++' and assembler language of approximately 32 kWords in length. The software is dedicated to serving the interfaces to the spacecraft and the experiments as it's highest priority. Data transmitted to the spacecraft is double-buffered, to provide a seamless flow to the spacecraft at the highest possible rate, whilst still taking telemetry from the experiments. Commands received from the spacecraft are also buffered such that they may be received from the spacecraft at the fastest rate allowed on the OBDH bus.

The PIU software functions may be summarised as follows:

- Receive and buffer commands from the spacecraft; remove packet formatting and forward command data to experiments.
- Receive and buffer science data from the experiments; packetise data and transmit to the spacecraft.
- Manage the packet services interface with the spacecraft.
- Control the status of the power switches which distribute secondary power within the RPC.
- Process and filter the MAG experiment data.
- Maintain a pool of experiments' housekeeping parameters, packetise and transmit these to the spacecraft.
- Monitor the status of the experiments, and perform any autonomous functions necessary to ensure health and safety.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 93

The RPC has a variety of operating modes, and not all the experiments are necessarily powered on at the same time. Furthermore, the experiments may switch between their minimum, normal and burst data rates independently of each other. The RPC must therefore be considered as providing six independent data streams, and as six independent units for telecommanding. A separate Process ID is, therefore, requested for each unit in section 2.3.1.5

All PIU software complies with the ESA software standard PSS-05-0. In addition RD-GEN-28 is also applied.

2.3.1.1.2 IES

The IES software runs on the Harris/Intersil RTX-2010 16-bit microcontroller. The base clock frequency on IES is 15.2 MHz, so the maximum number of instruction rate is half that, or 7.6 MIPS. The software can also run at slower rates if needed by changing a hardware register. The code is written predominantly in C, with a little RTX-2010 assembler code for areas that benefit from optimization. The hardware configuration consists of 8kwords of PROM (where each word is 16-bits) for the boot image, 256kwords of SRAM and 128kwords of EEPROM. The software versions at launch were 1.0 for PROM and 1.5 for EEPROM.

At bootup, code is initially fetched directly from PROM during a check of memory resources. The PROM code is then copied to SRAM and executed from SRAM. The PROM code is primarily for initial diagnostics and maintenance activities – it contains no science code. Code that resides in EEPROM is copied to SRAM before it is executed. For both PROM v1.0 and EEPROM v1.5 code, the underlying architectures are similar:

Interrupts

- AQP to signal when a 32-second acquisition period from PIU has been reported
- Timer interrupts to control sampling of science data and measure the fine passage of time
- Link chip interrupts to control TC and TM flow
- Software interrupt to execute TCs once they are passed on from the link chip front end software that processes incoming messages



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 94

- Main loop
- Performs IES system initialization (one time)
- Controls science data processing
- Controls science telemetry production
- o Controls housekeeping telemetry production
- Maintains coarse one-second time passage
- Checks A/D monitors
- Stroke the watchdog

The IES flight software employs a double buffer (called "PING" and "PONG") to manage science acquisition and telemetering. Each buffer is capable of storing up to 128 kB of data, which corresponds to 32768 data values since each value is stored as a four-byte value to eliminate number saturation during acquisition. The data acquisition time cycles in burst and normal telemetry modes can have the following settings:

- o 128 seconds
- o 256 seconds
- o 512 seconds
- o 1024 seconds

This allows for tailoring the acquisition and collapsing over a range of tradeoffs, between sampling quickly but with the lower energy-angular phase space resolution (128 seconds); and sampling slowly (1024 seconds) but with the higher energy-angular phase space resolution. Data are collected and accumulated during the data acquisition time window, then telemetered over an amount of time that is equal to the data acquisition time. These modes are specified by tables; with thirteen slots available for use in page D of the EEPROM.

As an example, science acquisition data flow for the 128 seconds cycle is illustrated in a series of four figures.

Figure 2.3-1 shows the sweeping of the ESA and DEF power supplies as a function of AQP and 128-second time passage. The processing is performed in the subsequent 128 seconds while another acquisition is taking place. The processing is typically quick and the first telemetry data to be transmitted can be started during the first 32 seconds of the next 128 second period. A total of four packet sets are output (one packet set per 32 seconds) during the 128 seconds. Note that each packet set is a CCSDS packet.

Figure 2.3-2 illustrates the time detail for the ESA steps relative to the DEF steps, relative to the fine interrupt-driven timing to acquire the data at the 62.5 ms step period. This period includes a power supply rise time of 30 ms and a science



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 95

acquisition and integration time that depends on the cycle length as follows:

- o 32.5 ms integration for a 128 s cycle
- o 95 ms integration for a 256 s cycle
- o 220 ms integration for a 512 s cycle
- 470 ms integration for a 1024 s cycle

Note that there is a unit timer interrupt running every 2.5 ms that sets the pace for all of the science acquisition.

Figure 2.3-3 shows an example of how data acquired every 62.5 ms is collapsed (i.e., summed) within the ELC science data acquisition buffer organization. The method of collapse can be reconfigured using the ELC remapping vectors in the figure. These remapping vectors are described in the acquisition tables.

Figure 2.3-4 shows the final processing from acquisition buffer, to processing, to data product, and finally to science data telemetry transmission.




Figure 2.3-1: IES Science Acquisition Time Overview for a 128 s Cycle



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 2.3-2: IES Science Acquisition Timing Detail for a 128 s Cycle



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 2.3-3: IES Science Acquisition Collection Detail for a 128 s Cycle



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 98




Figure 2.3-4: IES Science Acquisition, Processing Detail for a 128 s Cycle

The flight software can accommodate changes in acquisition time window lengths without stopping acquisition, such that collection time is continuous.

Figure 2.3-5 is an illustration showing the interaction between acquisition (green) and telemetering (yellow) for the PING and PONG buffers over time that includes transitions between acquisition cycle lengths. "STATE" is an internal flight software state that tracks what each buffer is doing at a moment in time.

The beginning of the graph shows alternating PING and PONG buffer usage for 128 second cycle, with acquisition taking 128 seconds, and the subsequent telemetering of that data taking 128 seconds. When there is a transition to the 256 second cycle, while the 256-second cycle data are being acquired by PONG, the PING buffer is simultaneously telemetering data over only the first 128 seconds of



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 99

that 256 second time window. When the transition is from a longer cycle to a shorter cycle (as in the 512 second cycle to 128 second example in the figure), the shorter acquisition time is repeated (in this case four times) and summed (i.e., accumulated) until the telemetering of the longer time window data has completed. Subsequently, it takes 128 seconds to telemeter that data out. This arrangement allows for transitions without gaps.




Figure 2.3-5: IES Ping Pong Buffer Interaction for Different Cycle Lengths



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 100

2.3.1.1.3 ICA

The ICA commands, TM format and related software aspects are described in the documents RD-ICA-5, RD-ICA-2.

The latter also contains a flow diagram of the onboard software processes.

2.3.1.1.4 LAP

The software managing the LAP instrument is developed for enabling scientific operations by use of macros, which are sequences of commands, stored in EEPROM, which are run repeatedly and thereby completely defines the scientific operations of LAP until the macro is stopped. In addition, the software allows detailed operations by single commands, and patching and maintenance activities. While macros as well as the main LAP flight software are stored in EEPROM (default versions of both reside in PROM), only software upgrades requires memory management services (see Sections 2.3.1.3 and 3.1.2.4.1). In contrast to patching, uploading new macros is considered a quasi -regular activity for tuning the instrument to new environments, perhaps to be used a dozen of time during the mission (for example, new macros where uploaded for the Mars swing-by as well as for the two first Earth swing-bys). Macro uploads are therefore handled by normal instrument commands. The LAP operations concept is further described in Section 3.1.2.4.

The LAP software is written in assembler and runs on the Texas Instruments DSP TMS320C50. The software can be divided into four interrupts and the main execution loop (see Figure 2.3-6). The DSP is made for signal processing and we do continuous real time digital filtering and resampling using circular hardware buffers in the DSP.

Main loop

Initializes the instrument, executes macro commands. Analysis is also performed in the main loop, controlled by the macro commands. The macros can be viewed as small programs that control what the instrument does. See RD-LAP-4 for a general description of macros and macro commands; see RD-LAP-5 for existing macros.



Rosetta Reference Issue RPC-UserManual Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 101




Figure 2.3-6: LAP Software Structure

There are four interrupts used for the following tasks:

Sample interrupt

The sampling interrupt handles the sampling signal and determines what ADC caused it. It also handles switching of memory banks, sweeping (strobing of DACs), updates cyclic filter buffers and handles resampling.



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 102

AQP interrupt

The AQP interrupt handles LDL, delays HK packets, updates status of double buffering buffers, reinitializes sweeps, checks telemetry rate and sets telemetry packet identity.

Read interrupt

The read interrupt handles all incoming telecommands and handles the communication link.

Timer interrupt

The timer interrupt times writing to the relays, if needed it strobes DACs, tests if patches are to be activated, in which case it computes and checks CRCs and burns to flash memory, kicks the watchdog, parses incoming commands, differentiates between different packet types, executes commands, programs macros, returns memory dumps, assemble HK output, handles double buffering and TM data output.

2.3.1.1.5 MIP

All the MIP management and processing tasks are done by the onboard DSP Analog Devices 2100. The MIP software contains real-time code of 8 kwords in length (24-bit word). The processing activities are written in DSP assembly language and the management ones in C language.

The main tasks of the MIP software are:

- receive and decode the configuration table,
- generate the signal for the transmission electrodes (synthesizer function),
- perform the data acquisition from the sensor in active and passive modes,
- process the data (Fourier analysis),
- run the 32 s sequence (combination of active and passive modes),
- create the HK packets,.
- packetise the science data (with MIP status header) before sending to PIU

The data time stamp is made by the PIU (inside the CCSDS format header) every acquisition period, for science and HK packets.



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 103

The initialization of the MIP data handling is made using a configuration table of 6byte length. The individual commands sent from the ground are combined by PIU to update the current MIP table. Then, PIU sends the table to MIP.

All the elementary modes (Survey, Sweep, Passive and LDL) are independent. They are arranged into pre-programmed sequences. The selection of the type of sequence (type of mode combination) is done through the configuration table.

The first sequence running when MIP is turned on is a special Control sequence. It contains all the necessary information to make a rapid diagnosis of the health of the experiment (memory test, TX/RX checking, input/output verification, command return). After a table reception (new command), MIP runs a Table sequence which has the same goal and the same output information as a Control one except the memory test information.

The LDL mode is common to MIP and LAP. It has to be managed and synchronized by PIU.

Special functions

MIP has an internal watchdog. In case of alarm, the alarm signal is transmitted to PIU to immediately switch off MIP.

Details of the MIP OBSW can be found in RD-MIP-6.

2.3.1.1.6 MAG

Major aspects of the MAG S/W can be found in RD-MAG-5.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 104

2.3.1.2 RPC Autonomy Concept

The principal mode of operation of the RPC is the execution of OBCPs initiated from the mission timeline. This approach maximises the flexibility of the RPC operational concept by removing autonomous operations from the experiments' hard-coded PROM software and placing the responsibility on the DMS (where it is assumed that the procedures may be 'fine-tuned' as the mission progresses). This approach should also enhance the safety and reliability of autonomous operations.

The PIU monitor specified fields within each experiments HK data. If a parameter goes out of bounds then the PIU will generate a PIU event packet identifying the unit and the parameter which is out of bounds. Two sets of limits may be defined for each parameter – a warning level and a danger level. Each level will generate a different EID. Monitor functions within the DMS shall detect either of these EIDs and use its data to trigger the correct OBCP to perform corrective or make-safe actions.

The PIU monitors the experiments' housekeeping data. Anomalies are reported to the DMS by event packets. Monitor functions within the DMS shall trigger the execution of RPC defined OBCPs in order to perform corrective or make-safe actions.

For fault conditions where the response time via the DMS is too long, the PIU may take autonomous actions to ensure that no damage occurs to the experiment. The action will typically be to power the experiment off. For example, in the event that the MIP watchdog detects a processor latch-up, the watchdog will send a signal to the PIU which will immediately power-off the MIP experiment.

Any such autonomous actions will be immediately signalled to the DMS by a PIU event packet identifying unit. In the case of the MIP example, the action taken by the spacecraft will then be to initiate the MIP power-on sequence.



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 105

2.3.1.3 Software Maintenance Approach

The PIU and the experiments LAP, IES, and ICA have a software patching capability. This is handled via the Memory Management service. In the case of the PIU, a contiguous block of the processor's SRAM or EEPROM may be loaded, dumped or checked (by a checksum) by the action of a single telecommand packet. For the LAP, IES and the ICA, this capability is available via the PIU, and the PIU provides the Memory Management service to the DMS for these experiments. The destination unit (either PIU, LAP, IES or ICA) for a load, dump or check telecommand is given by the packet's process ID. The response packet will also be identified by the unit's Process ID.

MIP has no software maintenance possibility: no dump, no patch. MAG does not have its own s/w. All changes are done via PIU.

2.3.1.4 Software Storage

The Software is stored in the individual instruments. RPC does not use the SSMM for software storing.



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date : Octol

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

2.3.1.5 Data Delivery Concept

2.3.1.5.1 Process ID Requirements

The RPC package requires six Process IDs. The requested allocation is as follows (ref. to Table 1.2-2 and Table 2.8.1-1 in the EID-A):

USER	PROCESS ID	PACKET CATEGORY	PACKET TYPE	USAGE
PIU	83	1	TM	Acknowledge
		4	TM	Housekeeping – 1 sid
		7	TM	Event Packets
		12	TC	Memory Load
		12	TC	Memory Dump Request
		9	TM	Memory Dump
		12	TC	Memory Check Request
		7	TM	Memory Check Report
		12	TC	Time Update
		12	TC	Private TC
IES	84	1	TM	Acknowledge
		4	TM	Housekeeping – 1 sid
		7	TM	Event Packets
		12	TC	Memory Load
		12	TC	Memory Dump Request
		9	TM	Memory Dump
		12	TC	Memory Check Request
		7	TM	Memory Check Report
		12	TM	Science TM
		12	TC	Private TC
ICA	85	1	TM	Acknowledge
		4	TM	Housekeeping – 1 sid
		7	TM	Event Packets
		12	TC	Memory Load
		12	TC	Memory Dump Request
		9	TM	Memory Dump
		12	TC	Memory Check Request
		7	TM	Memory Check Report
		12	TM	Science TM
		12	TC	Private TC
LAP	86	1	TM	Acknowledge
		4	TM	Housekeeping – 1 sid
		7	TM	Event Packets
		12	TC	Memory Load
		12	TC	Memory Dump Request
		9	TM	Memory Dump
		12	TC	Memory Check Request
		7	TM	Memory Check Report
		12	TM	Science TM
		12	TC	Private TC
MIP	87	1	TM	Acknowledge
		4	TM	Housekeeping – 1 sid
		12	TM	Science TM
		12	TC	Private TC
MAG	88	1	TM	Acknowledge
-		4	TM	Housekeeping – 1 sid
		7	TM	Event Packets
		12	TM	
		12	TC	Private TC
		12 Folomotry Idon		FIIVALE 10

Table 2.3-1: RPC Telemetry Identifier



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 107

2.3.1.5.2 Science Data Delivery Concept

For each of the experiments which is powered and operating in 'normal' rate telemetry, RPC generates one science telemetry packet per 32 second Acquisition Period. The Acquisition Period is a common data gathering interval for the experiments and PIU; the start of each period is signalled to each of the experiments by a hardware pulse which is generated from the Timer Synchronisation Pulse divided by four. Each packet carries the Process ID of the generating unit. The packet category 'science' will be used for all science packets.

Each experiment will operate in one of six modes (independently of any other experiment). The following three modes are supported by all experiments:

- Minimum
- Normal
- Burst

The other three modes may be implemented as required by each instrument.

Since the data volume generated by some of the experiments in minimum mode is very small, transmission every 32 seconds is inefficient (due to the packet header overhead), therefore the data will be buffered and transmitted at a multiple of the Acquisition Period. Thus the packet generation period for IES, ICA, LAP and MAG minimum mode data is 1024 seconds, and for MIP it is 256 seconds. Conversely burst rate data for LAP & MAG will be fitted into 3 packets and 2 packets per AQP respectively. This is due to the large volume of data which is unable to fit into a single TM packet. All normal rate data is transmitted at a 32 second period.

2.3.1.5.3 Housekeeping Data Delivery Concept

For each RPC unit (i.e. 5 experiments plus the PIU) the PIU collects HK data and stores it in an internal HK data base. At the start of the RPC Acquisition period the PIU generates a single HK packet for each unit identified by the unit's housekeeping APID. The HK packet contains the latest data received from the experiments. The generation of the unit's housekeeping packets may be controlled using the standard service 3 TCs.

2.3.1.5.4 Use of Event Packets

The PIU uses the Event Reporting service to report both normal progress action as well as warnings which are detected during the operation of the RPC package. An event may also be generated by the PIU monitoring system which monitors all the RPC. These events will be monitored by the DMS so that they may trigger



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 108

OBCPs. The possible events may be any of the following:

- Parameter Out of bounds (OOL) Warning
- Parameter Out of bounds (OOL) Danger
- Autoshutdown occurrence

Each of the three EIDs will identify the related unit in its parameters.

MIP does not create event packets, they are created directly by PIU. They have the word MIP in but get the PIU APID.

The full list of events is generated by RPC and can be found in RD-RPC-10 (Table: C TMPCK)

2.3.1.5.5 Timing Requirements

The RPC has no specific requirements on timing accuracy beyond that given in the EID-A. The RPC uses the Timer Synchronisation Pulse and the Time Packet to synchronise with spacecraft elapsed time. Within 20 seconds of the PIU power-on procedure, the PIU expects a time update by the Time Management service. This must be completed before any telemetry packets are sent. On receipt of the time update, the PIU shall load the time value into a 'coarse time' register. On each subsequent Timer Synchronisation Pulse the coarse time register will be incremented by 8 seconds. The PIU also receives the high frequency clock, which is used to drive the PIU's tick timer. A PIU tick is defined as 2⁻⁷ seconds or 2¹⁰ cycles of the HF clock and is used for the internal timing of PIU actions.

In order to maintain timing synchronisation throughout the RPC, each experiment receives a simultaneous hardware pulse every 32 seconds. This pulse is derived from the Timer Synchronisation Pulse divided by 4. Each pulse signifies the start of a new Acquisition Period to the experiment. Each experiment will time-stamp events in its data relative to the start of the Acquisition Period in which the data will be transmitted from the experiment, and will send this relative time-stamp to the PIU contained within the experiment's data. The PIU will then transmit the experiment's data to the DMS, and the time code of the packet will be the start of the Acquisition Period when the data was received. Ground processing software will reconstitute the event time by adding the relative time stamp to the packet time.

Note:

An exception to this protocol is the MAG experiment, as the processing of the MAG data is done with in the PIU science TM of the MAG experiment with the time of when the first vector was converted on the MAG board. By using the coarse time register, the current tick, and the tick timer register the time stamp for these packets will be to a resolution to an accuracy of greater than 1 ms.



Rosetta RPC-UserManual

Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 109

For all other packets, including acknowledgements and events, the time stamp will be generated by the coarse time registers and the current PIU tick. These packets will therefore be marked to the accuracy of 2^{-7} s.

The ability of the RPC to maintain synchronisation with spacecraft elapsed time is dependent on the accuracy of the Timer Synchronisation Pulse interval. Given the stated jitter on the TSY is 2 μ s, RPC time should be synchronised with the spacecraft to within 100 ms over a period exceeding 100 hours, however in practice a time update should be scheduled every 24 hours. The accuracy of the TSY is related to the Time synchronization Protocol definition in the RD-GEN-18 (section 2.7.3.3).

2.3.1.6 Context Files

RPC does not use any Context files.

2.3.2 Packet Definitions

2.3.2.1 Packet Services Compliance

The table below gives all the packet services (mandatory and optional) with which RPC will be compliant.

Sub-type	Service Requests (TC)	Sub- Type	Service Reports (TM)
Service 1 -	TC Acknowledge		·
		1	Acceptance Success
		2	Acceptance Failure
		7	Execution Success
		8	Execution Failure
Service 3 -	Housekeeping Reporting		
5	Enable HK Report for SID		
6	Disable HK Report for SID		
		25	HK Report for SID
Service 5 -	Event Reporting		
		1	Normal Progress Report
		2	Anomaly Report – Warning
		3	Anomaly Report – Ground action
		4	Anomaly Report – Onboard action
Service 6 -	Memory Management		
2	PATCH ABS		
5	DUMP ABS	6	DUMP ABS Report
9	CHECK ABS	10	CHECK ABS Report
Service 9 -	Time Management		
1	Accept Time Update		
Service 13 -	- Large Data Transfer Service		
Not supporte	ed by RPC.		
Service 17 -	- Test Service		
1	Connection Test Request		
		2	Connection Test Report
Service 18 -	- Context Transfer		
1	Context Request		
		2	Context Report



Rosetta ROSETTA | Issue | : 2 | Rev. | : | | Date | : | October 06, 2011 | | Page | : | 110

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : 18

Sub-type	Service Requests (TC)	Sub- Type	Service Reports (TM)
3	Accept Context		
Service 19 -	- Information Distribution		·
10	ROSINA Pressure Info		
11	ROSINA Pressure Alert		
12	GIADA Dust Info		
Service 20 -	- Science Data Transfer		
1	Enable Science Report		
2	Disable Science Report		
		3	Science Report

Table 2.3-2: RPC Packet Services



Rosetta ROSETTA | Issue | : 2 | Rev. | : | | Date | : | October 06, 2011 | | Page | : | 111

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : 18

2.3.2.1.1 PIU Private Telecommand Service Definition

Subtype	Service Requests (TC)	Sub- type	Service Reports (TM)
Service 19	2 – PIU Power Commands		
1	Set IES Power		
2	Set ICA Power		
3	Set LAP Power		
4	Set MIP Power		
5	Set MAG Power		
Service 19	3 – PIU Reset Commands	· ·	•
1	Reset IES Link		
2	Reset ICA Link		
3	Reset LAP Link		
4	Reset MIP Link		
5	Reset MAG Link		
6	Reset DPIU		
7	Reset SCAT TC Channel		
8	Reset SCAT TM Channel		
Service 19	4 – PIU Software Control	•	
1	Reset Software TM FIFO		
2	Revert RAM Map (Undo Invert)		
3	Invert RAM Map		
4	Set Software Location		
5	Set to Maintenance Mode		
6	Set to Normal Mode		
7	Patch from EEPROM		
8	Set Keyhole Word Address		
9	Report Last Aq. Period Time		
10	Control Parameter Monitor		
Service 19	5 – PIU Link Control		
1	Set IES Link		
2	Set ICA Link		
3	Set LAP Link		
4	Set MIP Link		
5	Set MAG Link		
Service 19	6 – PIU Test Commands	1	1
1	IES Test		
2	ICA Test		



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

3	LAP Test	
4	MIP Test	
5	MAG Test	

Table 2.3-3: PIU TC Services

2.3.2.1.2 IES Private Telecommand Service Definition

Subtype	Service Requests (TC)	Sub- type	Service Reports (TM)
Service 2xx	c – IES		
	see RSDB		

Table 2.3-4: IES TC Services

2.3.2.1.3 ICA Private Telecommand Service Definition

Subtype	Service Requests (TC)	Sub- type	Service Reports (TM)
Service 2xx	c – ICA		
	see RSDB		

Table 2.3-5: ICA TC Services

2.3.2.1.4 LAP Private Telecommand Service Definition

Subtype	Service Requests (TC)	Sub- type	Service Reports (TM)
Service 2x	x – LAP		
	see RSDB		

Table 2.3-6: LAP TC Services

2.3.2.1.5 MIP Private Telecommand Service Definition

Subtype	Service Requests (TC)	Sub- type	Service Reports (TM)
Service 2xx	x – MIP		
	see RSDB		

Table 2.3-7: MIP TC Services



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : **October 06, 2011**

Page : 113

MAG Private Telecommand Service Definition

Subtype	Service Requests (TC)	Sub-	Service Reports (TM)
		type	
Service 250	0 – MAG Select Sensor		
1	Select Outboard		
2	Select Inboard		
Service 25	1 – MAG Select SID		
1	Select SID 1		
2	Select SID 2		
3	Select SID 3		
4	Select SID 4		
5	Select SID 5		
6	Select SID 6		

Table 2.3-8: MAG TC Services

2.3.2.2 Instrument Packet Definitions

The definition of the RPC TM and TC packets and parameters can be can be found in the DSDB at RD-GEN-27.

The structure of the RPC packets for a selected subset of TM/TC packets is given in the following chapters.

The next table summarizes the packet periods and bit rates of the science and Housekeeping modes of all RPC instruments.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Instrument	Mode ID	Mode Name	Packet Generation Period [s]	Packet Length [Bits]
IES	SID1	Minimum	1024	5088
	SID2	Normal	32	1584
	SID3	Burst	32	8112
	SID4			
	SID5			
	SID6	Maintenance	32	32000
	HK		32	192
ICA	SID1	Minimum	960	4944
	SID2	Normal	192	19824
	SID3	Burst	32	32736
	SID4	Calibration	32	8592
	SID5	Special	32	25584
	SID6	Test	64	4800
	HK	HK	32	192
LAP	SID1	Minimum	1024	1408
	SID2	Normal	32	1856
	SID3	Burst	10.66	23968
	SID4			
	SID5			
	SID6			
	HK	HK	32	96
MIP	SID1	Minimum	32	144
	SID2	Normal	32	1584
	SID3	Burst	32	9600
	SID4			
	SID5			
	SID6			
	НК	НК	32	96
MAG	SID1	Minimum	1024	2112
-	SID2	Normal	32	2112
	SID3	Burst	16	21504
	SID4	Medium	32	10304
	SID5	Low	128	2112
	SID6	Test	16	20544
	HK	HK	32	128

Table 2.3-9: RPC Packet Generation Summary



Rosetta ROSETTA | Issue | : 2 | Date | : October | : 115 | |

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

2.3.2.2.1 PIU TM HK Packet Definition

Telemetry Packe			
Packet Name	Minimum Science	Instrument	RPC-PIU
Packet Function	PIU Housekeeping Report	t	
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds		
Header Information	n	_	
Process ID	83	Packet Category	HK
Service Type	3	Service Subtype	25
Structure ID	1	Packet Length	29
Data Field Informa	tion (Bit 15 – LSB)	<u> </u>	
Word 0, Bits 6-15	PSUTemperature		
Word 0, Bit 5	MAG Power Switch Status	3	
Word 0, Bit 4	MIP Power Switch Status		
Word 0, Bit 3	LAP Power Switch Status		
Word 0, Bit 2	LAP HV Power Switch Sta	ntus	
Word 0, Bit 1	ICA Power Switch Status		
Word 0, Bit 0	IES Power Switch Status		
Word 1, Bits 8-15	-5V Level		
Word 1, Bits 0-7	+5V Level		
Word 2, Bits 8-15	-12V Level		
Word 2, Bits 0-7	+12V Level		
Word 3, Bits 8-15	TC Count		
Word 3, Bits 0-7	+28V Level		
Word 4	Keyhole Word		
Word 5	Broadcast Pulse Count		
Word 6, Bits 5-15	Priority FIFO Level		
Word 6, Bit 4	RAM Map Flag		
Word 6, Bit 3	Normal / Maintenance Mo	de Flag	
Word 6, Bit 2	Main / Redundant Flag		
Word 6, Bits 0-1	System ID		
Word 7, Bits 2-15	Normal FIFO Level		
Word 7, Bits 0-1	Spare		
Word 8, Bit 15	MAG HK 1 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 14	MIP HK 2 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 13	MIP HK 1 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 12	LAP HK 2 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 11	LAP HK 1 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 10	ICA HK 4 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 9	ICA HK 3 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 8	ICA HK 2 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 7	ICA HK 1 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 6	IES HK 4 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 5	IES HK 3 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 4	IES HK 2 Flag		
Word 8, Bit 3	IES HK 1 Flag		
Word 9	Spare		
ขขอเน ฮ	Spare		

Table 2.3-10: PIU HK TM Packet Definition



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

2.3.2.2.2 IES TM Packet Definition

Telemetry Packet Information						
Packet Name	Minimum Science	Instrument	RPC-IES			
Packet Function	Science Report					
Generation Rules	Generation Rules Every 1024 seconds when enabled					
Header Information						
Process ID	84	Packet Category	Private			
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2			
Structure ID 1 Packet Length 648						
Data Field Information						
IES science data in Minimum telemetry mode						

Telemetry Packet Information				
Packet Name	Normal Science	Instrument	RPC-IES	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation	Every 32 seconds who	en enabled		
Rules				
Header Informati	on	<u>.</u>		
Process ID	84	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2	
Structure ID	2	Packet Length	208	
Data Field Information				
IES science data in Normal telemetry mode				

Telemetry Packet Information				
Packet Name	Burst Science	Instrument	RPC-IES	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds when er	nabled		
Header Information				
Process ID	84	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2	
Structure ID	3	Packet Length	1008	
Data Field Information				
IES science data in Burst telemetry mode				

Table 2.3-11: RPC-IES TM Packet Definition



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

2.3.2.2.3 ICA TM packet Definition

Telemetry Packet Information				
Packet Name	Minimum Science	Instrument	RPC-ICA	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation Rules	Every 960 seconds (average	ge) when enabled		
Header Information				
Process ID	85	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2	
Structure ID	1	Packet Length	618	
Data Field Information				
ICA science data in Minimum telemetry mode				

Telemetry Packet Information				
Packet Name	Normal Science	Instrument	RPC-ICA	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation Rules	Every 192 seconds (average) when enabled			
Header Information				
Process ID	85	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2	
Structure ID	2	Packet Length	2478	
Data Field Information				
ICA science data in Normal telemetry mode				

Telemetry Packet Information				
Packet Name	Burst Science	Instrument	RPC-ICA	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds (max) when enabled			
Header Information				
Process ID	85	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2	
Structure ID	3 Packet Length 4092			
Data Field Information				
ICA science data in Burst telemetry mode				



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

Telemetry Packet Information					
Packet Name	Calibration Science	Instrument	RPC-ICA		
Packet Function	Science Report				
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds (max) when enabled				
Header Informatio	Header Information				
Process ID	85	Packet Category	Private		
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2		
Structure ID	4 Packet Length 1074				
Data Field Information					
ICA science data in Calibration telemetry mode					

Telemetry Packet Information				
Packet Name	Special Science	Instrument	RPC-ICA	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds (max)	when enabled		
Header Informatio	Header Information			
Process ID	85	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2	
Structure ID	ID 5 Packet Length 3198			
Data Field Information				
ICA science data in Special telemetry mode				

Telemetry Packet Information				
Packet Name	Test Science	Instrument	RPC-ICA	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds (max) when enabled			
Header Information				
Process ID	85	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2	
Structure ID	6 Packet Length 600			
Data Field Information				
ICA science data in Test telemetry mode				

Table 2.3-12: RPC-ICA TM Packet Definition

For Details refer to the Document RD-ICA-2.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

2.3.2.2.4 LAP TM Packet Definition

For more details on the LAP TM refer to RD-LAP-3 and RD-LAP-4.

Telemetry Packet Information				
Packet Name	Minimum Science	Instrument	RPC-LAP	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation Rules	Every 1024 seconds when	n enabled		
Header Information				
Process ID	86	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2	
Structure ID	1 Packet Length 176			
Data Field Information				
LAP science data in Minimum telemetry mode				

Telemetry Packet Information				
Packet Name	Normal Science	Instrument	RPC-LAP	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds when	Every 32 seconds when enabled		
Header Information				
Process ID	86	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2	
Structure ID	2	Packet Length	232	
Data Field Information				
LAP science data in Normal telemetry mode				

Telemetry Packet Information				
Packet Name	Burst Science	Instrument	RPC-LAP	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation Rules	Every 10.66 seconds (on	average) when enab	led	
Header Information				
Process ID	86	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	2	
Structure ID	3 Packet Length 2996			
Data Field Information				
LAP science data in Burst telemetry mode				

Table 2.3-13: RPC-LAP TM Packet Definition



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page : 120

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

2.3.2.2.5 MIP TM Packet Definition

Telemetry Packet	Information			
Packet Name	Minimum Science	Instrument	RPC-MIP	
Packet Function	Science Report			
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds when 6	enabled		
Header Informatio	n			
Process ID	87	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	3	
Structure ID	1	Packet Length	28*	
Data Field Information				
MIP science data packet in minimum telemetry rate				

Telemetry Packet Information						
Packet Name	Normal Science Instrument RPC-MIP					
Packet Function	Science Report					
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds when 6	enabled				
Header Information						
Process ID	87	87 Packet Category Private				
Service Type	20 Service Subtype 3					
Structure ID 2 Packet Length 208*						
Data Field Information						
MIP science data packet in normal telemetry rate						

Telemetry Packet Information					
Packet Name	Burst Science Instrument RPC-MIP				
Packet Function	Science Report				
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds when 6	enabled			
Header Information					
Process ID	87	Packet Category	Private		
Service Type	20	Service Subtype	3		
Structure ID 3 Packet Length 1210*					
Data Field Information					
MIP science data packet in burst telemetry rate					



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 121

Telemetry Packet Information						
Packet Name	Housekeeping Instrument RPC-MII					
Packet Function	MIP Housekeeping Rep	ort				
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds when	enabled	_			
Header Information						
Process ID	87	Packet Category	4			
Service Type	3	3 Service Subtype 25				
Structure ID 1 Packet Length 26*						
Data Field Information						
MIP HK packet						

Telemetry Packet Information					
Packet Name	TC Verification	Instrument	RPC-MIP		
Packet Function	Telecommand Verification Report				
Generation Rules	Every telecommand sent				
Header Information	n				
Process ID	87	Packet Category	1		
Service Type	1 Service Subtype 1				
Structure ID 1 Packet Length 14*					
Data Field Information					
TC Verification					

Table 2.3-14: RPC-MIP TM Packet Definition

MIP-Note*: The cell 'Packet Length' is filled up with the effective value of the packet data field length, i.e. the experiment data length + 10 bytes of the data field header length (without the 6 bytes of the packet header).

HK data characteristics

The HK data transmitted to PIU every acquisition period (32 seconds) are :

- 1 HK type I packet of 6 bytes in length,
- 1 HK type II packet of 6 bytes in length.

The 2 HK packets, the temperature value managed by MAG/PIU and a 2 byte identifier are combined to create an telemetry HK packet of 16 bytes in length.



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

: 122

2.3.2.2.6 MAG TM Packet Definition

Telemetry Packet Information					
Packet Name	Minimum Science Instrument RPC-MAG				
Packet Function	Science Report				
Generation Rules	Every 256 seconds when	enabled			
Header Informatio	Header Information				
Process ID	88	Packet Category	Private		
Service Type	20 Service Subtype 2				
Structure ID 1 Packet Length 40					
Data Field Information					
MAG science data packet in minimum telemetry rate					

Telemetry Packet Information					
Packet Name	Normal Science Instrument RPC-MAG				
Packet Function	Science Report				
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds when 6	enabled			
Header Informatio	n				
Process ID	88 Packet Category Private				
Service Type	20 Service Subtype 2				
Structure ID 2 Packet Length 208					
Data Field Information					
MAG science data packet in normal telemetry rate					

Packet Name	Burst Science Instrument RPC-MAC					
Packet Function	Science Report					
Generation Rules	Every 32 seconds when 6	enabled				
Header Information	n					
Process ID	88 Packet Category Private					
Service Type	20 Service Subtype 2					
Structure ID	Structure ID 3 Packet Length 4104					
Data Field Information						
MAG science data packet in burst telemetry rate						

Table 2.3-15: RPC-MAG TM Packet Definition

Details on the Structure of the internal MAG packets can be found in RD-MAG-23.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

2.3.2.2.7 PIU Telecommand Packet Definition

Telecommand Packet Information				
Packet Name		See below	Instrument	RPC-PIU
Packet Function		PIU Telecommand		
Verification Rule	:S	At any time. For details refer to Chapter 2.8.3.1.3 EID-A.		
Header Informa	ntion	,	<u> </u>	
Process ID	83	Packet Category	Private	
Service Type	Subtype	Length	Name	
3	5	11	Enable HK Data	a
3	6	11	Disable Hk Data	a
6	2	Variable	Memory Load	
6	5	Variable	Memory Dump	
6	9	Variable	Memory Check	
9	1	15	Update Time	
17	1	9	Test Service	
192	1	11	Set IES Power	
192	2	11	Set ICA Power	
192	3	11	Set LAP Power	
192	4	11	Set MIP Power	
192	5	11	Set MAG Powe	
193	1	9	Reset IES Link	
193	2	9	Reset ICA Link	
193	3	9	Reset LAP Link	
193	4	9	Reset MIP Link	
193	5	9	Reset MAG Lin	
193	6	9	Reset DPIU	
193	7	9	Reset SCAT TO	C Channel
193	8	9	Reset SCAT TN	
194	1	9	Reset Software	
194	2	9		ap (Undo Invert)
194	3	9	Invert RAM Ma	
194	4	11	Set Software Lo	
194	5	9	Set to Maintena	
194	6	9	Set to Normal N	
194	7	13	Patch from EEF	
194	8	13	Set Keyhole Wo	
194	9	9	Report Last Aq	
194	10	11	Control Parame	
195	1	11	Set IES Link	
195	2	11	Set ICA Link	
195	3	11	Set LAP Link	
195	4	11	Set MIP Link	
195	5	11	Set MAG Link	
196	1	15	IES Test	
196	2	15	ICA Test	
196	3	15	LAP Test	
196	4	15	MIP Test	
196	5	15	MAG Test	
.55	. •	ı . .	1 1717 (0 1 00)	

Table 2.3-16: RPC-PIU TC Packet Definition



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

2.3.2.2.8 IES Telecommand Packet Definition

Telecommand Packet Information						
Packet Name			see below		Instrument	RPC-IES
Packet Function				IES Telecommand (ref. RD-IES-3, Appendix: Commands; and RD-GEN-27)		
Verification Rule	es		At any time. F	or det	ails refer to Chap	ter 2.8.3.1.3 EID-A.
Header Informa	ation					
Process ID	84		Packet Category Private			
Service Type Subtyp		е	Lenç	gth	Name	
	-					

Table 2.3-17: IEC TC Packet Definition

2.3.2.2.9 ICA Telecommand Packet Definition

Telecommand Packet Information					
Packet Name		see below	Instrument	RPC-ICA	
Packet Function		ICA 16 bit TCs (se	ICA 16 bit TCs (see RD-ICA-2 and RD-GEN-27)		
Verification Rule	Verification Rules		At any time. For details refer to Chapter 2.8.3.1.3 EID-A.		
Header Information					
Process ID	85	Packet Category	Private		
Service Type	Subtype	Length	Name		
	2				
Data Field Information					
A 16 bit command code					

Telecommand Packet Information					
Packet Name		see below	Instrument	RPC-ICA	
Packet Function	Packet Function ICA 32 TCs (see RD-ICA-2 and RD-GEN-27)			-GEN-27)	
Verification Rule	es	At any time. For details refer to Chapter 2.8.3.1.3 EID-A.			
Header Information					
Process ID	85	Packet Category	Private		
Service Type	Subtype	Length	Name		
		4			
Data Field Information					
A 16 bit command code followed by a 16 bit security lock (0xFEED					
A 16 bit command code followed by a 16 bit security lock (0xFEED					

Table 2.3-18: ICA TC Packet Definition



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

2.3.2.2.10 LAP Telecommand Packet Definition

Telecommand Packet Information					
Packet Name		see below	Instrument	RPC-LAP	
Packet Function		LAP Telecommand	LAP Telecommand (see RD-GEN-27)		
Verification Rules		At any time. For details refer to Chapter 2.8.3.1.3 EID-A.			
Header Informa	ation	•	•		
Process ID	86	Packet Category Private			
Service Type	Subtype	Length Name			

Table 2.3-19: LAP TC Packet Definition

2.3.2.2.11 MIP Telecommand Packet Definition

Telecommand Packet Information							
Packet Name	Packet Name		Instrument	RPC-MIP			
Packet Function		MIP Telecommand (see RD-GEN-27))					
Verification Rules		At any time. For det	ails refer to Chap	ter 2.8.3.1.3 EID-A.			
Header Informa	ition						
Process ID	Packet Category Private						
Service Type Subtype Length			Name				

Table 2.3-20: MIP TC Packet Definition



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

MAG Telecommand Packet Definition: 2.3.2.2.12

Telecommand Packet Information					
Packet Name see below Instrume			Instrument	RPC-MAG	
Packet Function		MAG Telecommand			
Verification Rule	es	At any time. For de	tails refer to Chap	ter 2.8.3.1.3 EID-A.	
Header Informa	ition		•		
Process ID	88	Packet Category	Private		
Service Type	Subtype	Length	Name		
3	5	11	Enable HK Data		
3	6	11	Disable HK Data	1	
20	1	9	Enable Science		
20	2	9	Disable Science		
250	1	11	Select Outboard		
250	2	11	Select Inboard		
251	1		Select SID 1		
251	2		Select SID 2		
251	3		Select SID 3		
251	4		Select SID 4		
251	5		Select SID 5		
251	6		Select SID 6		

Table 2.3-21: MAG TC Packet Definition



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 127

2.3.2.3 Instrument Packet Content Description

PIU

Refer directly to the RD-RPC-10.

IES

Refer directly to the RD-RPC-10.

ICA

For the ICA TC parameter definition refer to RD-ICA-2.

LAP

For the LAP TC parameter definition refer to RD-LAP-4.

MIP

For the MIP TC parameter definition refer to RD-MIP-3

MAG

Refer directly to RD-RPC-10.



Rosetta Refere Issue Date Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 128

2.3.3 DMS Resource Requirements

2.3.3.1 SSMM Allocation

The available SSMM is 104.9 MB for RPC.

2.3.3.2 SSMM Utilisation

The tables below give, for each mission phase with different SSMM requirements, the estimated (average) amount of data which will be generated in a 7 day period. Note that some of the mission phases given last less than 7 days, therefore the total data taken will be less than the figures given.

The data space required for context storage is constant over all mission phases. The space required for patches is estimated, and will also be constant assuming that the patches will be held constantly in the SSMM for the largest part of the mission.

Non-Science Telemetry includes the following RPC-specific data types:

- Housekeeping Data
- Event Report Data
- Memory Dumps

but does not include data provided under the spacecraft mandatory packet services such as Telecommand reception reports.

Mission Phases:	Commissioning, Far Approach, Relay.	Instrument:	RPC
Data Type	Description	Volume Mb.	Operational Usage
Non-Science	Housekeeping, Event	0.41	Active time
Telemetry	Reports, Memory Dumps		typically 10%
Science	Burst	37	u
Telemetry			
Context	Context	0.1	At RPC Power-on
Software Patches	PIU and Exp. Patches	0.2	и



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Mission Phases:	All Cruise Phases	Instrument:	RPC
Data Type	Description	Volume Mb.	Operational Usage
Non-Science	Housekeeping, Event	0.005	Active time
Telemetry	Reports, Memory Dumps		typically <1%
Science	Normal	0.25	
Telemetry			
Context	Context	0.1	At RPC Power-on
Software Patches	PIU and Exp. Patches	0.2	и

Mission	Near Comet Drift	Instrument:	RPC
Phases:			
Data Type	Description	Volume Mb.	Operational Usage
Non-Science	Housekeeping, Event	0.16	Active time
Telemetry	Reports, Memory Dumps		typically 5%
Science	Burst	19	
Telemetry			
Context	Context	0.1	At RPC Power-on
Software	PIU and Exp. Patches	0.2	· ·
Patches			

Mission Phases:	Earth Swing-by, Asteroid	Instrument:	RPC
	Encounters, Mars Fly-by		
Data Type	Description	Volume Mb.	Operational Usage
Non-Science	Housekeeping, Event	4.1	Active time 100%
Telemetry	Reports, Memory Dumps		
Science	Burst	374	u
Telemetry			
Context	Context	0.1	At RPC Power-on
Software	PIU and Exp. Patches	0.2	и
Patches			



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Mission	Close Approach, Global	Instrument:	RPC
Phases:	Mapping		
Data Type	Description	Volume Mb.	Operational Usage
Non-Science	Housekeeping, Event	1.8	Active time
Telemetry	Reports, Memory Dumps		typically 50%
Science	Burst	187	"
Telemetry			
Context	Context	0.1	At RPC Power-on
Software Patches	PIU and Exp. Patches	0.2	и

Mission	Transition, Close	Instrument:	RPC
Phases:	Observation, Extended		
	Monitoring, Ext. Mission		
Data Type	Description	Volume Mb.	Operational
			Usage
Non-Science	Housekeeping, Event	1	Active time
Telemetry	Reports, Memory Dumps		typically 30%
Science	Normal	8.3	"
Telemetry			
Context	Context	0.1	At RPC Power-on
Software	PIU and Exp. Patches	0.2	· ·
Patches	-		

Mission Phases:	SSP Delivery	Instrument:	RPC
Data Type	Description	Volume Mb.	Operational Usage
Non-Science Telemetry	Housekeeping, Event Reports, Memory Dumps	4.1	Active time 100%
Science Telemetry	Burst	374	"
Context	Context	0.1	At RPC Power-on
Software Patches	PIU and Exp. Patches	0.2	и

Table 2.3-22: RPC Data Volume in Different Misison Phases



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 131

2.3.3.3 On-Board Control Procedures

OBCP Name, Number	Function	Usage
PL_OBCP_5_RP.1, #8091	PIU Power On	Power On of RPC
PL_OBCP_5_RP.2, #8092	PIU Power Off	Power Off of RPC
PL_OBCP_5_RP.3, #8093	RPC Mode Control	During any reconfiguration of RPC IES and ICA
PL_OBCP_5_RP.4, #8094	LDL no synchronization	When LDL sync event is generated
PL_OBCP_5_RP.5, #8095	Parameter Monitor Danger value	When Danger event is generated
PL_OBCP_5_RP.6, #8096	RPC Mode Control 2	During any RPC reconfiguration of MIP, MAG, LAP
PL_OBCP_5_RP.7, #8097	IES Mode Control	Change Mode of IES
PL_OBCP_5_RP.8, #8098	ICA Mode Control	Change Mode of ICA
PL_OBCP_5_RP.9, #8099	LAP Mode Control	Change Mode of LAP
PL_OBCP_5_RP.10, #809A	MIP Mode Control	Change Mode of MIP
PL_OBCP_5_RP.11 #809B	MAG Mode Control	Change Mode of MAG

Table 2.3-23: RPC OBCPs

More information about the OBCPs can be found in the documents:

- RPC Experiment OBCPs URD: RD-GEN-5, Section3.2.4.
- Rosetta Flight Operations Plan: RD-GEN-9,
- Volume 2, Annex 3, Chapter 2

2.3.3.4 DMS Monitoring of RPC

The DMS shall perform periodic and event driven monitoring of the RPC status. Periodic monitoring shall be performed on parameters defined within the RPC Housekeeping Report, delivered every 32 seconds. According to a limit-set for each parameter, the DMS may be required to execute an OBCP for 'make-safe' action. The RPC shall also generate discrete Event Packets which shall trigger the execution of an OBCP.



Rosetta RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 132

For the external thermistors to be monitored refer to section 2.2.2.5.4 or to RD-GEN-30.



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 133

Instrument	RPC			
Monitored Entity	RSDB Mnemonic	Monitoring Requirements	Action On Error, FCP/OBCP	
Parameters				
RPC +5V	NRPD0310	According to Limit Set in RSDB	RPC Off	
RPC –5V	NRPD0317	According to Limit Set in RSDB	RPC Off	
LCL Current Trip				
S/C controlled Thermis	tors			
PIU – LCL-10	TCS051_RPCEL_TRP_P TCS052_RPCEL_TRP_R	Ref. ROS-ESS-S/C-UM	RPC Off, RPC_FCP_000	
IES -LCL -18 / LCL- 40	TCS053_IESTRP_P TCS054_IESTRP_R	Ref. ROS-ESS-S/C-UM	IES Off, RPC_FCP_010	
ICA – LCL-41	TCS056_ICATRP_P TCS057_ICATRP_R TCS055_ICASTP	Ref. ROS-ESS-S/C-UM	ICA Off, RPC_FCP_020	
Events	VPP04E04	Damanatan Hait ID	Run OBCP	
PIU Monitor Danger	YRP0AEC4	Parameter: Unit ID Run PL_OBCP_5_F Contact RPC		
MIP Dog barking	YRP0AE81	None	Contact RPC	

Table 2.3-24: RPC Monitoring Requirements

More details of DMS monitoring of RPC can be found in section 3.4.3.



Rosetta RPC-UserManual

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 134

2.3.3.5 Information Distribution Requirements

RPC has a requirement to receive the following information:

- Environmental Pressure
- IES requires the Rosina Pressure, Rosina Pressure Gradient and the Giada Dust Flux information messages to be delivered via Service 19 from the DMS.

The IES software has an algorithm to monitor these values and take actions to either turn off the high voltage or to autorecover and return the high voltage to nominal levels.

The safety and autorecovery mechanisms are described in detail in section 3.4.5, Instrument-Specific Failure Detection Mechanisms.

Environment Pressure may be delivered to RPC as either a periodic parameter (approx. once per minute).

The auxiliary data like

Attitude Data

- These data shall provide the position (3 coordinates) of the s/c in a convenient celestial coordinate system as well as the orientation of the s/c (2 angles). This information is needed with a temporal resolution of 1 s.
- Thruster Warnings

are distributed to RPC via the standard s/c TM.

2.3.3.6 DMS TM Packetisation Requirements

RPC has no discrete telemetry, and no specific requirements on DMS packetisation.



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 135

2.4 Budgets

2.4.1 Telemetry

			SCIENCE				
	Hk	Min	Norm	Burst	SID4	SID5	SID6
PIU	9.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MAG	8.5	22	70.5	1353.0	326.5	17.6	1293.0
MP	8.0	8.5	53.5	304.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
LAP	7.5	1.6	625	2253.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
IES	10.5	5.1	53.5	257.5	n/a	n∕a	n/a
ICA	10.5	5.3	1039	1027.0	272.5	803.5	154.0
Total	54.0	227	343.9	5194.5	599.0	821.1	1447.0

Table 2.4-1: RPC Telemetry Rates Summary

Houseke	eping				NB Appli	cation dat	a include:	s SID word	
	Sid ID	Period	No Of Link Packets	Actual Data Bit rate	Application Data	Packet Data Field Size	Total Packet Size (Packet header + data field)	ESA Packet Length	Total Data Rate
		Secs		Bit/sec	Octets	Octets	Octets	Octets	bits/s
PIU	Default	32,0	3,00	4,5	20	30	36	29	9,0
MAG	Default	32,0	2,67	4,0	18	28	34	27	8,5
MIP	Default	32,0	2,33	3,5	16	26	32	25	8,0
LAP	Default	32,0	2	3,0	14	24	30	23	7,5
IES	Default	32,0	4	6,0	26	36	42	35	10,5
ICA	Default	32,0	4	6,0	26	36	42	35	10,5
•							Total F	lk Data Rate	54,0

Table 2.4-2: RPC HousekeepingTelemetry Rate



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Science									ESA Packe	ts
	Sid Name	Sid ID	Period	No Of Link Packets	Actual Data Bit rate	Application Data	Packet Data Field Size	Total Packet Size (Packet header + data	ESA Packet Length	Total Data Rate
			Secs		Bit/sec	Octets	Octets	Octets	Octets	bits/s
MAG	Min	1	1024.0	44	2.1	266	276	282	275	2.2
	Norm	2	32.0	44	66.5	266	276	282	275	70.5
	Burst	3	16.0	448	1345.0	2690	2700	2706	2699	1353.0
	Sid 4	4	32.0	215	322.5	1290	1300	1306	1299	326.5
	Sid 5	5	128.0	44	16.6	266	276	282	275	17.6
	Sid 6	6	16.0	428	1285.0	2570	2580	2586	2579	1293.0
MIP	Min	1	32.0	3	4.5	18	28	34	27	8.5
	Norm	2	32.0	33	49.5	198	208	214	207	53.5
	Burst	3	32.0	200	300.0	1200	1210	1216	1209	304.0
LAP	Min	1	1024.0	32	1.5	192	202	208	201	1.6
	Norm	2	32.0	39	58.5	234	244	250	243	62.5
	Burst	3	10.7	498	2241.0	2988	2998	3004	2997	2253.0
IES	Min	1	1024.0	106	5.0	636	646	652	645	5.1
	Norm	2	32.0	33	49.5	198	208	214	207	53.5
	Burst	3	32.0	169	253.5	1014	1024	1030	1023	257.5
ICA	Min	1	960.0	103	5.2	618	628	634	627	5.3
	Norm	2	192.0	413	103.3	2478	2488	2494	2487	103.9
	Burst	3	32.0	682	1023.0	4092	4102	4108	4101	1027.0
	Cal	4	32.0	179	268.5	1074	1084	1090	1083	272.5
	Spec	5	32.0	533	799.5	3198	3208	3214	3207	803.5
	Test	6	32.0	100	150.0	600	610	616	609	154.0
	Housekee	pina				NB Applica	ation data ir	ncludes SIE) word	

Table 2.4-3: RPC ScienceTelemetry Budget



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

: 137

2.4.2 Mass & Moments of Inertia

7.5 kg RPC Total Unit Mass: RPC Harness Mass: 1.5 kg

2.4.2.1 **Sensors**

Experiment Unit	Mass	Dimensions wrt URF axes	sions		Center of	Center of Mass wrt URF axes centered	s S entered	Moment of Inertia wrt URF axes cent [Kgm²]	Moment of Inertia wrt URF axes centered at COM IKam²l	at COM
	[Kg]	[>	1	מו ואו בו	<u> </u>	1			
		Y	Ţ	7	~	Y	7	×	ly	Z
RPC-1.1 (IES)	1.300	249	157	160	109.3	6.89	53.1	0.00280	0.00663	0.00637
RPC-2.1 (ICA)	2.150	257	186	171	94	-84.7	61.6	0.0067	0.01099	0.01141
RPC-3.1 (LAP Sensor 1)	0.125	20	20	280	12,4	12,4	126	0.00120	0.00120	0.000027
RPC-3.2 (LAP Sensor 2)	0.125	20	20	280	12,4	12,4	126	0.00120	0.00120	0.000027
RPC3.3 (LAP Bracket 1)	0.053	45	92	166	-5	30	68.5	0.000146	0.000135	0.000010
RPC-3.4 (LAP Bracket 2)	6.053	45	92	166	-5	30	68.5	0.000146	0.000135	0.000010
RPC-4.1 (MIP Sensor)	0.270	45	201.5	1037	-17.5	39.2	302.5	0.02690	0.02660	0.000371
RPC-5.1 (MAG Sensor 1)	0.045	48	42	38	19	17	17	9E-6	7E-6	8E-6
RPC-5.2 (MAG Sensor 2)	0.045	48	42	38	19	17	17	9E-6	7E-6	8E-6
RPC-0 Electronics of PIU,LAP, MIP &	3.280	186	256	163	80	101	80	0.02500	0.01400	0.02400
RPC-H01	0.180	1830	N/A	N/A						
RPC-H02	0.089	1435	N/A	N/A						
RPC-H03	0.094	95	400	N/A						
RPC-H04	0.120	2962	N/A	N/A						
RPC-H05	0.125	2700	N/A	N/A						
RPC-H06	0.150	3600	N/A	N/A						
RPC-H07	0,120	2495	N/A	N/A						
RPC-H08	0.140	3004	N/A	N/A						
RPC-H09	0.110	1830	N/A	N/A						
RPC-H10	0.210	3750	7	7						
RPC-H11	0.195	2295/ 2440	2-2	2-2						

Table 2.4-4: RPC Mass & Moments of Inertia



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 138

2.4.2.2 Electronic Boards

PIU: DPIU: 442g

TSU: 355g PSU: 463g (est)

ICA: Electronicboard mass included in ICA total mass of 2150 g,

outside RPC-0

LAP: N/A

IES: Electronicboard mass included in IES total mass of 1170 g,

outside RPC-0

MAG: (with stiffeners, without Alu panels): 436.7 g

(with stiffeners, with Alu panels): 519.1 g

MIP: 377 g



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

2.4.3 RPC Power Consumption

The following 2 tables show the RPC consumed power:

		LCL Current (mA @ 28V)	Powe (mW)
IES	LV	56	1568
	HV (additive ,estimate)	30	840
ICA	LV	70	1960
	HV (additive, estimate)	30	840
LAP		74	2072
MIP		70	1960
MAG		30	840
PIU	DPIU	35	980
	PSU	35	980
	Total	430	12040
Notes	LCL Current measurement not IES/ICA HV current estimated		

Table 2.4-5: RPC Power Consumption (overview)

RPC Power Requirements Issue 2 Rev 4 of 15/3/2001

	mA @ +5V		ma @ -5V		mA @) +12V	mA @	n) -12V	mA @) +28V	Sec Pwr /mW		Pri Pw	r/mW
Unit	Nom.	Мах.	Nom.	Мах.	Nom.	Мах.	Nom.	Мах.	Nom.	Мах.	Nom.	Мах.	Nom.	Мах.
IES	200	200	40	40	45	45	40	40			2220	2220	2960	2960
ICA	400	462	75	75	15	28	15	27	30	30	3575	4185	4767	5580
LAP	105	170	10	10	10	35	10	10	15	18	1235	1944	1647	2592
MIP	280	300	45	45							1625	1725	2167	2300
MAG	83	86	59	62							710	740	946,7	986,7
PIU	210	235									1050	1175	1400	1567
Total mA	1278	1453	229	232	70	108	65	77	45	48				
Total mW	6390	7265	1145	1160	840	1296	780	924	1260	1344	10415	11989	13887	15985

Table 2.4-6: RPC power consumption (detailed)



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 140

Note: MIP

During each 32 s sequence (acquisition period) MIP works in two regimes:

- active regime which consumes 1645 mW (secondary)
- stand-by regime (processor idle) which consumes 1035 mW (secondary).

The duration of these two regimes inside a 32 s frame depends on the bit telemetry rate. Thus we have:

 normal or burst rate: 1625 mW (secondary) minimum rate: 1190 mW (secondary)

2.4.4 Non-Operational Heaters Power Consumption

PIU: A S/C controlled non-operating heater of 1.6 W. LCL-10 ICA: A S/C controlled non-operating heater of 3.5 W. LCL-41 **IES:** A S/C controlled non-operating heater of 0.5 W. LCL-40

A S/C controlled non-operating heater of 2.7 W. LCL-18

Refer to Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden. --- Table 2.2-5.

2.4.5 S/C Powered Thermistors

ICA: One thermistor PAY430 ICA 1 (NRPAT002) located at the ICA Thermal Reference Point (TRP) shown in Figure 2.5-3.

IES: One thermistor PAY429 IES 1 (NRPAT001)

One thermistor PAY431 MIP 1 (Th1)(NRPAT003), located at the sensor MIP:

Receiver 1, is monitored by the S/C (ref. to Figure 2.5-5).

Refer to Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden. --- Table 2.2-5.

2.4.6 Pyro Lines

No pyro lines used for RPC.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 141

2.5 Thermal

2.5.1 Thermal Design

2.5.1.1 Thermal Design Requirements

IES:

Thermal management of IES is accomplished using passive blankets to protect the instrument against contamination resulting from cold-trapping early in flight. To monitor IES's temperature, a total of four thermistors are employed, monitored by the Rosetta spacecraft. The non-operation temperature limits for IES are given in Table 2.5-1.

IES has no radiator, and therefore has no special requirements for radiator field-of-view. A 0.5 W non-operational heater (PL-LCL 40) is used to aid in maintaining the temperature. It is located at the top of the sensor and is controlled by means of thermistor NRPAT001, switching on if the temperature drops below -10° C and off if it subsequently rises to +5° C. A second heater (2.7 W, PL-LCL 18), inside the instrument box, switches on at 0° C. Refer to Figure 2.5-1 for the locations of thermistors and heaters.

ICA:

ICA is mounted externally on the comet facing platform. The instrument is thermally de-coupled from the spacecraft, i.e. individually controlled. The thermal design requirements are driven by temperature constraints on electronics components and the micro-channel plates. A S/C provided non-operational heater is required.

LAP

LAP has no special thermal requirements besides those listed in Table 2.5-1.

MIP:

The sensor contains 2 preamplifiers which cannot be located in the RPC-0 electronics box without an important loss of sensitivity and bandwidth reduction. A wide range of heliocentric distances must be considered for the thermal design (0.9 to 5.2 AU non-operating, 1 to 4.1 AU operating). A model has been established with the help of the ROSETTA Project and ESTEC/SSD, to define the temperature range at the MIP sensor location. The adopted solution is to use electronics and mechanical components which support the wide range of temperatures.



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 142

MAG:

The MAG OB/IB sensors will be exposed to a wide temperature range down to about -140°C and up to +100°C. Extreme temperatures of -180°C / +120°C can be tolerated by specific sensor material (Macor).

PIU and Sensor Common Electronics (RPC-0):

The main electronics box for the RPC package is located under spacecraft supplied blankets on the payload platform, to which it is thermally conducting. The box thermal control will therefore be dictated by the spacecraft environment.

The following table summarizes all parameters, RSDB names, Temperature Soft & Hard limits and loactions of all thermistors used by RPC. The locations of the RPC controlled sensors can be found in TICDs in section 2.5.2.5.

The locations of the s/c controlled thermistors can be found in Annex9/ Thermal and Annex9/Payload of the Spacecraft Users Manual RO-DSS-MA-1001 (RD-GEN-30).



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Rev. : 18 Issue Date : October 06, 2011

: 143

Unit	Description	RSDB Name	powered by	тм	Condition	SOFT Limits	(Yellow)	HARD Limits	(Red)	Limit Name	Location
						Low	High	Low	High		
IES	PAY429-Rpc IES Temp	NRPAT001	s/c	RPC	Non OP	-35 °C	+65°C	-40 °C	+70 °C	LRP00001	Inside top end of "neck" of electron
	1 A1429-Npc ILO Tellip	NICI ATOUT	3/0	IXI C	Operation	-25 °C	+55 °C	-30 °C	+60 °C	LIN 00001	sensor board
IES	TCS053_IES_TRP_P-C54, RPC IES TRP	NTSA0017	s/c	s/c	NRPD0300:RV=0, Non OP	-25°C	+55°C	-30°C	+60°C	LTS00053	Back surface of IES box, not Reference
	RPC IES TRP				Operation	-20°C	+50°C	-25°C	+55°C		Foot
IES	TCS054_IES_TRP_R-C54, RPC IES TRPP	NTSA0143	s/c	s/c	NRPD0300:RV=0, Non OP	-25°C	+55°C	-30°C	+60°C	LTS00054	Back surface of IES box. not Reference
	RPC IES TRPP				Operation	-20°C	+50°C	-25°C	+55°C		Foot
ICA	PAY430-Rpc ICA Temp	NRPAT002	s/c	RPC	Non OP	-35 °C	+55°C	-40 °C	+60 °C	LRP00002	TRP
	1 A1400-Rpc load remp	WW ATOO2	3/6	141 0	Operation	-25 °C	+50 °C	-30 °C	+55 °C	LIN 0000Z	TIM
ICA	Sensor Temperature	NRPD2360	RPC	RPC	NRPD0301:RV=1	-25 °C	+50 °C	-30 °C	+55 °C	LRPD2360	Sensor Top
ICA	DPU Temperature	NRPD2368	RPC	RPC	NRPD0301:RV=1	-25 °C	+50 °C	-30 °C	+55 °C	LRPD2368	Central Electronics
ICA	TCS056_ICA_TRP_P-C55,	NTSA0018	s/c	s/c	NRPD0301:RV=0, Non OP	-35°C	+55 °C	-40 °C	+60 °C	LTS00056	Reference Foot
	RPC IES TRPP				Operation	-30°C	+55°C	-35°C	+60°C	2.00000	
ICA	TCS057_ICA_TRP_R-C55, RPC ICA TRPP	NTSA0144	s/c	s/c	NRPD0301:RV=0 Non OP	-35°C	+55°C	-40°C	+60 °C	LTS00057	Reference Foot
	RPC ICA TRPP				Operation	-50°C	+60°C	-55°C	+70°C		
ICA*	TCS055_ICA_STP-C86	NTSA0084	s/c	s/c							STP nearby Structure
	?#55 Thrurter12 HT1 H381			0,0							S. Hours, Sausture
LAP	TCS096_LAP2_STP-C58	NTSA0210	s/c	s/c	TRUE	-130°C	+90°C	-150°C	+100°C	LTS00096	STP on Boom-Z



Rosetta RPC-UserManual

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 144

LAP	TCS058_LAP1_STP-C86	NTSA0021	s/c	s/c	TRUE	-130°C	+90°C	-150°C	+100°C	LTS00058	STP on Boom +Z
LAP	Processor Temperature	NRPD3360	RPC	RPC	NRPD0303:RV=1	-300°C	+80°C	-300°C	+110°C	LRPD3350	LAP Electronics
MIP	PAY431-Rpc MIP Temp	NRPAT003	s/c	s/c	TRUE	-130 °C	+90°C	-160 °C	+100 °C	LRP00003	Antenna, R1
MIP	Thermistor #2, NRPA4370	NRPD4370/MSB NRPD4378/LSB	RPC	RPC	NO LIMITS associate	ed with this	thermistor			•	Antenna, R2
MIP	TCS059_MIP_STP-C56	NTSA0019	s/c	s/c	TRUE	-130 °C	+90°C	-150 °C	+100 °C	LTS00059	STP on boom
MAG	TEMP1 (OB-Sensor)	NRPA5320	RPC	RPC	NRPD0305:RV=1	-130 °C	+75°C	-145 °C	+95 °C	LRPA5320	Inside OB-Sensor
MAG	TEMP2 (IB-Sensor)	NRPA5330	RPC	RPC	NRPD0305:RV=1	-130 °C	+75°C	-145 °C	+95 °C	LRPA5330	Inside IB-Sensor
MAG	TCS062_MAGIB_STP-C57	NTSA0020	s/c	s/c	TRUE	-75 °C	+60°C	-75 °C	+70°C	LTS00062	STP on boom, at IB Sensor
PIU	PSU TEMPERATURE	NRPD0306	RPC	RPC	NRPD038F:RV=1	-35 °C	55 °C	-40°C	85 °C	LRPD0306	on PIU - PCB
PIU	TCS051_RPCEL_TRP_P-C53,	NTSA0016	s/c	s/c	Non OP	-25°C	55°C	-30 °C	60 °C	LTS00051	Reference Foot
0	RPC PIU TRPP	1410/10010	3/0	0,0	Operation	-20°C	55°C	-25°C	60°C	L1000001	Transferred Tool
PIU	TCS052 RPCEL TRP R-C53	NTSA0142	s/c	s/c	Non OP	-25°C	55°C	-30 °C	60 °C	LTS00052	Reference Foot
			0.0	0.0	Operation	-20°C	55°C	-25°C	60°C	2.00002	. 10.0.0.1001

Table 2.5-1: Overview of RPC related Thermistors & Temperature Limits.

The MIP Thermistor #2 is associated with the parameter NRPA4370. This splits up in the detailed parameters NRPD4370 for the MSB and NRPD4378 for the LSB.

^{*} Neither on RPC-ICA side nor on ESOC side consistent information for TCS055_ICA_STP-C86 / NTSA0084 does exist. It might be possible that this entry is still an relict from the EM Database.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 145

2.5.1.2 Thermal Design Description

IES:

The thermal design of the IES is based on a totally passive thermal maintenance approach. Since the operating temperature range of the instrument is so broad, it presents no special thermal problem. The sensor is covered with thermal blankets except for the entrance aperture. Conduction through the mechanical interface to the spacecraft provides a large thermal capacitance to the sensor's design. We do not require any radiator surfaces since the instrument draws so little power and can sink heat into the structure of the spacecraft or dissipate through the exposed aperture. A small survival heater (PL-LCL-40) of approximately 0.5 W is sufficient to protect the instrument during periods of dormancy. This heater is switched on when the temperature gets down -10°C, well before it gets near the cold limit. However, the temperature shall not get too high, so the heater will turn off when the temperature warms up to -5 C.

Remark: The project was confused by this behaviour because they thought IES tries to control the temperature within the very narrow 5 deg band, which might cause many on/off cycles. But that is not the intention of this implementation. Finally there was an agreement with all concerned (including Paolo Ferri) that IES is allowed to keep this scheme.

The second part, the main electronics box, is in thermal contact with the+Z deck and carries 2 TRP thermistors (TCS053_IES, TCS054_IES) on the back surface. The box also has a 2.7 W heater (PL-LCL-18) on the surface as well as 2 thermostats that control the heaters. The thermostats turn on at 0 C. This arrangement is supposed to take care of maintaining the temperature of the electronics box.

ICA:

ICA is an individually controlled instrument. ICA is covered by electrically conducting MLI except for the aperture opening and a radiator surface to space. ICA is thermally de-coupled from S/C by using 10 mm high fibreglass isolators attache to the four mounting feets. A non-operatinal heater of 2.7 W is used to keep the instrument above the non-operational temperature limit when ever it is switched off.

LAP:



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 146

Tests were used to verify that all electronics and mechanical parts are within their specified temperature ranges. Temperature ranges for the LAP units are specified in section 2.5.1.

MIP:

The MIP sensor is thermally and electrically insulated from the boom. Due to the weak power dissipated by the sensor electronics, the thermal exchange is always from the spacecraft to the sensor. MIP requires one spacecraft powered thermistor to measure the sensor temperature when PIU is off, and one experiment powered thermistor working when PIU is on. To simplify and to save mass and cables, no redundant thermistor is required.

MAG:

The MAG sensors are located on the MAG/LAP boom in the anti-comet direction (lower boom). For better thermal conductivity the baseplate is made of CFC in order to cope with the internal 50 mW power dissipation. The calibrated temperature range is –160 to +120 °C using extrapolation and flight calibration techniques.

The sensor assembly is made of MACOR with little mass of copper and sensor core magnetic material and a cover made of LEXAN. The heat capacity can be determined by the mass of the sensor structure (12 g) and its specific heat of 1.47 J/gK and the cover (10 g) with a specific heat of 1.17 J/gK. The power dissipation in the sensor is 50 mW each with small variations. The thermal design of the sensors is dominated by conductive heat loss through the 3 feet (total area is 0.6 cm²) and a factor 20 higher heat loss through the harness consisting of 16 wires (1.2 mm² total, thermal conductivity 380 W/mK, copper), and by the radiative and conductive heat loss to the CFC mounting bracket. An experiment powered thermistor (PT 1000) is built into each sensor with a range from -180 °C to +120 °C. Due to the very small size, the MLI for the RPC-5.1 & RPC-5.2 sensors are provided by the s/c. The harness along the outer boom and the sensor feet (bottom, bracket) is covered by the S/C provided boom MLI (assuming an α =0.41 and ϵ =0.5). The maximum and minimum solar radiation input onto the sensors can be estimated to be: 2 W at 0.9 AU and only 0.06 W at 5.2 AU each. The heat capacity for each sensor is 29.3 J/K. The total protruding surface (without bottom) of each sensor is 61cm² MLI.



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

: 147

RPC-0:

The RPC Main Electronics Box, being conductively and radiatively coupled to the spacecraft structure, is collectively controlled.

2.5.1.3 Thermal Control Category

Experiment Unit	Category
RPC-0 (Complete Box)	Collectively Controlled
RPC-1.1 (IES)	Collectively Controlled
RPC-2.1 (ICA)	Individually Controlled
RPC-3.1 (LAP)	Individually Controlled
RPC-3.2 (LAP)	Individually Controlled
RPC-3.3 (LAP Bracket)	Individually Controlled
RPC-3.4 (LAP Bracket)	Individually Controlled
RPC-4.1 (MIP)	Individually Controlled
RPC-5.1 (MAG)	Individually Controlled
RPC-5.2 (MAG)	Individually Controlled

Table 2.5-2: Thermal Control Category



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : **October 06, 2011**

Page : 148

2.5.2 Thermal Interfaces

2.5.2.1 Conductive Interface

IES:

IES has a thermally conductive interface to the S/C through the mounting feet. The conductive interface of the harness is 18 AWG 26 wires of 1.8 m.

ICA:

ICA has 10 mm high fibreglass insulators between S/C platform and the mounting feet to keep the conductive coupling to a minimum.

The conductive interface of the harness is 18 wires of 8.6 mm² cross-section including shielding.

LAP:

Each LAP sensor has a thermally conducting interface to the top of the boom through the sensor mounting feet.

The conductive interface of the harness is 1 Triax cable of 1.1 mm² for the probe and 10 mm² for the stub.

MIP:

The conductive I/F of the MIP RPC-4.1 sensor is 7 wires of 0.14 mm² cross-section each. The bracket is thermally and electrically isolated from the boom.

MAG:

The conductive interface of each MAG sensor is 16 wires of cross-section 1.2 mm² each sensor. The interface to the boom is conductive through the CFC base plate.

RPC-0:

The conductive interface of the RPC-0 box is as follows:

- Five mounting feet, total area 21.6 cm². The foot thickness is 4mm.
- 52 wires interfacing to the spacecraft via AWG 28.

Conduction through the box feet are less than 0.5 Wcm⁻². The mating faces of the feet is not anodised.

2.5.2.2 Radiative Interface

IES:



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 149

The bottom face of the IES box faces the S/C platform. This face of the box is surface treated with optical black DOW 9 on magnesium. The IES aperture does not directly face any S/C platform surfaces. The remainder of the IES instrument is covered with MLI to reduce radiative coupling.

See Table 2.3-15 to Table 2.5-22.

ICA:

The radiator on the ICA cylinder is facing away from the S/C (towards space) and will thus not provide any radiative coupling

See Table 2.5-23 to Table 2.5-30.

LAP:

See Table 2.5-31 to Table 2.5-36.

MIP:

See Table 2.5-37 to Table 2.5-42.

MAG:

See Table 2.5-43 to Table 2.5-49.

RPC-0:

The RPC-0 box is black anodised Aluminium with an area of 2200 cm². See Table 2.5-10 to Table 2.5-14.



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 150

2.5.2.3 Heaters

IES: 0.5 W non-operation S/C powered on the

TopHat,

2.7 W on the electronics box s/c powered (operation or non-operational) to maintain

TRP.

PAY429_IES_1

<u>ICA:</u> 2.7 W s/c powered non-operational heater.

PAY430_ICA_1.

MIP, LAP, MAG: No heaters.



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Date

Page : 151

2.5.2.4 Coatings and Finishes

IES:

The housing exterior surface finish is a gold plate over magnesium. This is a low emissivity finish (0.11). In addition, multilayer insulation blankets cover all exposed surfaces of IES exclusive of aperture clear field of view. The exposed aperture is finished with high emissivity ($\varepsilon = 0.73$) Ebanol C black.

ICA:

ICA surface	Area [m ²]	Coating
Bottom of the	0.023	Electrically conducting MLI
electronics box, facing		
the s/c platform		
Elevation analysers	0.018	Dag 213.
+x cylinder end	0.011	PCB-Z
-		
All other ICA surfaces	0.11	Electrically conducting MLI

Table 2.5-3: ICA Coating

LAP:

The LAP spheres and stubs have a TiN surface with a small exposed area of Vespel for electrical insulation. The foot (bracket for sensor interface to boom) is made of Al with Alodine coating. The equilibrium temperature at Earth orbit is expected to be below 129 C.

MIP:

CRFP boom for sensor and lower antenna stub, sandblasted Al for antenna tips.

MAG:

Lexan housing with thermal blankets. The baseplate is made of CFRP.

RPC-0:

The RPC-0 surface finish is Black-Anodised Aluminium.



Rosetta Refere Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 152

2.5.2.5 Thermal Interface Control Drawing

IES: ref. Figure 2.5-1

ICA: ref.Figure 2.5-3.

LAP: ref.Figure 2.5-4.

MIP: ref. Figure 2.5-5.

MAG: ref. Figure 2.5-6.

RPC-0: ref. MICD Figure 2.1-2.



Rosetta

Reference : RO-RPC-UM | Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 2.5-1: Thermal Interface Control Drawing for IES



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 2.5-2: IES sensor (at CSG Kourou) with labeled Thermistors.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 2.5-3: Thermal Interface Control Drawing for ICA
The ICA Thermistor PAY430_ICA (NRPAT002) is located at the indicated TRP.



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 2.5-4: Thermal Interface Control Drawing for LAP



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 157




Figure 2.5-5: Thermal Interface Control Drawing for MIP

The two thermistors are shown at the left (NRPAT003, S/C-powered) and at the right side (NRPD4370 /MSB, NRPD4378 / LSB, RPC-powered) in the middle of R1 and R2.



Reference : RO-RPC-UM Issue : 2 Rev

ssue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Date : Octo




Figure 2.5-6: Thermal Interface Control Drawing for MAG



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 159

The MAG Thermistors are located in the middle of the lower part of front side of each sensor. It can be seen below the "M" of "FM-MAG" in the following picture. There is one thermistor for the OB (NRPA5320) and one for the IB (NRPA5330) sensor.




Figure 2.5-7: MAG Sensor with Thermistor (located at the bottom of the front side behind the "M" of "-MAG1")



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

: 160

2.5.3 Temperatures and Thermal Control Budget

2.5.3.1 Temperatures Ranges

The RPC Temperatures Ranges are listed in Table 2.5-4 (temperatures are referred to the TRP at the interface to the S/C).

Experiment Unit			Non-operating Temperature		Switch-on Temperature	
	Min	max	Min	Max	min	max
RPC-0	-30 °C	65 °C	-40 °C	65 °C	-30 °C	55 °C
RPC-1.1	-30 °C	60 °C	-40 °C	70 °C	-25 °C	55 °C
RPC-2.1	-30 °C	55 °C	-45 °C	60 °C	-30 °C	50 °C
RPC-3.1	-190 °C	250 °C	-190 °C	250 °C	-190 °C	250 °C
RPC-3.2	-190 °C	250 °C	-190 °C	250 °C	-190 °C	250 °C
RPC-4.1	-130 °C	100 °C	-160 °C	100 °C	-160 °C	100 °C
RPC-5.1	-160 °C	120 °C	-180 °C	150 °C	-150 °C	100 °C
RPC-5.2	-160 °C	120 °C	-180 °C	15 °C	-150 °C	100 °C

Table 2.5-4: TRP temperature range, space environment

2.5.3.2 Heater Power Requirements

Experiment Unit	Power (W)
IES	0.5+2.7*
ICA	2.7

Table 2.5-5: RPC Heater Power Requirements

^{*}S/C also provided a second heater to ensure IES temperature range.



Rosetta Reference Issue Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 161

2.5.3.3 Heat Exchange Budget

Phase 1 is at 0.9 AU, Phase 2 is at 5.3 AU; Mode 1 is ON, Mode 2 is OFF.

	1,		• • • •	-			1						
Experiment Unit						Mission Phase 2 Heat Exchange [W]							
	Mode	Mode 1		Mode 1 Mo		Mode 2 Mode 3		Mode 1		Mode 2		Mode 3	
	cond	rad	Cond	rad	cond	Rad	cond	rad	cond	rad	cond	rad	
RPC-0	7.3**	0	0	0			7.3**	0	0	0			
RPC-1.1(***)													
RPC-2.1 (*)	2.46	0.03	-0.55	-0.01			1.86	0.02	-1.34	-0.02			
RPC-3.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
RPC-3.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
RPC-4.1	0.05	TBD	0.05	TBD			0.1	TBD	0.15	TBD			
RPC-5.1	0.05	2	0	2			0.05	0.05	0	0.05			
RPC-5.2	0.05	2	0	2			0.05	0.05	0	0.05			

- (*) Mission Phase 1: ICA in full sun and TRP at +50°C Mission Phase 2: ICA in shadow and TRP at 30°C
- (**) Long term average operating heat exchange.

 Peak dissipation of 7.3 W corresponds to highest power mode for RPC

Table 2.5-6: Heat Exchange



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 162

Temperature Monitoring 2.5.3.4

Experiment Unit	S/C Powered Thermistors	Temperature Range	Location	
ICA	1	-50 to 90°C	RPC-2.1	
IES	1	-50 to 90°C	RPC-1.1	
LAP	0	N/A	RPC-3.1	
LAP	0	N/A	RPC-3.2	
MIP	1	-50+90°C	RPC-4.1	
MAG	0	N/A	RPC-5.1	
MAG	0	N/A	RPC-5.2	
PIU	0	TBD	RPC-4.1	

Table 2.5-7: Temperature Sensors (s/c powered thermistors)

Experiment Unit	Experiment Powered Thermistors	Temperature Range	Location
ICA	2	-50 to 70°C	RPC-2.1
IES	3	-50 to 90°C	RPC-1.1
LAP	0	N/A	RPC-3.1
LAP	0	N/A	RPC-3.2
MIP	1	-50 to +90°C	RPC-4.1
MAG	1	-150 to +150°C	RPC-5.1
MAG	1	-150 to +150°C	RPC-5.2
PIU	1	TBD	RPC-6.0

Table 2.5-8: Temperature Sensors (RPC internal sensors)



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

2.5.4 Mathematical Model

2.5.4.1 Thermal Mathematical Model

Experiment Unit	No. Of Nodes in Design TMM	No. Of Nodes in InterfaceTMM
RPC-0 (PIU)	1	1
RPC-1.1 (IES)	5	5
RPC-2.1 (ICA)	17	10
RPC-3.1 (LAP)	3	3
RPC-3.2 (LAP)	3	3
RPC-3.3 (LAP Bracket)	N/A	N/A
RPC-3.4 (LAP Bracket)	N/A	N/A
RPC-4.1 (MIP)	7	6
RPC-5.1 (MAG)	3	1
RPC-5.2 (MAG)	3	1

Table 2.5-9: TMM Nodes

2.5.4.2 Interface Thermal Mathematical Models

2.5.4.2.1 PIU: RPC-0

(ref. RPC_8 in RD-GEN-31)

Node (-)	Name (-)	Material (-)	Thermal Finish (-)	α _s (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{\rm s}^{\rm s}$ (-)	ε _h (-)		$ ho_{ m h}^{ m s}$ (-)
50740	Electronic	Al alloy 6061	ban colinal 3100	_	-	_	0.85	0.15	_

Table 2.5-10: PIU BOL / EOL Surface Properties

Node	Name	Material	Α	МСр	Non-Op.	TRP
(-)	(-)	(-)	(m²)	(J/K)	Heater	location
50740	Electronic	Al alloy 6061	0.220	2453.	HT	TRP

Table 2.5-11: PIU Node Properties



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 164

(-)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C/h)	(°C)
50740	-20 / +55	-30 / +60	-	-30 / +50

Table 2.5-12: PIU TRP Design Temperature Ranges

		BOL		EOL	
Mode (-)	Node (-)	Op. QI (W)	Non-Op. QR (W)	Op. QI (W)	Non-Op. QR (W)
min/max	50740	2.3 / 9.4 (*)	S/C	2.3/9.4 (*)	S/C
1 AU w. c.	50740	-	-	5.	-

R (50740) = S/C Ω

(*) typically 7.3 W

Table 2.5-13: PIU Power Dissipations

Type	Number	Ac	Node	Conductive I/F Node
(-)	(-)	(cm ²)	(-)	(-)
5 feet - 5*M4	5	5 * 3.36	50740	60740

Table 2.5-14: PIU Interface Contact Conductances




Figure 2.5-8: PIU Electronic Thermal Sketch



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date : Octo Page : 165

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

2.5.4.2.2 IES: RPC-1.1

(ref. RPC 2 in RD-GEN-31)

<u> </u>		/							
Node (-)	Name (-)	Material (-)	Thermal Finish (-)	α _s (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m s}$ (-)	€ _h (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m d}$ (-)	ρ ^s (-)
50620	foot	Mg alloy ZK80A	Au plated	0.23	0.77	-	0.03	0.97	-
50623	aperture	Mg alloy ZK80A	ebanol C black	0.97	0.03	-	0.73	0.27	-
50625	MLI DPU	MLI	vka (*	0.41	0.59	-	0.72	0.28	-
50625	MLI ion	MLI	vka (*	0.41	0.59	-	0.72	0.28	ŀ
50625	MLI electron	MLI	vka (*	0.41	0.59	-	0.72	0.28	-
50625	MLI top	MLI	vka (*	0.41	0.59	-	0.72	0.28	-

(*2 2 mils VDA kapton ITO

Table 2.5-15: IES BOL Surface Properties

Node (-)	Name (-)	Material (-)	Thermal Finish (-)	α _s (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m s}$ (-)	ε _h (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m s}$ (-)
50620	foot	Mg alloy ZK80A	Au plated	0.23	0.77	_	0.03	0.97	_
50623	apertureMLI	Mg alloy ZK80A	ebanol C black	0.97	0.03	-	0.73	0.27	_
50625		MLI	vka (*	0.55	0.45	-	0.72	0.28	_
50625	MLI ion	MLI	vka (*	0.55	0.45	-	0.72	0.28	_
ほんらつち	MLI electron	MLI	vka (*	0.55	0.45	-	0.72	0.28	-
150625	MLI top	MLI	vka (*	0.55	0.45	-	0.72	0.28	-

(*2 2 mils VDA kapton ITO

Table 2.5-16: IES EOL Surface Properties

Node	Name	Material	A	mСр	Non-Op.	TRP
(-)	(-)	(-)	(m²)	(J/K)	Heater	location
50620	foot	Mg alloy ZK80A	0.0168	45.	_	TRP
50621	DPU	Mg alloy ZK80A	0.0361	750.	HT	_
50622	ion	Mg alloy ZK80A	0.0254	130.	_	_
50623	aperture	Mg alloy ZK80A	0.0053	30.	_	_
50624	electron	Mg alloy ZK80A	0.0164	130.	HT	_
50625	MLI	kapton	0.0779	40.	_	_

Table 2.5-17: IES Node Properties

Node	Op.	Non-Op.	Op. Stab.	Switch-On
(-)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C/h)	(°C)
50620	-20 / +50	-30 / +60	-	-20 / +50

Table 2.5-18: IES TRP Design Temperature Ranges



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 166

		BOL / EOL	
Mode (-)	Node (-)	Op. QI (W)	Non-Op. QR (W)
science	50621 50624	1.62 0.23	S/C 0.5 (max)

R (50620)= S/C Ω

Table 2.5-19: IES Power Dissipations

Node i	Node j	GL
(-)	(-)	(W/K)
50620	50621	2.30
50621	50622	0.48
50622	50623	0.17
50623	50624	0.17

Table 2.5-20: IES Internal Conductive Couplings

Node i	Node j	GR
(-)	(-)	(m^2)
50621	50625	0.0011
50622	50625	0.0008
50624	50625	0.0005

NOTE: coupling between 50620 -Zu face and S/C has to be calculated by the S/C with the optical properties taken from Table 2.3.4.2.2-1.1

Table 2.5-21: IES Internal Radiative Couplings

Туре	Number	Ac	Node	Conductive I/F Node
(-)	(-)	(cm ²)	(-)	(-)
4 feet - 4*M5	4	4 * 3.1	50620	71066

Table 2.5-22: IES Interface Contact Conductances



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 2.5-9: IES Thermal Sketch



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

: 168

2.5.4.2.3 ICA: RPC-2.1

Node (-)	Name (-)	Material (-)	Thermal Finish	αs (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m s}$ (-)	€h (-)	ρ _h ^d (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m s}$ (-)
50600	Sensor structure	Al 6062	Dag213	0.89	0.11	-	0.91	0.09	-
50601	Elevation analysers	AI 6062	misc	0.35	0.65	-	0.77	0.23	-
50606	Sensor MLI	MLI	bka	0.85	0.15	-	0.81	0.19	-
50607	MLI Electronics box	MLI	bka	0.85	0.15	-	0.81	0.19	-
50608	Radiator	Al 6062	PCB-Z	0.23	0.77	-	0.80	0.20	-

Table 2.5-23: ICA BOL Surface Properties

Node (-)	Name (-)	Material (-)	Thermal Finish	α _s (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m s}$ (-)	€h (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m s}$ (-)
50600	Sensor structure	Al 6062	Dag 213	0.89	0.11	-	0.91	0.09	-
50601	Elevation analysers	AI 6062	misc	0.35	0.65	-	0.77	0.23	-
50606	Sensor MLI	MLI	bka	0.79	0.21	-	0.81	0.19	-
50607	MLI Electronics box	MLI	bka	0.79	0.21	-	0.81	0.19	_
50608	Radiator	AI 6062	PCB-Z	0.31	0.69	-	0.80	0.20	-

Table 2.5-24: ICA EOL Surface Properties

Node	Name	Material	Α	mCp	Non-Op.	TRP
(-)	(-)	(-)	(m²)	(J/K)	Heater	Location
50600	Sensor structure	Al 6062	0.00259	61.4	-	-
50601	Elevation analysers	Al 6062	0.002	8.4	-	-
50602	Sensor housing	Al 6062	-	913	HT	-
50603	Sensor electronics	Misc.	-	420.8	-	-
50604	DPU electronics	Misc.	-	310	-	-
50605	DPU electronics box	Al 6062	-	320.4	-	TRP
50606	Sensor MLI	MLI	0.0216	97.5	-	-
50607	MLI DPU Electronics box	MLI	0.0075	45.8	-	-
50608	Radiator	Al 6062	-	49.8	-	_
50609	Ring	AI 6062	-	954	-	-

Table 2.5-25: ICA Node Properties

Node	Op.	Non-Op.	Op. Stab.	Switch-On
(-)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C/h)	(°C)
50605	-30 / +55	-45 / +60	-	-30 / +50

Table 2.5-26: ICA TRP Design Temperature Ranges



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date : Octol Page : 169

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

		BOL / EOL		
Mode (-)	Node (-)	Op. QI (W)	Non-Op. QR (W)	
min/max	50603	2.2	-	
	50604	2.01	-	
	50605	-	S/C	

Table 2.5-27: ICA Power Dissipations

Node i	Node j	GL
(-)	(-)	(W/K)
50600	50601	0.054
50600	50608	0.20
50602	50609	0.20
50602 50602	50603 50605	0.287
50602	50605	1.69
50602	50608	0.00755
50605	50604	0.55
50605	50607	0.20
		0.00315

Table 2.5-28: ICA Internal Conductive Couplings

Node I	Node j	GŖ
(-)	(-)	(m ²)
50600	50601	1.00e-10/5.67e-8
50601	50608	1.16e-10/5.67e-8
50602	50609	2.48e-10/5.67e-8
50602	50603	8.67e-10/5.67e-8
50602	50605	7.17e-10/5.67e-8
50600	50609	0.80e-12/5.67e-8
50605	50604	38.30e-10/5.67e-8
50606	50607	1.24e-10/5.67e-8

Table 2.5-29: ICA Internal Radiative Couplings



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

: **2** Issue Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Node i	Node j	GL
(-)	(-)	(W/K)
50605	S/C	0.058

Table 2.5-30: ICA Interface Coductive Couplings




Figure 2.5-10: ICA Main Dimensions



Reference: RO-RPC-UM
Issue: 2 Rev.: 18
Date: October 06, 2011




Figure 2.5-11: ICA Thermal Nodes



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

: 172

2.5.4.2.4 LAP: RPC-3.1 and RPC-3.2

(ref. RPC_3 & 4 in RD-GEN-31)

Node (-)	Name (-)	Material (-)	Thermal Finish (-)	α _s (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{\rm s}^{\rm s}$ (-)	ε _h (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m s}$ (-)
50640	LAP1 probe	Ti alloy	Ti Nitride	0.46	0.54	-	0.13	0.87	-
50641	LAP1 stub	Ti alloy	Ti Nitride	0.46	0.54	_	0.13	0.87	-
50642	LAP1 foot	Al alloy	Alodine	TBD	TBD	_	TBD	TBD	-
50660	LAP2 probe	Ti alloy	Ti Nitride	0.46	0.54	_	0.13	0.87	_
50661	LAP2 stub	Ti alloy	Ti Nitride	0.46	0.54	_	0.13	0.87	-
50662	LAP2 foot	Al alloy	Alodine	TBD	TBD	-	TBD	TBD	_

Table 2.5-31: LAP BOL / EOL Surface Properties

Node (-)	Name (-)	Material (-)	A (m²)	mCp (J/K)	Non-Op. Heater	TRP location	Op. Heater
50640	LAP1 probe	Ti alloy	7.9e-3	21.	-	_	-
50641	LAP1 stub	Ti alloy	3.4e-3	11.	-	_	-
50642	LAP1 foot	Al alloy	5.2e-3	41.	-	-	-
50660	LAP2 probe	Ti alloy	7.9e-3	21.	-	-	-
50661	LAP2 stub	Ti alloy	3.4e-3	11.	-	_	-
50662	LAP2 foot	Al alloy	5.2e-3	41.	-	_	-

Table 2.5-32: LAP Node Properties

Unit (-)	Node (-)	Op. (°C)	Non-Op. (°C)	Op. Stab. (°C/h)	Switch-On (°C)
LAP1	50640	-190 / +250	-190 / +250	-	-170 / +250
LAP2	50660	-190 / +250	-190 / +250	-	- -170 / +250

Table 2.5-33: LAP TRP Design Temperature Ranges



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : **2** Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

			BOL / EOL	
Unit (-)	Mode (-)	Node (-)	Op. QI (W)	Non-Op. QR (W)
LAP1	no power	50640	0. / 0.	no heater
LAP2	no power	50660	0. / 0.	no heater

Table 2.5-34: LAP Power Dissipations

Unit (-)	Node I (-)	Node j (-)	GL (W/K)
LAP1	50641	50642	0.1
LAP2	50661	50662	0.1

Table 2.5-35: LAP Internal Conductive Couplings

Unit (-)	Type (-)	Number (-)	C (W/K)	Node (-)	Conductive I/F Node (-)
LAP1	flat - 4*M3	1	0.24	50642	S/C (S/C boom)
LAP2	flat - 4*M3	1	0.24	50662	S/C (S/C boom)

Table 2.5-36: LAP Interface Contact Conductances




Figure 2.5-12: LAP1 and LAP2 Thermal Sketch



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Page Page

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

: 174

2.5.4.2.5 MIP: RPC-4.1

Node (-)	Name (-)	Material (-)	Thermal Finish	α _s (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m s}$ (-)	€h (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m s}$ (-)
50720	receiving electrode	Al alloy	bead blasted	0.50	0.50	-	0.20	0.80	-
50721	receiving insulated section	peek	as is	0.64	0.36	-	0.90	0.10	-
50722	transmitting electrode	Al alloy	bead blasted	0.50	0.50	-	0.20	0.80	-
50723	transmitted insulated	peek	as is	0.64	0.36	-	0.90	0.10	-
50724	section bar middle section	CFRP	as is	0.80	0.20	-	0.80	0.20	-

Table 2.5-37: MIP BOL / EOL Surface Properties

Node	Name	Material	A (*	mCp (**	Non-Op.	
(-)	(-)	(-)	(m²)	(J/K)	Heater	location
50720	receiving electrode	Al alloy	4.78e-3	5.5	-	-
50721	receiving insulated section	peek	2.01e-3	4.5	-	TRP (**
50722	transmitting electrode	Al alloy	4.78e-3	5.5	-	-
50723	transmitted insulated section	peek	2.01e-3	4.5	-	-
50724	bar middle section	CFRP	7.50e-2	66.6	-	-

^{*}Values given for both symmetrical parts
**Monitoring thermistor

Table 2.5-38: MIP Node Properties

Node	Op.	Non-Op.	Op. Stab.	Switch-On
(-)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C/h)	(°C)
50721	-130 / +100	-160 / +100	-	-160 / +100

Table 2.5-39: MIP TRP Design Temperature Ranges

Mode	Node	Op. QI	Non-Op. QR
(-)	(-)	(W)	(W)
ON	50721	0.1 / 0.1	-

BOL / EOL

Table 2.5-40: MIP Power Dissipations



Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 175

Node I	Node j	GL
(-)	(-)	(W/K)
50720	50721	4.6e-3
50721	50724	5.0e-3
50722	50723	4.5e-3
50723	50724	5.0e-3
50724	50725	0.06

Table 2.5-41: MIP Internal Conductive Couplings

Type	Number	С	Node	Conductive I/F Node
(-)	(-)	(W/K)	(-)	(-)
bracket 1	1	0.15	50725	30108 (TBC)
backet 2	1	0.15	50725	30109 (TBC)
cables	1	1.05e-3 (*	50724	50740 (PIU)

(* Cu cross section is 1.64 mm², estimated effective length 0.50 m Table 2.5-42: MIP Interface Contact Conductances




Figure 2.5-13: MIP Sensor Thermal Sketch



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date Page

Reference : RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 176

2.5.4.2.6 MAG: RPC-5.1 and RPC-5.2

(ref. RPC_5 & 6 in RD-GEN-31)

Node (-)	Name (-)	Material (-)	Thermal Finish (-)	α _s (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m s}$ (-)	€h (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m s}$ (-)
50701	MAG-OB MLI	MLI 2mils	vka	0.42	0.53	_	0.65	0.35	-
50704	MAG-OB inner cube	Boronnitride	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
50705	MAG-OB baseplate	CFRP	as is	-	-	=	0.80	0.20	-
50681	MAG-IB MLI	MLI 2mils	vka	0.42	0.53	_	0.65	0.35	-
50684	MAG-IB inner cube	Boronnitride	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
50685	MAG-IB baseplate	CFRP	as is	_	_	_	0.80	0.20	-

Table 2.5-43: MAG BOL Surface Properties

Node (-)	Name (-)	Material (-)	Thermal Finish	α _s (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m s}^{ m s}$ (-)	ε _h (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m d}$ (-)	$ ho_{ m h}^{ m s}$ (-)
50701	MAG-OB MLI	MLI 2mils	Vka	0.62	0.38	-	0.65	0.35	_
50704	MAG-OB inner cube	Boronnitride	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50705	MAG-OB baseplate	CFRP	as is	_	-	_	0.80	0.20	-
50681	MAG-IB MLI	MLI 2mils	vka	0.62	0.38	_	0.65	0.35	-
50684	MAG-IB inner cube	Boronnitride	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
50685	MAG-IB baseplate	CFRP	as is	_	-	-	0.80	0.20	-

Table 2.5-44: MAG EOL Surface Properties

Node	Name	Material	Α	mСр	Non-Op.	TRP
(-)	(-)	(-)	(m²)	(J/K)	Heater	location
50701	MAG-OB MLI	MLI 2mils	6.125e-3	0.	_	-
50704	MAG-OB inner cube	Boronnitride	_	29.0	-	TH
50705	MAG-OB baseplate	CFRP	1.225e-3	2.3	_	-
50004		M. 1.0 ''	0.405.0			
50681	MAG-IB MLI	MLI 2mils	6.125e-3	0.	-	-
50684	MAG-IB inner cube	Boronnitride	-	29.0	_	TH
50685	MAG-IB baseplate	CFRP	1.225e-3	2.3	-	-

Table 2.5-45: MAG Node Properties



Rosetta RPC-UserManual Date : Octol

Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Unit (-)	Node (-)	Op. (°C)	Non-Op.	Op. Stab. (°C/h)	Switch-On (°C)
MAG-OB	50704	-80 / +80	-170 / +120	5	-170 / +100
MAG-IB	50684	-80 / +80	-170 / +120	5	- -170 / +100

Table 2.5-46: MAG TRP Design Temperature Ranges

			BOL / EOL	
Unit (-)	Mode (-)	Node (-)	Op. QI (W)	Non-Op. QR (W)
MAG-OB	Deployed	50704	0.081 / 0.081	-
MAG-OB	Stowed	50704	0. / 0.	
MAG-IB MAG-IB	Deployed Stowed	50684 50684	0.081 / 0.081 0. / 0.	

Table 2.5-47: MAG Power Dissipations

Unit	Node I	Node j	GR
(-)	(-)	(-)	(m^2)
MAG-OB	50704	50705	1.608e-3
MAB-IB	50684	50685	1.608e-3

Assuming inner cube side=20 mm Table 2.5-48: MAG Internal Radiative Couplings

Unit	Туре	Number	С	Node	Conductive I/F Node
(-)	(-)	(-)	(W/K)	(-)	(-)
MAG-OB	3 feet - 3*M3	3	0.0162	50704	86003 (S/C boom) (*
MAG-IB	3 feet - 3*M3	3	0.0162	50684	86003 (S/C boom) (*


(*node of the S/C boom

Table 2.5-49: MAG: Interface Contact Conductances



Reference : RO-RPC-UM | Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 | Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 178



Note: The nodes 50701/50705 belong to the MAG OB (outboard) sensor), whilst 50681/50685 belong to the MAG IB (inboard) sensor

Figure 2.5-14: MAG-OB and MAG-IB Thermal Sketch



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 1

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 179

3 Experiment Operations

3.1 Operating Principles

3.1.1 RPC

The Rosetta mission presents a number of new challenges with regard to payload operations. Due to the complex on-board data-handling systems required to realise the mission objectives, the cost constraints requiring a large degree of on-board autonomy, and the physical difficulties of operating such a mission in deep space, the on-board operations allow much flexibility to maximise the data return. The particular capability which affects payload planning is that the Rosetta spacecraft does not operate in a deterministic and fully pre-planned manner, rather the data gathering and transmission can be commanded at a late stage or even dynamically in real-time on-board the spacecraft. This is necessary due to the uncertain nature of the comet observation possibilities, and the limited bandwidth for telemetry downlink. The central resource which is available to the experiments is the 'Solid State Mass Memory' (SSMM), which contains all payload data stored on-board for later downlink. In order to maximise use of this resource, the project will allocate space in the SSMM to each payload per mission phase. It is up to the payload team to decide how to fill this volume. In the case of RPC, this is no easy task; there are five sensor units producing science and housekeeping data, plus housekeeping data from the PIU. Moreover, any sensor unit may be powered on or off for power budgeting reasons or telemetry saving. When operating, each sensor unit may be generating data at up to six different data rates. It therefore becomes clear that RPC can not be operated as a 'classical' instrument with a limited set of modes. In fact, the possible number of modes for RPC is many thousands, and the associated data rates and power requirements are commensurately large. It is also clear, that given the capabilities of the Rosetta spacecraft, this flexibility can be used to optimise the scientific return from RPC.

There are of course a large number of constraints placed on payload operations, and these include specific constraints for RPC:



Reference: RO-RPC-UM

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 180

Power availability
 RPC can operate from 2 to 16 W, and RPC operations will at times be constrained to an allowed maximum of 11 W.

 For details on the power consumption refer to section 2.4.3.

Spacecraft pointing

The orientation of s/c with respect to the sun due to the s/c shadow covering the instruments is only relevant for LAP and MIP (refer to section 3.3). They require pointing parallel to the plasma flow, plus the IES and the ICA sensors which require to have the plasma flow in their field of view, with the added requirement that IES likes to view the solar wind direction.

- On-board operations constraints, such as the availability of OBCP slots (which are dynamic programs run on the spacecraft system which control the operation of the payload – the possibility to execute these is a limited resource);
- Space available in the SSMM.
- Additionally for the magnetometer (MAG) experiment, the operation of other payload, subsystems (particularly the reaction wheels) and the Lander must be taken into account, since these are a significant cause of magnetic interference.

For the fly-by phases of the mission (Mars and Asteroids), the mission timeline will be rather pre-determined and well suited to advance planning. This is also the case for the Earth swing-by, which can be well used by RPC for sensor calibration purposes. RPC also anticipates significant possibilities to take data in the solar wind during the cruise, where meaningful science can be done, and has already requested that the sensors be operated when possible. Although there are times when all the payload must be switched off. it is likely the RPC can be operated during significant parts of the cruise, since the resource hungry imaging instruments will be off. scientificically promising phase during cruise RPC will submit operation requests well in advance offically to ESA to allow an analysis of feasibiltiv. During comet operations, however, the situation will be rather more complex, with all the instruments requesting resources. Moreover, the planning during comet operations will be rather dynamic, with the spacecraft and science operations being tailored to the evolution of the cometary activity, and driven by events and observations. Whilst there will be a baseline plan, it is expected that this will be iterated and modified heavily during this phase of the mission, often on a short timescale.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 181




Figure 3.1-1: RPC Post Launch Support Organisation

3.1.1.1 Operational Concept

The choice of the mode is due to mission phase, available power and telemetry as well as scientific requirements. Appropriate instrument commanding is required. The selection and initiation of the modes and submodes are under the control of the RPC PI-spokesman after consultation with the RPC team.

A more detailed preferred operational concept is described in section 4.2. The sequence of sub-modes during operational phases will be defined during the preparation of the specific phases and depends on power and telemetry available. In any case the preferred mode is the one, where all units are powered on and operating at a high data rate). Especially in phases, when both the orbiter and the lander magnetometers are required to operate simultaneously, the data rate should me as high as possible.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 182

3.1.1.2 Data Flow

The RPC data will be retrieved from the ESOC DDS system, by a procedure, described in the RD-RPC-11. The data from each instrument are unpacked from the RPC science telemetry by individual procedures for each expereiment team. On a common RPC level, the data consists of housekeeping and science data and possible common data products, i.e. event data. Each instrument team is responsible for the decoding, calibration, validation and archiving of the data from their instrument. The common RPC data products are based on calibrated data either directly provided by the individual instrument teams or produced by s/w provided by them. At the end of the data flow chain data will be stored in PDS/PSA compliant data format. For the RPC archiving guidelines refer to RD-ARC-7 and the individual instrument EAICDs.

3.1.2 Experiments

3.1.2.1 PIU

All electrical, telemetry and telecommand interfaces between the RPC group of instruments and the spacecraft are handled by the PIU. This unit distributes secondary power within the group of instruments, it provides the first level of command decoding and it controls the packaging of data for transmission to the spacecraft.

When PIU is powered the nominal mode shall be to have MAG powered on (one MAG ADC is needed for the PIU HK) as well, but not producing any Science. The PIU HK will be automatically produced at power on. Once spacecraft time update has been received PIU will send an "alive" event and the first packet of housekeeping. MAG should then be powered on and only after the ADC's in MAG have started to send voltage and thermistor values will all of PIU Housekeeping be valid.

In order for any other experiment in the package be powered PIU and MAG must be powered (ref. section 3.1.2.6). Commands to any other experiment in the package are sent to PIU which distributes these to the correct experiment. PIU collects all Housekeeping and Science from the experiments and passes it on to the Spacecraft.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 183

3.1.2.2 IES

The main interface of the IES instrument to the S/C is through the PIU. The PIU provides +5 V, -5 V, +12 V and -12 V power to IES as well as the sole command and telemetry interface. The power supplies are essentially turned on simultaneously with a single command to PIU from the S/C.

Once IES is on, it begins execution from its boot PROM in RESUME-PROM or if commanded, PAUSE-PROM mode; and generates housekeeping packets I, II, III and IV every 32 seconds, synchronized with the AQP. Event messages can be generated asynchronously by IES if non-HK information must be conveyed or an anomaly has been detected. Maintenance operations are allowed during this time, notably the uploading of data and writing to EEPROM if updates are needed.

The boot PROM performs diagnostics checks of PROM, RAM and EEPROM before waiting for 70 seconds and copying code from EEPROM to RAM and then executing the newly copied RAM code. Since the HV commands will be rejected by the boot PROM code, the high-voltage power supplies cannot be turned on during this time.

By default, IES initializes with science data generation off and high supplies off. Typically, during commissioning, stimulation pulsers and science data generation will be commanded on in low-voltage science (LVSCI-EEPROM) mode so that data flow can be checked through all subsystems except for the HV supplies. Diagnostic checks can be performed by commanding IES to low-voltage engineering (LVENG-EEPROM) mode.

In order to use the HV supplies, IES must be commanded to the high-voltage science (HVSCI-EEPROM) mode. This is also the mode that IES acquires its science data. When the HV supplies are brought up, the micro-channel plates (MCP) are immediately capable of collecting electron and ion data. During regular operation (i.e., not during commissioning), it is then possible to start plasma data collection. An acquisition table and the telemetry rate are activated by telecommands (IES-DATA-ACQ-TABLE and IES-COMM-RATE-MODE) to choose the voltage sweep tables for the electrostatic (ESA) and deflection (DEF) analyzers, the number of steps to use in each of the sweep tables, the science integration time, the data packaging that is to take place and the duration of acquisition before the cycle repeats and the science telemetry rates. Because of telemetry rate limitations, the data packaging is used to reduce the amount of data through spatial averaging and data compression.

At a minimum, IES has a table to perform a survey data acquisition which



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 184

sweeps the entire energy and deflection angle range possible for the instrument and collects data across all azimuthal anodes. Note that this data are greatly reduced in volume for telemetry but provides an image of the entire phase space of IES.

To reduce the number of commands stored by the S/C, IES stores scripts in its EEPROM and has the ability to run them by a single telecommand (IES-SEQ-TRIGGER). The scripts consist of a common series of commands for performing stimulation tests, bringing up HV supplies and acquiring science data in each of the three telemetry rates.

3.1.2.3 ICA

When ICA is powered it will enter its default telemetry and data reduction mode but high voltages will not be switched on. Full high voltage operation will require 3 commands.

The basic operation consists of stepping through a number of energy levels (32 or 96) for 16 different elevation angles. For each energy level (held for 202.9 milliseconds) a mass-angle matrix (32x16) is produced by the imaging system. Except for some special modes the data are fed through various integration modules to reduce the amount of data, then converted to an 8-bit floating code and finally compressed by a loss less method. All data are stored in a telemetry FIFO for transmission. Due to the compression the experiment formats will vary in length and will therefore be floating in the telemetry packets.

3.1.2.4 LAP

3.1.2.4.1 General Operation

RPC has an operational concept for all the instruments in the consortium. In principle one command is needed to configure all the instruments inside RPC. The information we provide, when operating the instrument in this way, is what macro to run and in what macro bank in LAP flash memory it can be found (see RD-LAP-5 for details about existing macros). A number of FCPs have been defined for LAP, as shown in detail in the Flight Operations Plan (FOP).

Maintenance is done after the instrument has been turned into safe mode or directly after power on. This includes software uploading (patching), memory dumps, debugging and macro programming. Software uploading and memory dumps use the memory services. Macro programming and some



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 185

debugging are done using normal instrument commands.

During scientific operation (when LAP produces scientific data), LAP always executes a macro. A macro consists of a list of commands telling the instrument what to do. The list usually contains a GOTO command as the last command that jumps back into the list repeating a certain section in a cyclic manner. The instrument has several predefined macros stored in prom memory and flash memory. Each macro is designed to achieve a different scientific objective and also to keep the constraints such as telemetry and power consumption. New macros can be uploaded into the instrument flash memory, currently there is space for about 80 macros in flash memory. The instrument can also upload and run new macros without storing them in flash memory; this mode is intended for use in case of flash memory failure. Two generic macros reside in prom memory and can be used for simple tasks but need to be configured with additional commands to produce useful science; their use is not recommended. Some instrument parameters can be modified during the execution of a macro. What can be modified and not is dependent of the running software version. The prom software version has limited abilities to modify parameters when running a macro. For further details refer to RD-LAP-4.

3.1.2.5 MIP

No special care is required to switch on MIP (See RD-MIP-4). A parameter table (Table 3.1-1) of 6 bytes is required for software initialization and configuration. This table contains:

internal parameters (transmitter selection, transmission level, ...) commands for selection of working modes and telemetry rates.

The size of 6 bytes corresponds to one link-packet between PIU and MIP.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

: 186

	bit7	bit6	Bit5	bit4	bit3	bit2	bit1	bit0
Byte0	Interference frequency n°1							
Byte1	Interference frequency n°2							
Byte2	Interference frequency n°3							
Byte3	Transmission_level Transmitter_odd_sweeps Transmitter_even_sweeps Extremum_threshold							
Byte4	Sweep_mode_bandwidth Survey_mode_bandwidth Ampl_pas Autoloop							
Byte5	Watchdog Science_sequence_number			per	LDL_type	Mode	TM_rate	

Table 3.1-1: MIP Description of the configuration table.

A default table is stored in a MIP PROM. This default table cannot be updated. It will only be used in case of transmission problems between PIU and MIP.

The default table is: 0x00 00 00 45 02 00;

The type of table 'PIU update' or 'MIP default' and its contents are sent back in the CONTROL or TABLE sequence as data. The table 'PIU update' is sent back in the HK packet type II as execution acknowledgement.

3.1.2.6 MAG

As written in section 3.1.2.1 there is a hard constraint to power on MAG (both sensors) as soon as PIU has sent the first housekeeping packet. The two reasons are the following:

MAG provides HK for PIU, LAP, and MIP.

With MAG powered on before the other RPC experiments, there is the possibility to detect any interexperimental interference.

There is only one single command to power RPCMAG on completely with all 7 ADCs starting to convert the two times 3 magnetometer components and the housekeeping channels (voltages and thermistors). Also the sampling rate is fixed to 20 vectors per second. All other handling on the data like packetizing and changing the vector rate is done under control of the PIU, depending on actual downlink capabilities.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 187

3.2 Operating Instructions

3.2.1 Introduction

For routine science operations the RPC instruments will be primarily operated via OBCPs whereas the use of FCPs will be limited to the accomplishment of those tasks which cannot be suitably fulfil via OBCP. This modus operandi aims to minimize miss-commanding and other operator-related errors by relying on the OBCP built-in "intelligence" for checking on instrument status and command parameters validity.

3.2.2 Simultaneous commanding of RPC instruments

Tests on the S/C EQM have demonstrated that PIU can correctly handle simultaneous operation of all the five RPC instruments. More specifically, the test was designed to release individual commands simultaneously to all the RPC units. In practical terms this means that no special care needs to be taken to avoid timing conflicts between commands belonging to different instruments.

3.2.3 RPC Instrument Configuration

A brief description of the start-up state of the RPC instruments is given in this section. As a general rule:

- 1. Subunit will boot up with code from E2PROM as default.
- 2. Maintenance of the subunit will be performed from a state of power off and return to power off

3.2.3.1 Instrument-specific notes

IES

At power on, IES initially runs PROM code. This code is run until AQP's are detected, 70 seconds have passed since power on, no commands have been received and no anomalies were detected. At that point, control is passed to EEPROM as part of the default power on procedure for normal flight operations which should be out of location \$c:0000. This is where the boot prom will automatically jump to without further commanding.

ICA

On power on ICA loads code from PROM to RAM and runs for RAM. E2PROM code is loaded and run using command Boot EEP (ZRP22113/



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 188

ZRP22111).

LAP

On power-on LAP runs from PROM. E2PROM code may be selected using EE Boot command (ZRP23023). The default EEPROM bank is 4. EEPROM/PROM may be turned off and watchdog timers switched on then using DOG PROM command (ZRP23007).

PIU

PIU starts up running from PROM code. It transfers an image of the PROM code to RAM automatically and it is possible to switch to RAM by command. Code from EEPROM may be loaded into RAM by command and then it may be started by using the "Software location: Boot from RAM" command.

3.2.4 Operation with OBCP

A description of the function, prerequisites and other information on the behaviour of the OBCPs, as well as detailed explanation of the invocation parameters, is given in this section.

For detailed description of OBCPs see RD-GEN-5.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Date Page : 189

The following two tables contain the list of RPC OBCPs.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name
PL_OBCP_5_RP.1 RPC POWER ON	KRPR8091
PL_OBCP_5_RP.2 RPC Power OFF	KRPR8092
PL_OBCP_5_RP.3 RPC Mode Control	KRPR8093
PL_OBCP_5_RP.6 RPC Mode Control 2	KRPR8096
PL_OBCP_5_RP.4 RPC LDL Mode	KRPR8094
PL_OBCP_5_RP.7 IES Mode Control	KRPR8097
PL_OBCP_5_RP.8 ICA Mode Control	KRPR8098
PL_OBCP_5_RP.9 LAP Mode Control	KRPR8099
PL_OBCP_5_RP.10 MIP Mode Control	KRPR809A
PL_OBCP_5_RP.11 MAG Mode Control	KRPR809B

Table 3.2-1: List of RPC OBCPs for routine operations.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	
PL_OBCP_5_RP.5 RPC Parameter Monitoring OBCP	KRPR8095	

Table 3.2-2: List of RPC OBCPs for special operations.

The OBCPs "RPC Mode Control" and "RPC Mode Control 2" are used to set and command groups of instruments. "RPC Mode Control" controls IES and ICA whereas "RPC Mode Control 2" controls LAP, MIP and MAG. These OBCPs restricts flexibility of operations because the same OBCP cannot be invoked while it is still running. This means that IES and ICA (the same is true for LAP, MIP and MAG) cannot be controlled independently without paying attention to the commands timing.

To overcome this problem, a set of instrument-specific OBCP was created which guarantee a truly independent control of the instruments. They are:

IES Mode Control



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 190

- ICA Mode Control
- LAP Mode Control
- MIP Mode Control
- MAG Mode Control

The use of "RPC Mode Control" and "RPC Mode Control 2" is **discouraged** in favor of the instrument-specific OBCPs:

3.2.4.1 RPC Power On

This OBCP configures the Spacecraft interfaces and powers on PIU and then the MAG unit for HK generation only.


- From the parameters you can select either nominal or redundant configuration (Nominal being LCL-A, main PSU and main DPIU), and what code (patch address) the PIU should use.
- Selecting RAM uses the code stored in PROM but transferred to RAM.
 The other two options require PAR3 to be defined with a valid patch address.



Rev. : 18

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	Function		Invocation	
	KRPR8091	Power on RPC. Parameters will redundancy configure software location		Ground, M1	L, other OBCP
	Invoked by seq	uence	With parame	ter values	
PL_OBCP_5_RP.1 RPC POWER ON	ARPF801A "RPC ON MAI	(RP-FCP-801) N via OBCP"	PAR1 = NOMINAL PAR2 = EEPROM_PA_RE PAR3 = 14C00 <hex> (Correct at time of writing. Will run ver. 5.12 build 524)</hex>		
	ARPF802A "RPC ON REI		PAR1 = REDUNDANT PAR2 = EEPROM_PA_RE PAR3 = 12000 <hex> (Current at time of writing. Will run ver. 5.9 build 519)</hex>		
	ARPE801A (n "RPC On Main	o procedure defined) OBCP EQM"	PAR1 = NOMINAL PAR2 = EEPROM_PA_RE PAR3 = None		
Parameter Name	RSDB Name (Calibration)	Function	CAL		Actual
PAR1	FSKD1000	Select redundancy cf	fg. NOMIN	٩L	LCLA & Main
		LCL & PIU (DPIU/PSU)	REDUN	DANT	LCLB & Red
PAR2	FSK01250	PIU SW Configuration	RAM		No patch
				M_PATCH	Patch
			EEPRO REBOO	M_PATCH_ T	Patch & reboot
PAR3	FSK01251	PIU E2prom Softwa Patch	Specifie time	d at call-	Specified at call-time

Table 3.2-3: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.1



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 192

3.2.4.2 Power Off

This OBCP turns RPC off.

Notes:

1) This OBCP powers off RPC on both LCL's and takes no parameters.

2) This OBCP will not put instruments in safe mode before executing.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	Function	Inv	ocatio	n		
	KRPR8092	092 Power off RPC Gr			Ground, MTL, other OBCP, DMS SW		
PL_OBCP_5_RP.2 RPC POWER OFF	Invoked by seque		With parameter values				
	ARPF800A (RP-FCP-800) "RPC Power OFF via OBCP"			n/a			
	ARPF806A (RP-FCP-806) "RPC OBCP Pwr-Off"			n/a			
Parameter Name	RSDB Name (Calibration)	Function			CAL	Actual	
n/a	n/a	n/a	•	n/a	n/a		

Table 3.2-4: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.2



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 193

3.2.4.3 IES and ICA Mode Control

This OBCP configures and operates IES and ICA.

- 1) The OBCP will power the experiments on if required.
- 2) This OBCP cannot be re-invoked while it is still running, as it may be the case if you want to set control IES and ICA at two different times. The use of this OBCP is discouraged in favour of the instrument-specific ones.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	Function			Invocation
	KRPR8093	Configure IES	and ICA ex	cperiments	Ground, MTL
	Invoked by sequence With pa			meter values	
	ARPS803A	(RP-SEQ-803)	ModelES	= specified at call	-time
PL_OBCP_5_RP.3	"IES-ICA Mod	e Change"		= specified at call	
RPC Mode Control				n = specified at ca	
TAP C WIOGE CONTION				n = specified at ca	II-time
		(RP-FCP-806)	ModelES	-	
	"RPC OBCP F	Pwr-Off"	ModelCA	-	
				n = 00ff <hex></hex>	
			ICAParan	n = 00ff <hex></hex>	
Parameter Name	RSDB Name	Function		Allowed values	0
ModelES	(Calibration) FSK01260	Select IES Mod		(See below for val	F, SID1, SID2,
Modeled	1 0101200	Gelect ILO Mou	6		ST, SID1, SID2, ST, HV_ON,
					SID2_HV_ON,
					HV_OFF,
				Maintenance, Q	
ModelCA	FSK01261	Select ICA Mod	e		F, SID1, SID2,
				SID3, SI	
					SID1_HV_ON,
				SID2 HV ON,	SID3 HV ON,
				SID4_HV_ON,	SID3_HV_ON, SID5_HV_ON,
				TEST_HV_ON,	HV_OFF,
				Maintenance, Q	uiet
IESParam	FSK01265	Execute IES	Sequence	0xff (Do nothing), 0x05-0xFE.
1040	E01/04000	(see below)	4	0 (()	
ICAParam	FSK01266		perational	0xff (Do nothing)), UXUU-UX2 <i>1</i>
		mode (see belo	w)		

Table 3.2-5: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.3



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 194

3.2.4.4 LAP, MIP and MAG Mode Control

This OBCP configures and operates LAP, MIP and MAG. Notes:

- 1) The OBCP will power the experiment on if required.
- 2) This OBCP cannot be re-invoked while it is still running, as it may be the case if you want to set control LAP, MIG in different times. The use of this OBCP is discouraged in favour of the instrument-specific ones.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	Function			Invocation
	KRPR8096	Configure LAP.	MIP and N	/IAG experiments	Ground, MTL
					,
	Invoked by sequence		With param	eter values	
	ARPS804A	(RP-SEQ-804)		= specified at call-ti	
	"IES-ICA Mode	Change"		specified at call-tir	
PL_OBCP_5_RP.6				= specified at call-t	
RPC Mode Control 2				= specified at call-t	
	ARPF806A	(RP-FCP-806)	ModeLAP	= specified at call-ti	me
	"RPC OBCP PV	`	ModeLAP		
	I KI O OBOI I V	VI-OII	ModeMAG		
				= 00ff <hex></hex>	
			MIPParam	=00ff <hex></hex>	
Parameter Name	RSDB Name	Function		Allowed values	
	(Calibration)			(see below for value	
ModeLAP	FSK01262	Select LAP Mod	le	NoChange, OFF	
				SID3, Maintenanc	
ModeMIP	FSK01263	Select MIP Mod	е	NoChange, OFF	, SID1, SID2,
				SID3, Quiet	
ModeMAG	FSK01264	Select MAG Mo	de	NoChange, OFF	
				SID3, SID4, SID5	
LAPParam	FSK01267	Execute LAP N	lacro (see	0xff (Do nothing)	
		below)		0x0-0xa, Lower ni	
MIPParam	FSK01268		redefined	0xff (Do nothing),	0x00-0x0f
LADOMEE	F01/04000	table (see below		000	
LAPSWEEpromBank	FSK01268	Select LAP EEp	rom Bank	???	
		to boot from		Default = 0x0003	

Table 3.2-6: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.6

REMARK: The TEST-mode for RPCMAG which is mentioned in the mode control diagrams, will never be used in flight.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Date

Page : 195

3.2.4.5 IES Mode Control

The objective of this OBCP is to set the operating mode of the IES sensor. The OBCP takes invocation parameters to set the operation mode of IES.

Notes:

The OBCP will power IES experiment on if required. 1)

2) This OBCP is an instantiation of OBCP 8093 "Mode Control OBCP", for which ICA invocation parameters are fixed to "NoChange" at sequence level. Therefore, only IES related actions will be executed by the OBCP.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	Function		Invocation
	KRPR8097	Configure IES experimer		nt Ground, MTL
PL_OBCP_5_RP.7				
IES Mode Control	Invoked by sequ	ience	With parameter	r values
TES Wode Control	ARPS807A "IES Mode Control"		ModelES = specified at call-time IESParam = specified at call-time	
Parameter Name	RSDB Name (Calibration)	Function		Allowed values (See below for values & meaning)
ModelES	FSK01260	Select IES Mode		NoChange, OFF, SID1, SID2, SID3, TEST, HV_ON, SID1_HV_ON, SID2_HV_ON, Maintenance, Quiet
IESParam	FSK01265	Execute I	ES Sequence v)	0xff (Do nothing), 0x05-0xFE.

Table 3.2-7: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.7



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Date : 400

Page : 196

3.2.4.6 ICA Mode Control

The objective of this OBCP is to set the operating mode of the ICA sensor. The OBCP takes invocation parameters to set the operation mode of ICA.

- 1) The OBCP will power ICA experiment on if required.
- 2) This OBCP is an instantiation of OBCP 8093 "Mode Control OBCP", for which IES invocation parameters are fixed to "NoChange" at sequence level. Therefore, only ICA related actions will be executed by the OBCP.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	Functio	n	Invocation
	KRPR8098	Configu	re ICA	Ground, MTL
		experim	ent	
PL_OBCP_5_RP.8				
ICA Mode Control	Invoked by sequ	ience	With parameter	values
	ARPS808A		ModelCA = spe	ecified at call-time
	"ICA Mode Co	ntrol"	ICAParam = sp	pecified at call-time
Parameter Name	RSDB Name	Function		Allowed values
	(Calibration)			(See below for values & meaning)
ModelCA	FSK01261	Select IC	CA Mode	NoChange, OFF, SID1, SID2,
				SID3, SID4, SID5, TEST,HV_ON,
				SID1_HV_ON, SID2_HV_ON,
				SID3 HV ON, SID4 HV ON,
				SID5_HV_ON, TEST_HV_ON,
				HV_OFF, Maintenance, Quiet
ICAParam	FSK01266	Set I	CA Operational	0xff (Do nothing), 0x00-0x27
		mode (se	ee below)	

Table 3.2-8: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.8



: **2** Issue Rev.

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 197

3.2.4.7 LAP Mode Control

The objective of this OBCP is to set the operating mode of the LAP sensor. The OBCP takes invocation parameters to set the operation mode of LAP.

Notes:

The OBCP will power LAP experiment on if required. 1)

2) This OBCP is an instantiation of OBCP 8096 "Mode Control OBCP 2", for which MIP and MAG invocation parameters are fixed to "NoChange" at sequence level. Therefore, only LAP-related actions will be executed by the OBCP.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	Functio	n	Invocation
	KRPR8099	Configu	re LAP	Ground, MTL
		experim	ent	
PL_OBCP_5_RP.9	Invoked by sequ	ience	With parameter va	alues
LAP Mode Control	ARPS809A		ModeLAP = spe	cified at call-time
	"LAP Mode Co	ntrol"	" LAPParam = specified at call-time	
		LAPSWEEpromE		Bank = specified at call-time -
			default value = 0	0x0003
Parameter Name	RSDB Name	Function		Allowed values
	(Calibration)			(see below for values & meaning)
ModeLAP	FSK01262	Select L	AP Mode	NoChange, OFF, SID1, SID2,
				SID3, Maintenance, Quiet
LAPParam	FSK01267	Execute LAP Macro (see		0xff (Do nothing), Upper nibble
		below)		0x0-0xa, Lower nibble 0x0-0x7
LAPSWEEpromBank	FSK01268	Select LAP EEprom Bank		???
		to boot f	rom	Default = 0x0003

Table 3.2-9: OBCP Details: OBCP 5 RP.9

3.2.4.8 MIP Mode Control

The objective of this OBCP is to set the operating mode of the MIP sensor. The OBCP takes invocation parameters to set the operation mode of MIP.

- 1) The OBCP will power MIP experiment on if required.
- 2) This OBCP is an instantiation of OBCP 8096 "Mode Control OBCP 2", for which LAP and MAG invocation parameters are fixed to "NoChange" at sequence level. Therefore, only MIP-related actions will be executed by the OBCP.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	Function		Invocation	
PL_OBCP_5_RP.10	KRPR809A	Configure	MIP	Ground, MTL	
MIP Mode Control		experiment			



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 198

	Invoked by sequ	ience	With parameter values
	ARPS810A		ModeMIP = specified at call-time
	"MIP Mode Co	ntrol"	MIPParam = specified at call-time
Parameter Name	RSDB Name	Function	Allowed values
	(Calibration)		(see below for values & meaning)
ModeMIP	FSK01263	Select MIP Mode	NoChange, OFF, SID1, SID2,
			SID3, Quiet
MIPParam	FSK01268	Select MIP predefine	ed 0xff (Do nothing), 0x00-0x0f
		table (see below)	,

Table 3.2-10: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.10

3.2.4.9 MAG Mode Control

The objective of this OBCP is to set the operating mode of the MAG sensor. The OBCP takes invocation parameters to set the operation mode of MAG.

- 1) The OBCP will power MAG experiment on if required.
- 2) This OBCP is an instantiation of OBCP 8096 "Mode Control OBCP 2", for which LAP and MIP invocation parameters are fixed to "NoChange" at sequence level. Therefore, only MAG-related actions will be executed by the OBCP.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	Function		Invocation	
	KRPR809B	Configure M/	٩G	Ground, MTL	
		experiment			
PL_OBCP_5_RP.11					
MAG Mode Control			Wi	Vith parameter values	
			ModeMAG = specified at call-time		
	"MAG Mode Control"			·	
Parameter Name	RSDB Name	Function		Allowed values	
	(Calibration)			(see below for values & meaning)	
ModeMAG	FSK01264	Select MAG Mode	<u> </u>	NoChange, OFF, SID1, SID2,	
				SID3, SID4, SID5, Quiet	

Table 3.2-11: OBCP Details: OBCP_5_RP.11

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 199

Meaning of OBCP invocation parameters 3.2.4.10

Each individual instrument is configured using two parameters, ModeType and ExpParam (both 8 bits in length). The default value for both is 0xff which will cause the OBCP to take no action.

ModeType is an enumerated variable which controls the operation of each experiment. The values and meanings are as follows:

Value		Function
Enumerated	Raw	
NoChange	0xff	Do not change the state of the experiment
Off	0x00	Power off experiment
SID1	0x01	Set experiment to SID 1 Telemetry Rate
SID2	0x02	Set experiment to SID 2 Telemetry Rate
SID3	0x03	Set experiment to SID 3 Telemetry Rate
SID4	0x04	Set experiment to SID 4 Telemetry Rate
SID5	0x05	Set experiment to SID 5 Telemetry Rate
TEST	0x06	Set experiment to Test Telemetry Rate
HV_On	0xA0	Power on HV supplies (ICA & IES only)
SID1_HV_On	0xA1	Power on HV supplies, set telemetry rate to SID1 (ICA
		& IES only)
SID2_HV_On	0xA2	Power on HV supplies, set telemetry rate to SID2 (ICA
		& IES only)
SID3_HV_On	0xA3	Power on HV supplies, set telemetry rate to SID3 (ICA
		& IES only)
SID4_HV_On	0xA4	Power on HV supplies, set telemetry rate to SID4 (ICA
		& IES only)
SID5_HV_On	0xA5	Power on HV supplies, set telemetry rate to SID5 (ICA
		& IES only)
TEST_HV_On	0xA6	Power on HV supplies, set telemetry rate to TEST (ICA
		& IES only)
HV_Off	0xC0	Power off HV supplies (ICA & IES only)
Maintenance	0x20	Set unit to maintenance mode
Quiet	0x30	Turn off Science generation

Table 3.2-12: Configuration Parameter: Mode_Type

The second parameter, ExpParam, has a different meaning for each experiment. It is not required for MAG. The parameter controls a further configuration of an experiment. In all cases the value 0xff has a null action and is the default value. The meaning of each parameter is as follows:



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : October 06,

Parameter Name Unit Valid Values Description **IesParam** IES Any although The OBCP utilises IES command sequencer. 0x05-0xff will There are a possible TBD sequences with the first 5 defined for specific functions. be used in These are selected when the parameter is reality set to 0xff and using the standard enumeration of the ModeTypes parameter. Seq 0 IES LVSCI STIM mode (selected using TEST) Seq 1 IES IES Normal HV turn on Seq 2 Default Minimum mode Seq 3 Default Normal mode Seq 4 Default Maximum mode Values other than 0xff will command the numerically related sequence to be run. IcaParam ICA 0xff,0x00-0x27 Selects operational mode unless set to 0xFF. Usually operational mode must be set with the telemetry rate. LAP LapParam Upper nibble: Lower nibble runs the specified macro unless the value is 0xf. There 8 available unless the 0xf.0x0-0xa Lower nibble: macros are loaded from one of 11 banks as 0xf,0x0-0x7defined by the upper nibble. If the upper nibble is set to 0xf then no macros are transferred from the memory bank. Usually the parameter must be correctly defined for a given telemetry rate MIP 0xff,0x00-0x0f Values 0x0-0x0f will select a predefined MipParam configuration table in PIU memory to be loaded into the command buffer. The tm rate then be adjusted according ModeTypes parameter. Value 0xff prevents any table being loaded.

Table 3.2-13: Configuration Parameter: ExpParam

LAP requires the additional parameter LAPSWEEpromBank which specifies the memory boot bank allowing running different versions of the software stored in different memory banks.

Parameter Name	Unit	Valid Values	Description
LAPSWEEpromBank	LAP	<mark>TBD</mark>	Default value = 0x0003

Notes:

 The parameter LAPSWEEpromBank is only used when switching LAP on. Successive instances of the OBCP which are meant to change LAP configuration do not require the use of this parameter which will be ignored if present.



: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 201

3.2.5 LDL Mode Control

LDL can be controlled with OBCP and FCP.

3.2.5.1 LDL Control with OBCP

The LDL OBCP controls both the initialisation and termination of LDL mode but also any resynchronisation of the LDL mode that may be required. The resynchronisation occurs automatically. If resynchronisation is necessary, PIU will generate event YRP0AEC1 "EC BadLdlSync" which will be trapped by DMS (event is registered with Service 12) and trigger execution of "PL_OBCP_5_RP.4 RPC LDL Control" with parameter Action = Resync.

This OBCP shall not be started again if it is already running. This will be avoided by the OBCP Manager.

OBCP Title	RSDB Name	Function		Invocat	ion
	KRPR8094	Configures	LAP/MIP	Ground,	MTL, OB Monitoring, other
		LDL Mode		OBCP	
PL_OBCP_5_RP.4					
RPC LDL Control	Invoked by seque	nce	With parameter values		
	ARPS805A (RP-SEQ-805)		Action = specified at call-time		
	" RPC LDL Mode "				
Parameter Name	RSDB Name	Function			Allowed values
	(Calibration)				enumerated / raw
Action	FSK01270	Selects	LAP and	MIP	Resync / 0x00
	configurations		ns		Disable / 0x01
					EnableNorm / 0x02
					EnableMixed / 0x03

Table 3.2-14: OBCP Details: OBCP 5 RP.4

Notes:

- Within the LDL OBCP LAP runs the *current* (at call time) macro 6. 1)
- 2) Before starting LDL it is necessary to ensure that the correct bank of macro's is loaded into LAP's memory and that the current macro 6 is compatible with LDL mode.

Currently the following macros are defined in LAP:

Bank 7, macro 6: Minimum rate LDL mode.

Bank 8, macro 6: Normal rate LDL mode.

Bank 9, macro 6: Burst rate LDL mode.

For full list see:

http://rpc.sp.ph.ic.ac.uk/wiki/pub/Documents/LapDocs/LAP MacroTabl e 100910.pdf

3) It is also necessary to ensure that MIP is operating in the correct configuration. This may be done by using the MIP Mode Control OBCP.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 202

3.2.5.2 LDL Control with FCP

LDL Mode is controlled by the following FCP's and corresponding ARPFs:

Sequence Procedure	&	Name	Function	Notes
ARPF901A RP-FCP-901		Set LDL Normal Mode	Turn LDL ON in Normal Mode	LAP Macro 0 bank 1 executed. LAP Telemetry rate should be selected as required
ARPF902A RP-FCP-902		Set LDL Mixed Mode	Turn LDL ON in Mixed Mode	LAP Macro 6 bank 1 executed. LAP telemetry rate should be selected as required
ARPF903A RP-FCP-903		Stop LDL Mode	Turn LDL OFF	LAP End Macro command executed

Table 3.2-15: LDLmode FCPs.

- 1) Prior to LDL Start
 - Before running an "ON" procedure, MIP should be configured in the required mode. The default procedure for doing this is MIP Active (RPC FCP 420).
 - LAP should not be running a macro before starting LDL. This can be accomplished by running End Macro Procedure (RPC_FCP_399).
 - LAP's telemetry rate during LDL mode is selected by the specified parameter in power on procedures.
- 2) After LDL Stop
 - When LDL mode is turned off (RP-FCP-903) MIP is left in its pre-LDL mode.
 - LAP is left with its macro's terminated but it may still generate telemetry for 8 AQP's.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 203

3.3 Operational Requirements and Constraints

The following FOV constraints for ICA,IES and LAP are input parameters for RD-GEN-38.


3.3.1 ICA Field-of-View

The nominal ICA FOV according to Table 1.2-5 is

- 360° in the azimuthal direction (spacecraft yz –plane) with a resolution of 22.5° (16 sectors)
- -45°...+45°(elevation angle) wrt. sensor XY-plane (s/c-ZX-plane) with a nominal resolution of 5.625°in elevation. The calibration revealed an actual FOV from -39°...+41°wrt. sensor XY plane. The actual resolution in the elevation angle according to the calibration is about 4.5°... 5°.

Figure 3.3-1 shows the sectors and elevation numbering. Note that the sector numbering is based on the physical location of the sector anode. The particles actually detected by those sectors come from the opposite direction. Note furthermore that the coordinates are given in the instrument coordinate system

(Y_urf=-Z s/c, Z_urf= Ys/c)



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 204

Figure 3.3-1: ICA Sector and Elevation numbering for ICA.

Elevation Index 0 relates to -39° elevation and index 15 relates to 41°.

The location of ICA on Rosetta is shown schematically in Figure 3.3-2. The spacecraft coordinate system is shown so that sector and elevation angles (from Figure 3.3-1) can be related to the spacecraft coordinate system. This Figure also shows the sectors (10 - 15 and 0) which are shadowed by the spacecraft for some elevation angles (index 0-7).




Figure 3.3-2: Location of ICA on the spacecraft.

: **2** Issue : 18 Rev.

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 205

The field-of-view of the ICA can also be shown using the latitude and longitude definitions shown in Figure 3.3-2, which is done in Figure 3.3-3. Latitude and longitude are defined in Figure 3.3-2. Note that this handwritten figure is not exact, it is intended as an indication where the spacecraft and solar panels are within the ICA field-of-view. The spot at 90° longitude, 0° latitude is the nominal position of the comet. Note also that -90 and 270 degrees in Figure 3.3-3 are the same. In nominal comet-pointing position the comet will be at 90° longitude, 0° latitude. The approximate angles which can be affected by the solar panels can be seen. The region between -10° and 180° is the free field-of-view of ICA. Longitudes below –10° and above 180° are totally blocked by the spacecraft for negative latitude.

The FOV definition is implemented in the SPICE instrument Kernel file RD-RPC-13.




Figure 3.3-3: Rosetta seen from the ICA point-of-view.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 206

3.3.2 IES Field-of-View

The IES instrument is oriented on the +Z deck of the Rosetta S/C at such an angle so as to avoid as little field of view (FOV) interference from other parts of the S/C as possible. Measuring the solar wind plasma is a critical science objective of IES. Since the solar wind ions generally flow as a very narrow beam it is necessary for IES to point its high angular resolution anodes as directly toward the sun as possible. Figure 3.3-4 shows the layout of the ion and electron MCP anodes.

The result is that in order for such pointing to occur the S/C SAA must be between the angles 70° and 100°. This is a soft operational constraint that needs to be met to acquire nominal solar wind data. This angle range was experimentally determined in flight by having the S/C perform a roll through a large SAA range.

The FOV definition is implemented in the SPICE instrument Kernel file RD-RPC-13




Figure 3.3-4: IES ion and electron MCP anodes layout



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 207

3.3.3 S/C Attitude Requirements

Some of the RPC Plasma instrument need a proper pointing to operation in the right attitude wrt. the Sun, the Comet are any other actual celestial body. The different pointing scenarios for the comet phase are defined in the RPC-Wiki at

http://rpc.sp.ph.ic.ac.uk/wiki/bin/view/Science/RpcPointings

These scenarios are based on the following detailed attitude constraints:

3.3.3.1 ICA S/C Attitude Requirements

To guarantee nominal performance of the instrument the following operational constraint on the FOV must be met:

The s/c solar aspect angle (SAA) must be between -90 $^{\circ}$ and +90 $^{\circ}$. This corresponds to the constraint that the Sun is within a range of +/-40 $^{\circ}$ from the s/c-XZ-plane and the Sun is in the X/+Z octant.

Type of constraint: soft, performance Active is ICA is switched on

3.3.3.2 IES S/C Attitude Requirements

To guarantee nominal performance of the instrument the following operational constraint on the FOV must be met:

The s/c solar aspect angle (SAA) must be between +70° and +100°. IES can see the solar wind in the azimuth and elevation angles only in this range.

Type of constraint: soft, performance Active is ICA is switched on

3.3.3.3 LAP S/C Attitude Requirements

The LAP requirements on s/c attitude vary with operational mode and plasma environment. As a general rule, the probes do not provide good data if in a wake, formed by e.g. the s/c or the solar panels.

In the tenuous plasma in the solar wind (presumably relevant for the early comet phase as well), LAP measurements obtained using voltage bias (sweeps or fixed bias) are generally dominated by photoelectrons from the



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 208

spacecraft, and are thus less useful for most purposes. In such environments, the electric field mode (bias current) is more useful, as this allows monitoring of the s/c potential from which the plasma density may be inferred. However, this mode is less useful if the probe is in eclipse: hence, a sunlit probe is preferable in these circumstances. In addition, the probe should be as far away from the solar panels as possible.

On the other hand, in the dense plasmas seen in the Earth's plasmasphere during the swing-bys and anticipated in the developed cometary coma, where the random thermal current due to electrons dominates over the photoemission current, the voltage bias mode will be more useful, and any photoemission will only complicate the data. In this situation, it can be an advantage if the probe avoids sunlight, though sunlight exposure is better than having the probe in the wake.

Interferometric measurements of flow speed require that the line between the two probes is as parallel to the plasma flow direction as possible. They are impossible if the plasma flow to any of the probes is blocked, i.e. if the probe is in a wake.

What attitude is optimal for LAP will thus have to be decided on a case-bycase basis. Nevertheless, given the very different positions of the two LAP probes, attitudes so bad that no useful data can be obtained from at least one of the two probes will be rare. It will almost always be possible for LAP to obtain some useful data on the plasma environment, though particular investigations will certainly require specific attitude ranges.

More details can be found in the RD-LAP-4.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 209

3.3.4 Environmental Pressure and dust level for IES (DMS-Service19)

IES requires the ROSINA Pressure, ROSINA PressureGradient and the GIADA Dust Flux information messages to be delivered via Service 19 from the DMS. This service is required only at the comet. For the other phases it is nice to have but not necessary.

The IES software has an algorithm to monitor these values and take actions to either turn off the high voltage or to autorecover and return the high voltage to nominal levels. The safety and autorecovery mechanisms are described in detail in section 3.4.5, Instrument-Specific Failure Detection Mechanisms.

Environment Pressure may be delivered to RPC as either a periodic parameter (approx. once per minute) or as an 'event' when the pressure exceeds a pre-defined value.

ICA requires the ROSINA gas pressure information messages to be delivered via Service 19 from DMS. This service is only required at the comet. The ICA software has a command to enable automatic control of the high-voltage based on ROSINA pressure data. The commands are described in section 3.4.5.

LAP, MIP and MAG

do not use Service 19.

For details on Service 19 refer to RD-GEN-6.

3.3.5 Thruster Warnings

Gas pressure warning is needed at the comet and any time unplanned thruster firings are expected while IES HV is on.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 210

3.3.6 Operational Constraints

The following operational constraints are to be met at all times during operations in order to guarantee integrity of the RPC instruments:

- IES and ICA must be off when a thrusters firing event takes place.
- Thruster firings give interference in LAP data, but are harmless and their signature is easily identifiable in the data. There is therefore no operational constraint on thruster firings, though their timing should be made available to us afterwards for assisting the removal of bad data.
- IES and ICA must be off when a wheel offloading event takes place.

Additional ICA Constraints:

- If the gas pressure in the vicinity of the comet is too high, the high voltage of ICA should be switched off. This is also true if the ionospheric electron density is too high. This may happen late in the mission phase and must be judged by the PI team. Electron/ion densities can be judged by the ICA, IES and LAP instruments of RPC. It is not an operational constraint which ESOC needs to consider.
- Apart from the ordinary sensor temperature constraints listed table Table 2.5-1, ICA does have another specific operating temperature constraint. When the sensor temperature exceeds about 37 degrees an on-board FPGA is likely to latch-up. Such temperatures were observed at least as often at Mars orbit as at Earth orbit, despite the significantly different sun distances. From spacecraft housekeeping data it seems that the spacecraft operated heater was switched on during instrument operations at Mars distance and beyond, possibly explaining why such high temperatures were observed at Mars and Lutetia. Therefore, if possible, once the instrument is turned on, the spacecraft operated heater should be turned off, at least for sun distances corresponding to Lutetia and closer. At Lutetia the instrument was auto-switched off when the temperature threshold was exceeded, but this was not due to the rapid rise of temperature caused by a latch-up. See Figure Figure 3.3-5 which describes the evolution of temperatures and currents during 3 latch-up events and at the Lutetia encounter. One can see that the temperature increase at Lutetia is rapid at first, but is then gradually reaching a high steady state temperature before it is shut off at about 30 minutes after the temperature started to increase. During the latch-ups there was a surge of current to the PIU when the temperature of the ICA sensor increased, but this was not the case at Lutetia. Therefore we must learn from in situ operations how to operate ICA. Hopefully



: **2** Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 211

Issue

switching the spacecraft operated heater off during operations can solve the problem. Otherwise we will have to schedule regular switch-ons/offs of ICA, to re-start the instrument after a switch-off.

- The reaction wheels of the ROSETTA s/c generate magnetic disturbance. To get rid of these dynamically changing structures a special elimination s/w has been developed for cleaning the measured magnetic field data. This elimination works best if the RPCMAG instrument is operated in Burst mode (SID3).
- Additional interference is generated by the RPCLAP instrument causing monofrequent signatures seen by RPCMAG. Refer to RD-MAG-34 for details. Again, data cleaning works best if RPCMAG is operated in Burst mode (SID3).

Issue : **2** Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 212




Figure 3.3-5: ICA, Thermal behavior



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 213

3.3.7 Thermal Constraints

The temperature limits listed in section 2.5.1.1 may not be exceeded in any phase of the mission.

IES: One part of IES consists of the ESAs, MCPs, and preamps attached to the neck. These components are not well connected thermally to the box because of the construction, especially due to the toroidal grounded grid that surrounds the top-hat aperture. There is thus a thermistor (PAY429_IES_1) inside the electron portion of this arrangement as well as a 0.5 W heater (PL-LCL-40). This heater has to be turned on when the temperature gets down to -10 C, well before it gets near the cold limit. However, we don't want the temperature to get too high so the heater should turn off when the temperature warms up to -5 C.

The second part, the main electronics box, is in thermal contact with the +Z deck and carries 2 TRP thermistors (TCS053_IES, TCS054_IES) on the back surface. The box also has a 2.7 W heaters (PL-LCL-18) on the top surface as well as 2 thermostats that control the heaters. The thermostats turn on at 0 C. This arrangement is supposed to take care of maintaining the temperature of the electronics box.

3.4 Failure Detection and Recovery Strategy

3.4.1 Introduction

The term *failure* is used in this section to indicate an out-of-limit situation i.e. the non compliance of a measurable physical quantity with its pre-defined limits.

RPC failure detection occurs at three different levels:

- 1) Spacecraft (DMS) level (see section3.4.3)
- 2) PIU level (see section 3.4.4)
- 3) Instrument level (see section 3.4.5)

A combination of actions from all three levels make up RPC's detection and recovery strategy, as described in section 3.4.2.

Detection of potentially dangerous conditions is performed by the PIU or the DMS monitoring physical parameters that are vital to RPC, such as voltages and temperatures. In case of a potentially dangerous condition being detected, PIU and DMS will take autonomous action and put the endangered unit in a safe condition (usually switch-off). No contingency detection is intended to be performed on ground.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

: 214

Only non-critical events and faults related to parameters which are not measurable or detectable on-board are intended to be diagnosed on ground upon reception and analysis of telemetry data.

Notification to RPC of reception of event packets which might require intervention from ground will be performed by the MOC according to instructions given in SY-CRP-000. More information can be found in section 3.4.6.

The System Contingency Recovery Procedure SY-CRP-000 is fully defined and can be found in the RD-GEN-9.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 215

3.4.2 Failure Detection and Recovery Strategy

Some of the parameters monitored by PIU are physical quantities such as voltages and temperatures for which two operational limits have been set: a warning level and a danger level. PIU will react in case of a parameter reaching either level and the action taken depends on whether the offending unit is PIU itself or one of the instruments and on the nature of the violation. The list of parameters monitored by PIU and their limits can be found in Table 3.4-3.

The monitoring and recovery strategy is explained below.

- a) If PIU detects that a warning limit has been violated, PIU will generate event YRP0AEC3 "EC_ParamMntrWrning". The event's parameters contains details of the event occurred such as the ID of the offending unit and the violated parameter.
- b) If PIU detects that a **danger** limit has been violated, PIU will generate event YRP0AEC4 "EC ParamMntrDanger" and:
 - if the offending unit is ICA, IES, LAP, MIP or MAG:
 - 1. PIU will switch the unit off and generate event YRP0AE84 "EC AutoShutDown".
 - 2. The DMS will trap YRP0AEC4 the reception of which will trigger execution of OBCP PL_OBCP_5_RP.5 KRPS8095. The OBCP will perform no action in the case of the offending unit being an instrument.
 - if the offending unit is PIU:
 - 1. PIU will switch off any powered sub-unit;
 - 2. PIU will generate YRP0AE84 "EC AutoShutDown"
 - The DMS will trap YRP0AEC4 the reception of which will trigger execution of OBCP PL_OBCP_5_RP.5 KRPS8095 which in this case will power PIU off.
- c) In case of PIU detecting MIP **watchdog** being fired, PIU will power MIP down and generate event YRP0AE81 "EC MipDogBarking".
- d) Other quantities such LDL current and PIU +5V and -5V are monitored and acted upon by the DMS alone.

3.4.3 DMS Monitoring of RPC

The DMS is in charge of monitoring the physical quantities and events that cannot be monitored or be acted upon directly by the PIU. Those events are listed in the table below.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

: 216

LCL Current Trip	1
Description	Action
The DMS has detected an LCL current trip	No immediate action required as events powers off all RPC units
Detection of event YRP0AEC4	
Description	Action
DMS has detected that event YRP0AEC4 EC_ParamMntrDanger" was generated by PIU – this means that one of the parameters monitored by PIU has violated the danger limit.	Event is trapped by DMS which will run OBCP KRPS8095. OBCP will analyse event parameter NRPA0525 and will: • shutdown RPC if offending unit is PIU which is indicated by NRPA0525 being 0x0003 (+5V danger level) 0x0006 (-5V danger level) 0x0009 (+12V danger level) 0x000C (-12V danger level) 0x000F (28V danger level) 0x0012 (Temperature danger level)
	do nothing for all other values of NRPA0525.
Detection of event YRP0AEC1	
Description	Action
DMS has detected that event YRP0AEC1 "EC_BadLdlSync" was generated by PIU – this means that the LDL mode is out of sync	Event is trapped by DMS which will run OBCP KRPS8094 with parameter Action = Resync
PIU +5V level out-of-limits	
Description	Action
RPC +5V level out-of-limits	DMS will shut RPC down
Monitored parameter = NRPD0310	
YRP00325	
PIU +5V level out-of-limits	
Description	Action
RPC -5V level out-of-limits	DMS will shut RPC down
Monitored parameter = NRPD0317	
YRP00325	
Table 2.4.1: Events monitored	11 11 5140

Table 3.4-1: Events monitored by the DMS.

3.4.4 PIU monitoring of RPC

PIU is capable of detecting a certain number of fault conditions related to the experiments. The PIU monitoring system monitors specific fields within each



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 217

experiment's housekeeping data packet and action is taken autonomously by PIU in case the value of a monitored parameter is found to be out of the allowed boundaries or in case a failure being detected.

The action taken by PIU depends on the type of failure. Failures that might harm the integrity of an instrument, such as an over temperature condition, will trigger an action by the PIU which will put the instrument in a safe condition (instrument powered off), whereas failures that are not considered dangerous are reported to ground by generating an event packet and will be dealt with at a suitable stage.

PIU also monitors power supply voltages and PIU temperature. In case of a value being out-of-limit the whole RPC will be switched off. This will be done by DMS which will act upon request of PIU via the S/C service 12.

In addition to housekeeping parameters, PIU monitors a hardware MIP Watchdog.

The table below reports the parameters monitored by the PIU and the action PIU will take in case the parameter is found to be out of the preset limits.

PIU Voltage reached dange	PIU Voltage reached danger / warning level				
Description	Action				
Monitored parameters: NRPD0310 (+5V) NRPD0317 (-5V)	When the <i>warning</i> level is reached PIU will raise the event YRP0AEC3 "EC_ParamMntrWrning".				
NRPD0320 (+12V) NRPD0327 (-12V) NRPD0330 (28V)	When the <i>danger</i> level is reached for two consecutive samples, PIU will turn off any powered subunit and then raise event YRP0AEC4 "EC_ParamMntrDanger". Offending parameter and its current level are reported in the event packet's parameters. DMS will trap the event and				
Event pkts generated: YRP0AEC3 (Warning) YRP0AEC4 (Danger)	execute OBCP KRPS8095 which will shut the PIU down.				
PIU Temperature reached	danger / warning level				
Description	Action				
Monitored parameters: NRPD0306	When the warning level is reached PIU will raise the event YRP0AEC3 "EC_ParamMntrWrning".				
Event pkts generated: YRP0AEC3 (Warning) YRP0AEC4 (Danger)	When the danger level is reached for two consecutive samples, PIU will turn off any powered subunit and then raise event YRP0AEC4 "EC_ParamMntrDanger". Offending parameter and its current level are reported in the event packet's parameters. DMS will trap the event and execute OBCP KRPS8095 which will shut the PIU down.				
•	hed danger / warning level				
Description	Action				



: **2** Issue Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 218

Monitored parameters: NRPD2360 NRPD2368

When the warning level is reached PIU will raise the event YRP0AEC3

"EC ParamMntrWrning".

Event pkts generated: YRP0AEC3 (Warning) YRP0AEC4 (Danger)

When the danger level is reached for two consecutive samples, PIU will turn off ICA before raising YRP0AEC4 "EC_ParamMntrDanger" event indicating the offending parameter and its current level. DMS will trap the event and execute OBCP KRPS8095 which will do nothing.

MAG Reference Voltage out of specification				
Description	Action			
Monitored parameters: NRPD530C NRPA5310	When the warning level is reached PIU will raise the event YRP0AEC3 "EC_ParamMntrWrning".			
Event pkts generated: YRP0AEC4 (Danger) YRP0AEC3 (Warning)	When the danger level is reached for two consecutive samples, PIU will turn off MAG before raising YRP0AEC4 "EC_ParamMntrDanger" event indicating the offending parameter and its current level. DMS will trap the event and execute OBCP KRPS8095 which will do nothing.			

MAG Sensor Temperature Difference out of bounds					
Description	Action				
Monitored parameters:	When the warning level is reached PIU will raise				
none (internal calculation performed by PIU)	the event YRP0AEC3 "EC_ParamMntrWrning".				
Event pkts generated: YRP0AEC3 (Warning)					
MIP Watchdog Signal Received by PIU					
Description	Action				
Event pkts generated: YRP0AE81	When this hardware is signal is received from				
	MIP, PIU will shutdown MIP and raise the event				

EC_MipDogBarking

Table 3.4-2: Parameters monitored by PIU

Description	Warnir	ng Level	Danger Level		Parameter Name	NRPA0525 Value
	Low	High	Low	High		
PIU +5 V	4.85 V	5.42 V	4.54 V	5.68 V	NRPD0310	0x0003
PIU -5 V	-5.25 V	-4.78 V	-5.50 V	-4.53 V	NRPD0317	0x0006
+12 V	10.88 V	13.75 V	9.67 V	15.00 V	NRPD0320	0x0009
-12 V	-13.45 V	11.27 V	-14.68 V	10.01 V	NRPD0327	0x000B
+28 V	25.12 V	31.28 V	22.33 V	34.12 V	NRPD0330	0x000F
PIU Temperature	-28.89°C	75.59°C	-38.22°C	91.23°C	NRPD0306	0x0012



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

: 219

Danger						
ICA Sensor Temp	-25.29°C	+44.84°C	-30.31°C	+45.84°C	NRPD2360	n/a
ICA DPU Temp	-25.29°C	+44.84°C	-30.31°C	+45.84°C	NRPD2368	n/a
MAG ref voltage	16220 *	16548 *	15565 *	17203 *	NRPD530C (MS nibble) NRPA5310 (LS word)	n/a
MAG sensor temp difference	-12288 *	12288 *	n/a	n/a	none	n/a

Table 3.4-3: Limits of Parameters monitored by PIU.

These limits are hard-coded in PIU s/w and may differ from the limit for the same parameter set in RSDB.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Description	SOFT Limits		HARD Limits		Parameter Name	Limit Name
	Low	High	Low	High		
PIU +5 V	+4.84 V	+5.39 V	+4.59 V	+5.65 V	NRPD0310	LRPD0310
PIU -5 V	-5.42 V	-4.87 V	-5.68 V	-4.62 V	NRPD0317	LRPD0317
+12 V	11.32 V	13.88 V	10.06 V	15.15 V	NRPD0320	LRPD0320
-12 V	-13.84 V	-11.49 V	-15.09 V	-10.21 V	NRPD0327	LRPD0327
+28 V	+25.4 V	+31.19 V	+22.58 V	+34.03 V	NRPD0330	LRPD0330
Temperature Danger	-35 °C	+55 °C	-40°C	+85°C	NRPD0306	LRPD0306
ICA Sensor Temp	-25 °C	50 °C	-30 °C	55 °C	NRPD2360	LRPD2360
ICA DPU Temp	-25 °C	50 °C	-30 °C	55 °C	NRPD2368	LRPD2368
MAG ref voltage	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	NRPD530C (MS nibble) NRPA5310 (LS word)	n/a
MAG sensor temp difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Table 3.4-4: Limits set in RSDB.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 221

3.4.5 Instrument-Specific Failure Detection Mechanisms

IES:

IES has the following failure detection and recovery strategies:

Internal watchdog timer

An internal watchdog timer that must be stroked a minimum of every 0.5 seconds. If this is not performed, the IES instrument reboots to PAUSE-PROM mode awaiting further instruction. This could occur if there is e.g a bad opcode fetch or if there is instability in program execution. TLM point NRPD1342 would indicate that the watchdog timer had expired.

A check of the low-voltage power supplies (+5V, -5V, +12V, -12V) is performed during operation. Anomalous behavior is reported through housekeeping (TLM points NRPD132C, NRPD132E, NRPD1328 and NRPD132A respectively) and event messaging (e.g. YRPB161 for bad monitor and YRP0B163 for +/-5V problem or YRP0B164 for +/-12V problem to report the bad reading).

HV Monitors:

During HVSCI-EEPROM operation with HV supplies on, the electron MCP, the ion MCP and high-voltage bulk supply monitors are all sampled and checked to be within the commanded range. If these criteria are not met, the HV supplies are brought down by the flight software – this is performed in one step by turning off the HVPS (observed at TLM point NRPD131C), event messages (YRP0B161 for bad monitor and then one of YRP0B106 for bad ELC MCP, YRP0B107 for bad ION MCP or YRP0B108 for bad HVMON) are sent out and IES goes to the safe, LVSCI-EEPROM mode awaiting further instruction.

 Safing and Autorecovery for high electron counts, ion counts, Rosina pressure, ROSINA pressure gradient and Giada dust count:

A safing and autorecovery mechanism has been implemented in the IES flight software. It is triggered by excessive counts in the ion or electron MCP's and/or Service 19 messages from Rosina or Giada.

Figure 3.4-1 shows a state diagram of this mechanism. The beginning state is INIT for initializing the mechanism upon entry into HVSCI mode. IES spends all of its science operation time monitoring the trigger mechanisms at a 1 Hz rate in the CHECKING state, unless ion or electron counts exceed their threshold levels, or if Rosina or Giada values exceed their threshold

Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 222

levels for their particular readings. If any of these conditions are detected, the state machine transitions to the SAFED state where the HVPS is immediately turned off and remains off for a predetermined time and until a safe condition is detected. The duration of the HV off state and the autorecovery thereafter depends on the recent history of safing. The more frequent the safings, the longer the wait time before autorecovery is initiated. A FINAL_WAIT state provides additional time before turning the HVPS back on. If the number of safings is too frequent, the WAITING FOR GROUND INTERVENTION state is entered, where new instructions must be provided from the ground for further action. Note that science acquisition is still executing in order to simplify the logic of the state machine - only the HVPS is being turned on and off.




Figure 3.4-1: IES Safing and Autorecovery State Diagram

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

: 223

Table 3.4-5Table 3.4-5 shows the default list of parameters stored in an IES-internal EEPROM table. These include the threshold levels and the wait times. The values can be updated with an existing table upload procedure.

Parameter Name	Setting
bFirstTimeWaitForAcceptableRosinaGiada	0
bElcEnabled	1
wElcThreshold	1000
wElcViolations	5
blonEnabled	1
wlonThreshold	1000
wlonViolations	5
bRosinaPressEnabled	1
wRosinaPressSafeThresh	0x0016
wRosinaPressRcovrThresh	0x0057
bRosinaPressGradEnabled	0
wRosinaPressGradSafeThresh	0
wRosinaPressGradRcovrThresh	0
bRosinaAlertEnabled	0
bGiadaReadingEnabled	1
wGiadaReadingSafeThresh	150
wGiadaReadingRcovrThresh	75
wElcMcpOpDacValue	0
wElcMcpDacIncrement	4
wlonMcpOpDacValue	0
wlonMcpDacIncrement	4
wCheckingCountdown	3600
awSafelonElcWaitingCountdown[0]	3600
awSafelonElcWaitingCountdown[1]	7200
awSafeIonElcWaitingCountdown[2]	14400
awSafeIonElcWaitingCountdown[3]	14400
awSafeIonElcWaitingCountdown[4]	14400
awSafeRosinaGiadaWaitingCountdown[0]	3600
awSafeRosinaGiadaWaitingCountdown[1]	7200
awSafeRosinaGiadaWaitingCountdown[2]	14400
wFinalWaitingCountdown	900

Table 3.4-5: IES Safing and Autorecovery Parameters



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 224

ICA has the following failure detection mechanisms:

1) A watchdog counter that is periodically reset. Failure to do so will raise a hardware reset.

- 2) The RAM memory has an error detection and correction mechanism. If it fails to correct a signal will be raised with a subsequent watchdog reset.
- 3) The code memory part is protected by low and high address limits. Any attempt to write into that area by an unprivileged software module will raise an exception with a subsequent watchdog reset.
- Other exceptions like illegal instructions, reading instructions from 4) outside the code memory are handled as under 3.

When the microprocessor is restarted by a watchdog all program code will be reset and initialised data will be reloaded except for the context area. The context area exists in three copies well spread in memory. The context is error checked by comparing the three areas and if possible corrections are made. If corrections cannot be made the default value is loaded. After correction a feasibility of the context is made. This way ICA should in most cases be able to recover completely to the state before the failure.

ROSINA gas pressure handling:

The ICA commands to control high voltages using ROSINA pressure data are:

ZRP22011 PRPG2011=1

Enables (1) gas pressure control of high voltage. Default is off (0)

ZRP22204 PRPG22204= low level gas pressure in format delivered by ROSINA

ZRP22205 PRPG22205= high level gas pressure in format delivered by ROSINA

The automatic control of high voltage by ROSINA gas levels must be turned on. A low level where the high voltage is automatically switched on must be defined. A high level where the high voltage is automatically switched off must be defined. Without these commands no action will be taken by ICA upon receipt of ROSINA gas levels.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 225

Appropriate gas pressure levels for switch on and off are currently not known, but should be similar for ICA and IES.

LAP has an internal watchdog that is started by a command (embedded in OBCP 804). The instrument resets itself if the watchdog is not updated periodically. A race condition (reset upon reset....) will not occur since the watchdog is started by a command. After a reset the instrument will start running the default software in prom: this can be used as an indicator of a reset (in addition to link reset events) since the software version in HK will drop down to the default (version 7). When OBCP 804 starts a software version in flash using the EEBoot command a checksum is computed on the software. A failure of the EEBoot command indicates a bit error in the flash memory, other software banks shall then be tested. A backup of the current software may or may not exist in another software bank, depending on what has been programmed into them. A checksum of all internal macros in the flash memory is returned in HK (Calibration A & B) upon booting a software version above 12. LAP has no critical parameters in housekeeping. Failures are detected by observing the science and HK together. For instance, loss of science data (something that occurred during Earth Flyby) usually indicate miscommanding. LAP does not use any recovery service, so after a power cycle new commands have to be issued to restart the instrument.

MIP has a watchdog which consists of a counter periodically reset. If the watchdog is not refreshed, an alarm signal is sent to PIU (through the link). PIU immediately powers off MIP after reception of an alarm signal.

The MIP watchdog can be inhibited with a command (Set Wd [1]).

The alarm protocol has been simplified; no retry to be switched on after an alarm signal has occurred:

- PIU powers MIP off at 'alarm' and 'over-current detection';
- The status of this alarm signal is put into an event reporting;
- MIP stays off until the next on command.

In case of data receiving failure PIU resets the link according to procedure listed in RD-PIU-4.

MAG: In the unlikely case of a failure or delay during the power on sequence the MAG instrument might not be able to execute the calibration of all the ADCs in the right way. This can cause erratic readings (saturation or a two level oscillation) on the MAG readings. In this case the recovery strategy consists in power on MAG several times.

In the case of an obvious misreading of one sensor triple a recovery can be achieved by toggling the IB – OB sensors.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 226

In any case of suspicious behaviour the MAG HK channels should be examined. Especially the reference voltage U_{ref} should be checked. Additional information can be obtained by reading the OB analogue signals fed into the HK channels. These analogue voltages are always the voltages of the physical OB sensor, independent of the OB-IB toggling.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 227

3.4.6 Ground-based Analysis of Telemetry

Events and fault conditions which are not measurable on-board and/or impossible to predict deterministically will be diagnosed on ground upon reception of telemetry data.

A list of the event packets which indicate non-normal activity of the RPC is given in SY-CRP-000. Reception of those events requires an action to be taken by the MOC and/or RPC.

Possible actions to be taken by the MOC upon reception events reported in SY-CRP-000 are reported here:

Action	Notes
Log as expected in daily report	It is used for non-normal events which are expected (usually at instrument start-up).
Inform RPC by email Contact RPC representative	It is used for event packets that relate to: • A malfunction of an instrument which is not dangerous. Instrument power cycle might be required to restore functionality. • A dangerous condition which has occurred and doesn't need to be prevented from reoccurring. • A condition which only effects data quality. It is used for event packets that relate to:
immediately	 A potentially dangerous condition which might re-occur before the end of operations and must be prevented from re-occurring. Deletion of all RPC commands from MTL might be necessary. A condition that might require the whole RPC to be switched off (for instance PIU malfunction).

Table 3.4-6: Actionlist for MOC

3.4.7 Recovery Strategy

3.4.7.1 What to do after an emergency switch off of PIU or a subunit

In case of PIU (i.e. the whole RPC) or a subunit being switch off due to a contingency, either by the on-board monitoring systems or from ground, it is necessary to prevent the instrument from being switched back on again by commands which might already be in the MTL.

Due to the fact that RPC instruments are switched on by OBCP and to the impossibility of filtering out single commands at DMS level before execution, the following options are available:



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

: 228

1. Manipulate the MTL which is already on-board by removing the unwanted commands and modifying the relevant OBCP parameter values. The feasibility of this action must be in negotiation with ESOC on an ad hoc basis.

2. In case option 1 is not viable, then the whole RPC must be switched off by running RP-FCP-806 "Switch RPC off Safely" and all RPC commands must be removed from the MTL.

3.4.7.2 What to do after IES switch off due to unsuitable environmental condition (pressure or dust)

The same options as listed in section 3.4.7.1 apply.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

3.4.7.3 Contingency procedures

The FCPs listed in this section can be used in case of contingency, previous agreement with RPC representative.

Name	Description	Notes
RP-FCP-000	Power Off LCL A & B	Precondition: All experiments must be shut down
RP-FCP-010	Power Off IES Unit	Precondition: IES in safe mode – HV off
RP-FCP-020	Power Off ICA Unit	Precondition: ICA in safe mode – HV off
RP-FCP-030	Power Off LAP Unit	-
RP-FCP-040	Power Off MIP Unit	-
RP-FCP-050	Power Off MAG Unit	-
RP-FCP-800	OBCP Power RPC Emergency Power Off	All experiments should be shut down first unless in emergency situation.
RP-FCP-806	OBCP Power RPC Power Off	Safe shutdown; all experiments are powered off before switching LCL off.

Table 3.4-7: Contingency Recovery Procedures

The Contingency Recovery Procedure RP-CRP-001 defined in RD-GEN-9 can be used as emergency power off procedure.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 230

3.5 Nominal Operating Plans

3.5.1 Ground Test Plan

3.5.1.1 System Ground I/F & OPS Requirements

3.5.1.1.1 EGSE

3.5.1.1.1.1 Concept

The Electrical Ground Support Equipment (EGSE) is the collective name given to the tools required for electrical testing of all the RPC instruments. The general concept follows the design baseline given in RD-GEN-18, section 5.2.1, in which the EGSE can be used in 3 phases.

Phase 1 – RPC Experiment Level Testing

The EGSE is used to control and monitor RPC instruments via an I/F to the project specified interface simulator (ROSIS). Also the central unit of the EGSE directly controls the stimulator for the LAP experiment.

Phase 2 – S/C System Level Testing

The EGSE is used to monitor TM sent from the CCS. Commanding is controlled by the CCS using inputs from the RSDB. The LAP stimuli can be controlled by the EGSE or by the CCS.

Phase 3 – Flight OPS

The EGSE will be used to monitor TM from S/C using the same I/F as previously used with the CCS.

3.5.1.1.1.2 Hardware Description

The EGSE system consists of a RPC EGSE PC, 6 experiment EGSE PCs and the LAP stimulator controller PC. They are connected via a local Ethernet network with each PC. A second network card is used to connect to the ROSIS or CCS. This card connects to the network via twisted-pair cable and an RJ-45 connector.



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM**Issue : **2** Rev. : **18**

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 231




Figure 3.5-1: Overview of the RPC EGSE

In Figure 3.5-1, C represents Client and S represents Server towards the main EGSE and the number following is the TCP/IP port number. This arrangement means the system can run without changing the network settings for various testing facilities (in Phase 1). Also system level tests require only a single change of IP address when the SIS socket is replaced by a socket to the CCS (Phase 2). For certain tests the experiment EGSEs can also be connected to the remote network (e.g. tests with the instrument at IC and the experiment team at a remote institute).

There are 2 RPC EGSEs, one to remain with the S/C for system level tests and one for using development with the ROSIS. The system level EGSE is a desktop PC, the development EGSE is a laptop. This portability is useful for RPC testing away from IC.

The RPC EGSE archives all the RPC data as it arrives during a test on the local harddisk. After a test is completed the files can be copied onto CD using the built-in CD writer on the system level EGSE and via a shared CD writer on the network when using the development EGSE.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 232

3.5.1.1.3 Software Description

The S/W for the RPC EGSE is written with National Instruments' LabVIEW S/W (V6.0) running under Windows NT/2000.

The S/W design is based on a state machine with the subsequent state generally determined by a user action or the type of TM received. Running in parallel with the state machine is a loop that listens on the network sockets for new TCP/IP connections. The network connection to the LAP stimuli rack can be opened at any time from a control on the GUI.

The EGSE S/W carries out the following main functions:

- 1. To communicate with the ROSIS/CCS using the ROSETA Common Packetised Protocol (RPRO) and display RPRO level information.
- 2. To receive TM from the ROSIS/CCS and distribute this in CCSDS format over LAN to the relevant instruments' EGSE.
- 3. To log the TM to archive files on the local hard drive. The files contain TM in CCSDS packet format (therefore individually time stamped with the on-board time). The files are in binary format and represent a fixed time bin during a test. This bin can be set as part of an archive setup screen that appears after starting the EGSE program.
- 4. To decode and display TM on the GUI and notify the user of unexpected or erroneous results. The last 30 TM packets received by the EGSE are displayed. Packets that arrived before this can be viewed by 'freezing' the display window and scrolling up the display (up to a maximum of 1000 packets). Events are shown in a separate display with the event ID decoded into text using a look-up table. In addition, the parameters of all the RPC experiments' housekeeping packets are decoded and displayed in a separate section.
- 5. To allow the user to control the ROSIS and send commands to RPC when testing at experiment level (this can take the form of discrete commands or scripts of up to several hundred commands). The experiment EGSEs are also able to command their own instrument via the network.
- 6. To control the LAP stimuli and display the command acknowledgements.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 233

An extra utility program called RPC Telemetry Replay V1.1 is used for displaying archived data and can distribute TM to single or multiple experiment EGSEs over the network. The TM rate can be set to:

- 1. Single step through packets, one at a time.
- 2. Replay TM in real time, as if connected to the CCS.
- 3. Continuous (download data to experiment EGSEs at fastest rate).

The utility program can also filter TM to display/distribute only packets with a certain PID or category.

3.5.1.1.1.4 Compliance

The EGSE I/F to the CCS conforms with the SCOE state table and functions correctly with the ROSIS (acting as a CCS simulator to the EGSE).




Figure 3.5-2: Autonomous Test of the PIU



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 3.5-3: System Level Test of the Rosetta Plasma Package




Figure 3.5-4: Logical Interfaces of the ICSTM EGSE

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 235

ROSIS Power Black/White CDROM Laser Jet Writer Printer Mem.Load Command & TM Simulator **IBM PC** High Power On/Off Command **RPC** 32 Mbyte RAM Simulator 3.2 Gbyte HD **Ethernet** 1,44 Floppy LAN High Frequency Ethernet I/F Clock & Broadcast 17" monitor Pulse Simulator Windows NT LabWindows Temperature Acquisition SS Simulator Embedded µP **EGSE** Stimulator

Figure 3.5-5: RPC Experiment Level testing with the ICSTM EGSE

3.5.2 In-orbit Commissioning Plan

3.5.2.1 Post-launch commissioning

For the post-launch commissioning refer to the document RD-RPC-2.

3.5.2.2 Post-hibernation activities

High-level description of RPC instruments commissioning activity after hibernation.

3.5.2.2.1 IES

Pointing: Sun in ES FOV i.e. SAA between 70 and 100 deg.

Total duration: Estimated 6 to 9 hours / 1 day (dependent on light time)



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 236

Low Voltage Checkout Power on IES in PROM mode EEPROM readout and dump

RAM patch to generate event message indicating counts (see HV below)

High Voltage Checkout

Turn MCP high voltage on (ESA and DEF sweeping disabled) and get sum of counts using event message

Full HV on with sweeping

Functional test - table and mode changes

MCP Gain Test

EEPROM Patch to update housekeeping fields

Functional Test

3.5.2.2.2 ICA

The ICA post-hibernation commissioning activity serves two purposes: to verify that the instrument is functioning properly after hibernation and to determine the sensor operating temperature characteristics. The latter is related to the overheating issue that has affected ICA on several occasions. If possible we want to interact with the spacecraft to adjust the operation of the spacecraft ICA non-operational heater. We therefore divide the post-hibernation commissioning activities into three steps.

- 1. Low-voltage commissioning
- 2. High voltage commissioning
- 3. Instrument temperature analysis: high voltage tests and measurements with / without spacecraft heater and possibly different solar illumination.

Step 1 is simple; does not require pointing and does not need to last very long.

Step 2 requires the Sun to be in ICA's field-of-view for some time, and preferably some variation of the pointing (of the order of 20 degrees solar aspect angle).

Step 3 is intended to determine whether ICA can improve the reliability of the operation of ICA by having the spacecraft operated heater off, and to learn about the temperature as function of solar illumination for the initial comet mission conditions. This requires somewhat more extended run time, preferably more variation of the illumination of the instrument and possibly tests with the spacecraft-operated heater on and off. The precise pointing is not important, as long as the angle of the sunlight hitting the instrument is varied within the limits expected during the nominal mission. This test is based on our understanding that the spacecraft-powered heater was on during Mars swing by and Lutetia, whereas it was off during Earth swing-by, as indicated by our interpretation of spacecraft housekeeping data (Heater

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 237

current LCL41A).

Command sequences

1. Low voltage commissioning:

Instrument on in test mode, fake mode and finally ordinary measurement mode but without high voltage. About 10 minutes of data from each stage is enough to see that the instrument is alive and delivers data. These operations can be performed via OBCP.

2. High voltage commissioning:

Instrument on in measurement mode, preferably burst (EXM). Sun in ICA's field of view for 20 minutes or longer, and preferably some variation of the position of the sun within the instrument field-of-view. Measurement time as long as feasible. Instrument can be switched to NRM mode after a while if telemetry data volume is constraint. At least one hour burst data desired. OBCP are sufficient. If time is available, then an additional test where the instrument is booted from the EEPROM bank with an alternative energy table should be done. Procedures used during ESB 3 will then be used.

3. Instrument temperature analysis:

If instrument temperatures were low and solar illumination of the instrument varied during high voltage commissioning then this step may not be necessary. Otherwise the instrument should be run while the spacecraft-operated heater is off. If possible the spacecraft-operated heater should be switched off just as the instrument is turned on. The instrument should then be run in the normal measurement mode. If telemetry data volume is a constraint then normal mode may be used. After at least 20 minutes with constant solar illumination angle, the angle should be varied significantly (exact angle is not important). The instrument should be run for at least an hour, preferably a few hours, to see how the temperature of the sensor changes. All commands can be done by OBCP.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 238

3.5.2.2.3 LAP

LAP's post-hibernation commissioning is divided in three parts:

Part 1. Basic verification.

The instrument will be powered on and it will run several standard science macros. Duration will be 3 hours, of which half is in BM. This procedure is to be repeated twice, with the instrument turned off in between runs, in order not to suffer from possible glitches (like the one experienced during ESB1). Time between reruns is arbitrarily long: 5 minutes is as good as 5 days. No requirements on pointing or other instrument operations.

Part 2. Solar wind observation at large heliocentric distance.

To be ready for the early comet phase, LAP should gather comparison data at this large heliocentric distance using a standard science macro. Duration will be at least 24 hours with NM telemetry. Pointing should preferably be variable, but need not be tailored to us: we are happy to ride along on anybody else's pointing (surely the cameras will want to point to various sources). Part 2 can follow at any time after part 1, or between the two reruns of part 1.

Part 3. Macro upload and testing (already known as CPPCR request R RP032)

While there are already macros in place for the first operations at the comet, there are no suitable macros for the denser plasma in the fully developed coma. This is probably the best time to upload such macros, so as to be done with this before normal science operations start. Duration will be 6 hours of which up to half may be BM. Part 3 can be executed at any time after Step 1.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 239

3.5.2.2.4 MIP

Post-hibernation commissioning consists of 9 sequences divided in three tests which should be run for 10 minutes each.

Transmission & level test

Normal mode, SDL, transmitter mono with E1, nominal level (divided by 2).

Sequence: ARPS496A 2600 0045 0201

Normal mode, SDL, transmitter mono with E1, full level.

Sequence: ARPS496A 2600 0005 0201

Normal mode, SDL, transmitter mono with E2, nominal level

(divided by 2).

Sequence: ARPS496A 2600 0051 0201

Normal mode, SDL, transmitter mono with E2, full level.

Sequence: ARPS496A 2600 0011 0201

Normal mode, SDL, transmitter stereo with E1-E2 phased, nominal level

(divided by 2).

Sequence: ARPS496A 2600 0069 0201 (a verifier, cf. commissioning)

Normal mode, SDL, transmitter stereo with E1-E2 antiphased, nominal

level (divided by 2).

Sequence: ARPS496A 2600 007D 0201 (a verifier, cf. commissioning)

BM test

Nominal sequence.

Sequence: ARPS496A 2600 0045 0203

LDL test

Nominal sequence, nominal level (divided by 2).

Sequence: ARPS496A 2600 0045 0205

Nominal sequence, full level,

Sequence. ARPS496A 2600 0005 0205



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 240

3.5.2.2.5 MAG

MAG's post-hibernation commissioning sequence:

Switch on MAG

Switch the OB sensor to be PRIMARY
Switch to SID 2
Warm up 6 hours
Switch to SID 1 and collect data for at least 3h
(minimum 10 packet = 10*1024s = 10240s ~ 3hours)
Switch to SID 2 and collect data for at least 1hour
Switch to SID 3 and collect data for at least 1hour

Switch to SID 4 and collect data for at least 1hour Switch to SID 5 and collect data for at least 1hour

Switch the OB sensor to be SECONDARY

Switch to SID 1 and collect data for least 3h

Switch to SID 2 and collect data for at least 1hour

Switch to SID 3 and collect data for at least 1hour

Switch to SID 4 and collect data for at least 1hour

Switch to SID 5 and collect data for at least 1hour

Switch off MAG

3.5.2.3 Routine operations

Details on the timeline and the procedures can be found in the FOP RD-GEN-9.

Active rehearsals of any planned, previously untested command sequences are necessary for any single-event such as a flyby. These will be individual for each event. Also for well tried sequences an active health check gathering at least a small amount of data is preferable.

The only commissioning activity that may be required for IES prior to swingbys, fly-bys, and comet phase to commission the instrument would be to perform a new table upload to change the science acquisition configuration. Depending on the tables already in IES, this may not be needed. Otherwise, no other commissioning activity is anticipated.

For MIP & MAG no particular commissioning activities are required before active phases. This goes also for LAP, though uploads of new macros may occasionally be needed (done by normal telecommands). LAP operations for an active phase will typically start and end with internal calibration and photoemission determination activities controlled by ordinary OBCPs.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

: 241

3.5.3 Flight Operations Plan for each Mission Phase

For the time schedule refer to **Mission Calendar** (RD-GEN-16).

Details about the overall mission can be found in the Crema (RD-GEN-24). Operating procedures include Commissioning, Switch-on, Switch-off, and Cruise Phase Checkout. Each procedure is described in terms of 'command sequences' to be executed. 'Command sequences' are listed separately to 'Procedures'.

Each mode transition will be triggered by a single command sequence. Any contingency conditions within a mode transition will be handled by the software of the PIU.

Activities for the Checkout Phases:

- A cross calibration with IES, ICA, LAP & ROSINA during the checkout phases is anticipated.
- Upload improved Flight Software, re-examine interference, upload new Lookup tables
- MAG and ROMAP aim at cross calibrations between them.

No passive checkouts are needed for ICA. Active checkouts will be requested before all active mission phases and consist of running the instrument in test mode for preferably 20 minutes and then at the highest SID rate as possible for another 20-40 minutes.

No interesting observations are expected from Passive or Active checkout phases for MIP.

For MAG and MIP the checkout phases are mainly regarded as "instrument still alive" - checks.

For LAP, the periodic checkouts are means to monitor variation of probe photoemission (from probe bias sweeps) and internal offsets (from open calibration sweeps) over long times, as well as to verify the continued integrity of the complete operations chain from command preparation to data archive generation. In addition, the active checkouts can be used for maintenance activities.

3.5.3.1 Cruise Phase



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Date . October 06, 2

Page : 242

The Rosetta Mission will have almost 10 years of cruise, interspersed with a total of 6 planet and asteroid flybys. Science observations during this cruise is not a primary objective of the mission but frequent measurements in the interplanetary medium by the RPC instruments can produce valuable scientific information. In addition, the periodic exercise of the instruments will provide valuable instrument operational experience as well as assurance of their health by the time of comet rendezvous. This approach has proved important during the Cassini mission long cruise. Another aspect of cruise phase operation will be the opportunity for cross-calibration of the instruments.

The primary measurement objective will be the characterization of the solar wind (temperature, density, velocity, and composition) as well as the interplanetary magnetic field and plasma waves as function of heliospheric distance and longitude. At those times during which the Rosetta spacecraft will be appropriately aligned with other interplanetary spacecraft (e. g., SOHO, ACE, Cassini) coordinated multipoint measurements of structures such as coronal mass ejections (CMEs) will be important for the understanding of the evolution of such structures during their transit through the heliosphere.

Other than the predicted occurrences of such alignments, we suggest continuous RPC measurements over a 2-week period every 6 months.

Additionally there will be very interesting chances to investigate cometary dust trails and their interaction with the interplanetary magnetic field from time to time as already indicated by measurements of the spacecrafts Ulysses and Pioneer (RD-GEN-36, RD-GEN-37). Detailed calculations have been performed to investigate, whether ROSETTA will encounter a cometary orbit during its long journey to comet C-G. The trajectory of ROSETTA has been compared with the orbits of all known short-periodic comets listed in the JPL DASTCOM. Thus, Table 3.5-1 displays these encounters for the whole mission. An encounter here is defined as the ROSETTA s/c passing a comets orbit within a distance of smaller than 0.01 AU.

We strongly recommend to switch on the RPC-MAG a few days before the encounter takes place. This would provide us the data needed to estimate, whether the solar wind is disturbed, or not.

Secondly we propose to switch on the Lander magnetometer ROMAP as well, to conduct combined measurements, which will improve the possibility to minimize any s/c generated noise and to get more information about the s/c field.



Issue : **2** Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

: 243

We will perform a detailed analysis of the other encounters as well.

Comet	Date	Minimum distance
		to orbit [AU]
21P/Giacobini-Zinner	09.09. 2004	0.0036
P/Linear 2000 G1	25.03.2005	0.0088
P/Linear 2000 G1	04.11.2006	0.0091
112P/Urata-Niijima	07.05.2006	0.0048
126P/IRAS	10.02.2006	0.0069
103P/Hartley	04.11.2007	0.0070
45P/Honda-Mrkos-	17.04.2007	0.0083
Pajdusakova		
88P/Howell	03.06.2008	0.0042
P/Linear 2003 O2	30.05.2009	0.0049
63P/Wild	06.04.2010	0.0065
P/Scotti 2001 X2	01.04.2011	0.0094
P/Lagerkvist 1996 R2	01.08.2011	0.0073
P/Mueller 1998 U2	28.06.2012	0.0022

Table 3.5-1: ROSETTA'S Encounters with short periodic Comets



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 244

3.5.3.2 Mars Fly By

Key Elements of the Solar Wind-Mars Interaction

Compared with the Earth: Mars is **smaller** (\sim 1/2); gravity is lower (3 times); a little bit farther from the Sun (1.5 AU); and mostly no significant planetary B-field, but multiple **B-anomalies** of small spatial scale in the crust.

Consequence of absence of intrinsic B-field:

solar wind - Mars interaction is probably a Venus-like ionospheric interaction rather than an Earth - like magnetospheric one; low gravitational field → neutral exosphere deeply interacts with solar wind. Comet-like features expected.

lonosphere presents an obstacle to solar wind flow:

bow shock ahead of the planet:

smaller planet size & lack of substantial planetary B-field → bow shock closer to planet centre than at Earth (1.6 R_M at Mars, 13 R_E at Earth in subsolar direction; $1 R_M = 3390 \text{ km}$, $1 R_F = 6371 \text{ km}$).

Bow shock preceded by electron and ion foreshocks:

plasma waves; suprathermal particles; B-field fluctuations.

Behind bow shock, in Martian sheath:

solar wind slowed, compressed, heated, & diverted (draped) around upper part of ionosphere before filling the wake.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 245

Inside ~ 1 000 km altitude:

ion plasma, mainly O⁺, of ionospheric origin & photo electrons; O⁺ plasma forms a **mantle** over the ionospheric «obstacle»; flows in anti-sunward direction as in neighbouring sheath, but at a lower **velocity** (mantle is **mass loaded** by O⁺ planetary ions).

In Martian wake:

- Heavy ions (O⁺,O₂⁺, CO⁺...);
- move together with light ions (H⁺ & probably He⁺).

New plasma boundary:

- Proton fluxes (solar wind included) drop out in wake, planetary O⁺ fluxes increase;
- thermal plasma, E-field, B-field signatures.
- → new plasma boundary (planetopause, magnetopause, ioncomposition boundary, protonopause or magnetic pile-up boundary)

actually exists at Mars;

is highly likely the real obstacle to solar wind flow (instead of ionosphere).

Bow shock and Planetopause Modellings

The best fits to the Martian shock and the planetopause crossings identified from the PWS data onboard PHOBOS-2 are shown below:

Terminator altitudes:

Shock:: $5540 \text{ km} (2.63 \text{ R}_{\text{M}})$ Magnetic pile-up boundary: $1250 \text{ km} (1.37 \text{ R}_{\text{M}})$

Ionospheirc N_e peak (30000 cm⁻³): 170 km Ionopause (not observed): should be~1000 km



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 246

Subsolar altitudes:

Shock:: 1950 km (1.57 R_M) Magnetic pile-up boundary : 660 km (1.19 R_M)

lonospheirc N_e peak (100000 cm⁻³): 125 km lonopause (not observed): should be ~ 300 km




Figure 3.5-6: Martian Bow Shock and Pile-up Boundary



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 247

Electron Density and Temperature, Debye-Length, and Electron Plasma Frequency expected near Planet Mars

VIKING 1 &2 Observations for 35°- 55° Solar Zenith Angles and 69 – 76 Solar Radio Fluxes, F_{10.7} (Hanson et al, 1977)

Altitude, km	N _e , cm ⁻³	T _e , K	λ_{D} , cm	F _{pe} , MHz
130	2.4 * 10 ⁵	150	0.2	4.4
200	~ 104	350	1.3	0.9
300	~ 400	3 * 10 ³	19	0.18

Table 3.5-2: Martian Plasma Parameter observed by VIKING

Typical Solar Wind Values

Ram Pressure, dyn cm ⁻²	N _e , cm ⁻³	T _e , K	λ_D , cm	F _{pe} , MHz
1.0 – 1.5 * 10 ⁻⁸	4	10 ⁵	1090	0.018

Table 3.5-3: Solar Wind Parameter in vicinity of Mars

Electron Density (cm⁻³) for two Solar Zenith Angles and two Solar Radio Fluxes, F_{10.7}: Empirical Model (Nielsen et al, 1995)

	Solar Radio Flux, F _{10.7}			
Altitude, km	50		100	
130	130000	38000	205000	52000
200	8000	4500	51000	24000
300	-	-	5500	2200
	20	80	20	80
	Solar Zenith Angle, deg			

Table 3.5-4: Electron and Radio Fluxes at Mars



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 3.5-7: Mars Swing By - 1



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev.

Date : October 06, 2011

: 18




Figure 3.5-8: Mars Swing By - 2



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 3.5-9: Mars Swing By - 3



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011




Figure 3.5-10: Mars Swing By - 4



Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011 : 252




Figure 3.5-11: Mars Swing By Geometry, Plasmaphysical Situation



Issue : **2** Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 253




Figure 3.5-12: Mars Fly By Geometry, side view




Figure 3.5-13: Mars Fly By Geometry, northern view



Reference : **RO-RPC-UM** Issue : **2** Rev.

ssue : 2 Rev. : 18
Date : October 06, 2011

Date : Octo Page : 254




Figure 3.5-14: Mars Fly By Geometry, Projections



Issue : **2** Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 255




Figure 3.5-15: Mars Fly by, 3D Model




Figure 3.5-16: Mars Fly by with magnetic field model



Issue : **2** Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 256




Figure 3.5-17: Mars Fly by & Martian Magnetosphere

Additional information can be found in RD-GEN-13.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : **October 06, 2011**

Page : 257

3.5.3.3 Earth Fly By

Scientific Objectives of the RPC Instruments during the ROSETTA Earth Flyby:

Sensor Calibration

The commissioning campaigns verified the technical integrity of the RPC instruments and their basic scientific performances. However, as measurements have been made only in the tenuous solar wind plasma with its weak interplanetary magnetic field and only low amplitude wave fields the close flyby of Rosetta at planet Earth will provide the RPC sensors with an environment ideal for a full check out of the science performance of the RPC sensors with special emphasize paid to calibration issues.

Magnetospheric physics

The Earth encounter of a spacecraft coming out of deep space is a very valuable tool to do in particular magnetospheric studies. Previous encounters such as that one of the GIOTTO space in 1990 [RD-GEN-32], Galileo [RD-GEN-33], or more recently the CASSINI flyby at Earth [RD-GEN-34] have proven this statement.

In case of the Rosetta Earth flyby EF1 at March 04, 2005 a very special situation emerges as Rosetta flew almost along the nightside magnetotail before it reaches its perigee at a distance of about 2000 km in the dayside plasmasphere. The input magnetopause crossing occured early on March 4, 2005 at a distance 0f 40-50 $R_{\rm E}$ from Earth. Rosetta exits the magnetosphere at around 12:00 on March 5, 2004 at the dusk side of the magnetosphere.

Figure 3.5-18 and Figure 3.5-19 show the Rosetta trajectory (blue) during EF1 in the y-x plane and the z-x plane. A Tsyganenko model has been used to model the terrestrial magnetic field. Bow shock (black) and magnetopause (red) are also indicated.

This special trajectory of the Rosetta spacecraft allows a very detailed analysis of any magnetotail activity occurring during the flyby. In particular, the time history of any substorm activity can be observed. If conditions are favourable, for example, plasmoid motion along the magnetotail can be observed and studied in detail. Also, as the entry into the magnetotail will occur at a rather large distance a length axis cut of the magnetic tail close to the neutral sheet can be studied.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 258




Figure 3.5-18: The Rosetta trajectory (blue) during EF1: x-y plane.




Figure 3.5-19: The Rosetta trajectory (blue) during EF1: z-x plane.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 259

Furthermore, at the time of the flyby ESAs CLUSTER fleet is located in the near-Earth solar wind regime which enables one to use Rosetta (CLUSTER) as a downstream (upstream) monitor for CLUSTER (Rosetta). This situation promises a richness of magnetospheric observations, comparable to the CASSINI Earth flyby results summarized in [RD-GEN-34]. Also, the Double Star s/c was located close to the sub-solar point, crossing the magnetopause while Rosetta is in the terrestrial magnetosphere.

An international observational campaign including the Cassini, CLUSTER, Double Star, ACE, and Polar satellites as well as ground based observations will be organized to make Rosetta's first Earth encounter a highlight in the scientific career of this cometary explorer.

Rosetta Mars Flyby Preparations

The Earth flyby also provides the only opportunity to verify the science operations modes for the Mars flyby in a realistic environment.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 260

3.5.3.4 Asteroid Fly By

The mission planning envisages flybys at two flybys. This chapter will give a small overview about the target Asteroids STEINS and LUTETIA.

Target Asteroids:

2867 STEINS is a very small asteroid of only 10 km diameter. Its semi-major axis is 2.36 AU, the encounter takes place at 2.4 AU. Steins needs 3.63 years to orbit the sun. The excentricity is about 0.145 and the inclination 9.94°. The Rotational Period is about 6.05 h. The last Perihel passage happened at 2001-11-03. Steins is an E-Type asteroid.

21 LUTETIA with a diameter of ~100 km is one of the largest asteroids ever visited by a spacecraft. With a semi-major axis of 2.43 AU and an eccentricity of 0.16 Lutetia orbits the Sun in 3.8 years. The excentricity is about 0.16 and the inclination 3.06°. The rotational period is about 8.1h.

The last Perihle passage happened at 2004-04-14. Results of spectral analyses yield that Lutetia is a M-Type asteroid. Thus, it will be the first M-Type Asteroid ever visited by a s/c!

Flyby Parameter:

Asteroid	2867 Steins	21 Lutetia
Date	Sep 05, 2008,18:30	July 10, 2010
Distance	~800 km	3000 km
Flyby Velocity	~ 8.62 km/s	~ 15km/s

Table 3.5-5: Asteroidal FlyBy Parameter



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

: 261

Estimated Magnetic Field

With equation

$$B_{CA}(r_{CA}, r_{body}) = \mu_0 \frac{M}{3} (\frac{r_{body}}{r_{CA}})^3$$

r_{CA}	Flyby Distance at
	Flyby Distance at Closest Approach
r _{body}	Radius of Celestial
	Body
B_{CA}	Magnetic Field at
	Magnetic Field at Closest Approach
М	Magnetization

and an estimated magnetization of 110 A/m (which is an estimate of the magnetization of Asteroid Braille) a magnetic field at a distance of 3000 km for LUTETIA results of only B_{CA}=0.21 nT. Table 3.5-6 shows the results for other flyby distances:

Flyby Distances [km]	B _{CA} [nT]	B _{CA} [nT]
i iyay zacamoo [i]	STEINS	LUTETIA
4000		0.09
3500		0.13
3000		0.21
2500		0.37
2000		0.72
1700	0.001	1.17
1000	0.01	5.76
500	0.05	46.0
100	5.76	7666

Table 3.5-6: Asteroid Magnetic Fields & Encounter Distance Relation

An estimation for STEINS seems rather useless. Due to its small diameter and the large flyby distance the expected fields are too small to be investigated.



Issue : **2** Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 262

Flyby Trajectory:

STEINS:



Figure 3.5-20: The Orbit of Asteroid STEINS




Figure 3.5-21: Rosetta's flyby at Asteroid STEINS in September 2008



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 263

LUTETIA:




Figure 3.5-22: The Orbit of Asteroid LUTETIA




Figure 3.5-23 Rosetta's flyby at Asteroid LUTETIA in July 2010



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 264

Operational Mode:

To get a maximum amount of information, instruments should be set to Burst Mode during the encounters.

Evidence of a magnetosphere:

	Lutetia	Steins
Estimated Surface field @110 A/m	92000 nT	92000 nT
Stopping field @MP	31 nT	39 nT
Minimum required surface field	37 nT	131 nT
Required surface field for lateral stability	4880 nT	2990000 nT
Magnetosphere	possible	Not possible

Lutetia: A calculation of Greenstadt's conditions for asteroidal magnetospheres yields the assumption that Siwa could build up a magnetosphere. A surface field of about 4880 nT would be enough to provide lateral stability. With an estimated magnetization of 110 A/m the resulting B_{sur} is 92000 nT, about a factor of 20 higher than necessary.

Steins: Although the first and the second condition could be met, the required surface field to fulfill the third condition is too large to be realised. Unless Otwara is a burnt out Borg Cube...

Additional information concerning the Asteroid Flybys can be found in RD-GEN-12

3.5.3.5 Comet Fly By

Information can be found in RD-GEN-21 and RD-GEN-17.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 265

3.5.4 Interferences

IES: Nothing significant for IES here

ICA: Nothing to add for ICA

LAP:LAP observes several non-physical narrowband emissions at frequencies below 8 kHz. None of them is so severe as to constitute a problem. The only clear interference observed from other instruments is the obvious case when we hand over one of the probes to MIP in LDL mode. This is well understood and is handled within RPC. In addition, thruster firings give a very clear signature in LAP data, but this is easily identified and causes no operational constraint (Section 3.3.6).

MIP:

Interferences observed by MIP are:
266 kHz (50 mV/m), not due to RPC instruments
49 kHz, 98 kHz (13 mV/m) and some upper harmonics
(6.5 mV/m), due to RPC instruments
154 kHz (6.5 mV/m), not permanent.

MAG:

During all the checkout and flyby phases lots of noise and disturbance has been recognized. Due to the huge level of activities on the s/c it can not clearly be stated where the disturbance is originated. Two sources, however, could be definitely identified:

Reaction Wheels

The comparison of the Reaction Wheel frequencies and the measured dynamic spectra of the magnetic field proofs that the rotating wheels can definitely be seen in the magnetic field data. For this analysis the actual wheel frequencies stored in NAAD6014, NAAD6024, NAAD6034 & NAAD6044 were folded down to the frequency range (wrt. the current Nyquist frequency) scanned by the magnetometer. Result: The disturbance is seen in the Burst mode (SID3) and from time to time in the normal mode (SID2) with amplitudes in the order of 2nT. A dynamic disturbance elimination algorithm has been developed and is applied to generate RW corrected LEVEL H data.

Heaters

During the most time of ESB1 the SSP heaters were operated autonomously. The current fed into these heaters generated a



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 266

disturbing magnetic field in the order of 1nT at the RPCMAG sensors. These disturbance was eliminated semi-manually leading to Heater corrected LEVEL_K data. We hope that these heaters will never be switched on again while the SSP is connected to the orbiter and MAG is operated.

LAP→MAG Interference

During the PC10/LAP-MAG Interference test in November 2009 we identified a new source of disturbance: RPCLAP is generating a signal which is seen by RPCMAG at constant frequencies. The signal appears at 3.25 Hz or 3.65 Hz and their second harmonics (6.5 Hz and 7.3 Hz) in the MAG data. It seems to be independent of a LAP mode change. The frequency of this disturbance seems to vary randomly between the both values by switching on/off the LAP instrument. Details can be found in RD-MAG-34. As the disturbance occurs at constant frequencies only, it can be eliminated easily by the RPCMAG analysis software.

COSAC,PTOLEMY Interference

During the LUTETIA flyby the Lander instruments COSAC and PTOLEMY were operating in parallel with RPCMAG and ROMAP. Switching on/off PTOLEMY or COSAC could be seen in the RPCMAG data as jumps of about 4 nT. Also the sniff mode of PTOLEMY caused additional disturbance in the order of a few nT. The impact to the ROMAP instrument was significantly higher (in

The impact to the ROMAP instrument was significantly higher (in the order of a few hundred nT) due to the closer position of COSAC and PTOLEMY to ROMAP.

Elimination of these disturbance signals is almost not possible.

However, after the delivery of the Lander this problem will have been vanished for RPCMAG.

Details can be found in RD-MAG-37.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 267

4 Mode Descriptions

4.1 Mode Transition Diagram

The following diagrams show the mode transitions of the individual experiment modes. A combination of all these specific instrument modes is possible on RPC level. A detailed overview of the required power and needed TM budget is given in section 2.4. The following diagrams show only some indicative numbers.

4.1.1 PIU




Figure 4.1-1: PIU Mode Transition Diagram



Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

: 268

4.1.2 IES

A detailed mode description of the IES modes is given in 4.2.1. The functions and commanding of IES are described in detail in section 3.1.2.2.




Figure 4.1-2: IES Mode Transition Diagram.



: **2** Issue Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 269

4.1.3 ICA

The ICA experiment can switch from any telemetry/data reduction mode combination to any other by means of commands. The ICA can be switched to any TM/data reduction mode combination by a single 16-bit combination command. Switching high voltages ON and reboot from EEPROM is possible with or without default context.

The modes listed in Figure 4.1-3 are all modes available for ICA. The mode names in Table 3.2-4 are specific for the onboard control procedure (OBCP) only. Maintenance and quiet are both IDLE mode, the names in the OBCP are used for consistency with IES. The HV ON OBCP modes indicate that high voltage is switched on by the OBCP.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 270




Figure 4.1-3: ICA Mode Transition Diagram



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 271

4.1.4 LAP

The fundamental LAP mode transition concept can be illustrated as in Figure 4.1-4. At boot, LAP is started in maintenance mode. Transition to any science mode is achieved by starting the relevant macro. To go to another science mode, the running macro is stopped, which returns LAP to maintenance mode, and the new macro is started.

In practice, the user finds an even simpler situation for ordinary science operations, as these are normally controlled by use of OBCP 804. For a user of this OBCP, it appears that LAP is started directly into the scientific mode corresponding to the macro selected by the user as an argument to the OBCP. Transit to other science modes is achieved by calls to the same OBCP with other macro identifiers as argument, and all transitions in and out of maintenance mode and/or power on/off are handled by the OBCP. Even the limited complexity of Figure 4.1-4 is thus simplified to the user.




Figure 4.1-4: LAP Mode Transition Diagram



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 272

4.1.5 MIP

For the Sequence definition refer to RD-MIP-5.




Figure 4.1-5:MIP Mode Transition Diagram



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 273

Figure 4.1-5 shows the two MIP main modes, the MIP science mode, and LDL mode, with the three TM rates available. From this point of view this diagram is complete. The quiet mode is a particular configuration of MIP science mode in which the antenna is not transmitting (passive). In OBCP operations it is given by table 00 or table 01 (parameter VRPD1268).

4.1.6 MAG

There is only one fixed mode of operation for MAG which is 20 vectors per second for both sensors. MAG wakes up in this mode just after power MAG on. (No other command, no range switching!)

Only depending on bit rate capabilities the PIU can reduce the MAG bit rate just by decimating and filtering down these 20 vectors per second (ref. Section 4.2.5 for the detailed mode description)

Issue 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011 Date

Page : 274




Figure 4.1-6: MAG Mode Transition Diagram



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 275

4.2 Detailed Mode Description

4.2.1 IES

The operating modes of IES are grouped according to the commands that are allowed to be executed and which telemetry types can be output. The IES state diagram is shown in Figure 4.1-2.

When powered on, the IES instrument runs its boot PROM code. The boot PROM, by default, checks all RAM, EEPROM and PROM resources within the IES instrument and reports their status in housekeeping. Whether the PAUSE-PROM or RESUME-PROM mode is entered depends on the conditions shown in the diagram.

PAUSE-PROM prevents the PROM from going automatically into the EEPROM code so that telecommanding or maintenance mode telecommands can be executed. The boot PROM can execute the entire suite of maintenance mode commands but only a limited set of telecommands. In order to program the EEPROM using the activate patch function in maintenance mode, IES must be running from the boot PROM. This is because the boot PROM code runs using a lower clock frequency which is amenable to the EEPROM write timing. The EEPROM code is run at a faster clock frequency to accommodate all the tasks that must be executed during science data acquisition. Housekeeping is generated every 32 seconds. Maintenance and event messages are possible from this mode.

RESUME-PROM is a waiting mode to allow telecommands or maintenance mode commands to be received by IES before automatically going to the EEPROM code. Housekeeping is generated every 32 seconds. Event messages are possible from this mode.

LVSCI-EEPROM is the first EEPROM mode entered and many of the IES commands to be executed. This is the mode used for low-voltage stimulation operation. Housekeeping is generated every 32 seconds. Science and event messages are possible from this mode.

HVSCI-EEPROM is entered if an IES-INSTR-PROG-MODE HVSCI telecommand is received. Here, high-voltage telecommands can be executed to turn on the HV supplies and manipulate their settings. All plasma science data are acquired in this mode. Housekeeping is generated every 32 seconds. Science and event messages are possible from this mode. The science telemetry modes are described in Table 4.2-1.

LVENG-EEPROM is used for executing maintenance commands and



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

: 276

memory manipulation telecommands. Note that the EEPROM cannot be written in this mode due to the timing constraints mentioned in the PAUSE-PROM description. Housekeeping is generated every 32 seconds. Maintenance and event messages are possible from this mode.

Telemetry Mode	Sample Rate	Packet Period	Raw Packet Length	Raw Bit Rate (No header)	Name
			(No header)		
SID1 (Science)	This mode, while still supported, is not expected to be	Science: One packet per 1024 s	Science packet: 5088 bits	Science: 5 bps	Minimal
	used. Science data are acquired and collapsed to reduce volume.	HK: 32 s	HK: 192 bits	HK: 6 bps	
	HK acquired over 32-s period				
SID2 (Science)	Science data acquired in 128-s to 1024-s cycles with	Science: One packet per 32 seconds. Data are	Science packet: varies according to data	Science: 50 bps	Normal
	sample periods from 62.5 ms up to 500 ms. Data are	telemetered over the same amount of time that the	acquisition cycle time	HK: 6 bps	
	collapsed to reduce volume.	data were originally acquired	HK: 192 bits		
	HK acquired over 32-s period	HK: 32 s			
SID3 (Science)	Science data acquired in 128-s to 1024-s cycles with	Science: One packet per 32 seconds. Data are	Science packet: varies according to data	Science: 250 bps	Burst
	sample periods from 62.5 ms up to 500	telemetered over the same amount	acquisition cycle time	HK: 6 bps	
	ms. Data are collapsed to reduce volume.	of time that the data were originally acquired.	HK: 192 bits		
	HK acquired over 32-s period	HK: 32 s			
Maintenance	HK acquired over	HK: One packet	HK: 192 bits	HK: 6 bps	Maintenance
	32 s period	per 32 s	MD: 32000 bits	MD: 1000 bps	
	Memory dump packets are also possible if they are commanded.	MD: One packet per 32 s Maximum number of 16-bit words per	MD. 32000 bits	NID. 1000 bps	
		packet is approximately 2000			

Table 4.2-1: IES Modes (SID+HK) and data rates



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 277

The power for IES is about 0.5 W when first booting up (no high-voltage power supply), but can be on the order of 2W for all science modes, which is its normal mode of operation.

Constraints:

No special constraints apply to any mode besides the ones listed in section 3.3.6

4.2.2 ICA

The basic operation consists of stepping through 32 or 96 energy HV deflection steps for each of 16 entrance HV deflection steps (polar angles). A complete cycle (scan) takes 64 seconds (32 levels) or 192 seconds (96 levels) respectively. The sampling time is 102.9 milliseconds. Each sample produces an imager matrix of 32 mass bins times 16 sectors (azimuth angles).

The data acquisition and transmission is synchronized to an acquisition (start) pulse. For ICA that pulse is received once per 32 seconds and for IMA once per 16 seconds.

All data to and from the experiment is transmitted over a serial 1355-link from/to a central unit that in turn interfaces to the spacecraft systems.

Each format starts with a 16-byte long standard header with a 3-byte long synchronization pattern.

Except for the header and some data in the special modes all data is by default converted to an 8-bit hybrid floating code (F8) followed by a loss less bit data compression.

Thus, most ICA-IMA data formats will float in the ESA telemetry packets. Some may, however, be synchronized.

4.2.2.1 Telemetry modes.

The experiments have to their disposal a number of telemetry modes (here named SID, Science ID). The SID efines the TM rate available. The SID numbers below are the internal ICA-IMA numbers that is also used in commanding. Note that direct (near real time) TM is mostly not available. The TM data is buffered onboard the S/C. The TM rate below then describes the reasonable amount to create to stay within the buffer allowance allocated for the planned S/C session before tapping to a ground S/C tracking station. Different ICA modes are different data reduction schemes to fit available TM.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 278

For Details of the internal mode defintions refer to the Documents RD-ICA-2, RD-ICA-7 and RD-ICA-8.

Telemetry Mode	Sample Rate	Packet Period	Raw Packet Length (No header)	Raw Bit Rate (No header)	Name
SID1 (000)	Scan of 32 or 96 Energies per 16min, details Table 4.2-6	One packet per 960 seconds.			Minimum, Min
SID2 (001)	Scan of 96 Energies per 192 s details Table 4.2-10	One packet per 192 seconds.	2478 bytes	103.9 bps	Normal, Nrm
SID3 (010)	Scan of 96 Energies per 192 s details Table 4.2-11	One packet per 32 seconds.	4092 bytes	1027.0 bps	Burst, Bst
SID4 (011)		One packet per 32 seconds.	1074 bytes	272.5 bps	Calibration, Cal
SID5		One packet per 32 seconds.	3198 bytes	803.5 bps	Special, Spc
SID6		One packet per 32 seconds.	600 bytes	154 bps	Test, TST
HK		One packet per 32 seconds.	24 bytes	10.5 bps	Housekeeping

Table 4.2-2: ICA Telemetry modes

Mode	Index	Masses	Azimuth angles	Energie s	Max sets
Idle	0				
Mspo	2	2	1	32	15
Msis	4	6	1	96	5
Mexm	5	32	1	96	5

Table 4.2-3: Characteristics of the different MINIMUM modes

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

: 279

Mode	Index	Masses	Azimuth angles	Energie s	Polar angles
Nrm-0	8	6	16	96	16
Nrm-1	9	6	16	96	8
Nrm-2	10	6	16	96	4
Nrm-3	11	6	16	96	2
Nrm-4	12	6	8	96	2
Nrm-5	13	6	4	96	2
Nrm-6	14	3	4	96	2
Nrm-7	15	3	4	96	1

Table 4.2-4: Characteristics of the different NORMAL modes

Mode	Index	Masses	Azimuth angles	Energies	Polar angles
Har-0	16	16	16	96	16
Har-1	17	16	16	96	8
Har-2	18	16	16	96	4
Har-3	19	8	16	96	4
Har-4	20	4	16	96	4
Har-5	21	2	16	96	4
Har-6	22	2	8	96	4
Har-7	23	2	8	96	2
Exm-0	24	32	16	96	16
Exm-1	25	32	16	96	8
Exm-2	26	32	16	96	4
Exm-3	27	32	16	96	2
Exm-4	28	32	8	96	2
Exm-5	29	32	4	96	2
Exm-6	30	32	2	96	2
Exm-7	31	32	2	96	1

Table 4.2-5: Characteristics of the different BURST modes.

The Burst mode is split into High Angular Resolution (HAR) and Burst Mass Matrix modes (EXM).

Constraints:

No special constraints apply to any mode besides the ones listed in section 3.3.6



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 280

4.2.3 LAP

The Langmuir probe can be operated in different modes.

Constraints:

No special constraints apply to any mode besides the ones listed in section 3.3.6

4.2.3.1 Standard LAP Modes

When LAP starts it always begins in maintenance mode. From maintenance mode the instrument is commanded into several different scientific modes. Now each scientific mode is characterized by the underlying macro that is executed, so to simplify things we can view a macro as a mode. See RD-LAP-5 for details about macros. A complete table is listed in RD-LAP-7. For details about commanding of macro execution see RD-LAP-4. Note that all necessary commanding is embedded in OBCP 804 and for LDL OBCP 805.

Below is a figure describing how macros are stored in memory and how they are executed, a maximum of 80 macros can be stored in the flash memory. In addition, 8 default macros reside in the prom memory.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18


is done by issuing the ReadWriteMacro command.

It reads or writes 8 macros at one time

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 281

MACRO STORAGE AND OPERATIONS



Normal operation is to run a macro from FLASH Following commands are sent from OBCP 804:

(But macros can be put together .. so maximum

size is all eight in one macro, thus 512 commands).

DogProm Turn on FLASH memory and watchdog off

ReadWriteMacro(Read mode,Block 0 to 9) Read a block

DogProm Turn off FLASH memory and watchdog on

SetTelemetryRate(minimum,normal,burst) Sets telemetry rate
ExecuteMacro(x) Run macro (x=0 to 7)
StartSampling Allows instrument to start

Note that all commands above are mebedded in OBCP 804 or a LAP FCP

Figure 4.2-1: LAP Macro Storage and Operations

For currently (November 2005) implemented macros in LAP, see list in Table 4.2-6. Note that this list is to be seen as an example, as macros are intended to be updated to optimize science operations:



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

DESCRIPTION	MODE ID
Transmitting Probe 1 5 KHz	MCID0X100
Transmitting Probe 2 5 KHz	MCID0X101
Transmitting Probe 1 6.7 KHz	MCID0X102
Transmitting Probe 2 6.7 KHz	MCID0X103
Open Sweep Test Calibration	MCID0X104
Internal Resistor Sweep calibration	MCID0X105
Transmitting Probe 1	MCID0X106
Transmitting Probe 2	MCID0X107
Generic macro not for LDL	MCID0X200
Density mode, 20 Bit ADCs truncated, downsampled to 0.83Hz	MCID0X201
Density, normal mode alternating sweeps and time series.	MCID0X212
NE mode Sweeping on sensor 1. E-field using 20 bit ADC's on sensor 2 truncated to 16 bits	MCID0X203
Density, Burst mode alternating sweeps and time series.	MCID0X204
Density, Full 20 bit data time series	MCID0X205
Generic macro for LDL	MCID0X206
E-field mode, 16 Bit ADC's downsampled 4 times	MCID0X207
EE Mode, 20 Bit ADC's FULL AQP E-Field	MCID0X300
EN Mode, E-Field P1, Density Sweep P2	MCID0X301
Density P1,E-field P2, Fix Dbias 10 V 8KHz Filt. (Sensitive passive mode)	MCID0X302
EE Mode, 16 Bit ADC's 8KHz Filt	MCID0X303
Alternating fine sweeps P1 & P2, Offset 5, Otherwise as Prom Macro 2	MCID0X304
Alternating fine sweeps P1 & P2, Offset 7, Otherwise as Prom Macro 2	MCID0X305
Alternating fine sweeps P1 & P2, Offset 9, Otherwise as Prom Macro 2	MCID0X306
Alternating fine sweeps P1 & P2, Offset 11, Otherwise as Prom Macro 2	MCID0X307
16 Bit ADC's P1 & P1 Down sampling 2 times	MCID0X400
16 Bit ADC's P1 & P1 Down sampling 4 times	MCID0X401
16 Bit ADC's P1 & P1 Down sampling 8 times	MCID0X402
16 Bit ADC's P1 & P1 Down sampling 16 times	MCID0X403
20 Bit AD"s P1 & P2 Down sampling 30 times	MCID0X404
16 Bit ADC's Density Mode P1 & P2 long records 4096*2 samples for Interferometry	MCID0X405
Empty	MCID0X406
Empty	MCID0X407
Alternating Log Compressed sweeps P1 & P2, Fix density bias on non sweeping probes	MCID0X500
Alternating Log Compressed sweeps P1 & P2	MCID0X501
Density Difference P1-P2	MCID0X502
Not incl. in LAP_FCP_Definitions_0.9	MOIDONOUZ
Minimum TM.Normal LDL or mixed LDL *	MCID0X806
Normal TM, Normal LDL or mixed LDL *	MCID0X906
Burst TM,Normal LDL or mixed LDL *	MCID0XA06
Table 4.0.0. Links EBE	012070.00

Table	4 2-6	· I is	st of I	ΙAΡ	Macros
I abic	T.Z-U	. LIS	ווטוכ	$ \sim$ 1	IVIACIOS

Telemetry Mode	Sample Rate	Packet Period	Raw Packet Length (No header)	Raw Bit Rate (No header)	Name
SID1		One packet per 1024 seconds.	192 bytes	1.5 bps	Minimum
SID2	Details: Table 4.2-8	One packet per 32 seconds.	234 bytes	58.5 bps	Normal
SID3	Details Table 4.2-9	One packet per 10.7 seconds.	2988 bytes	2241,0 bps	Burst

Table 4.2-7: LAP Telemetry modes



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 283

		Frequenc		
		у		
0x104	Calibration			
0x212	Swp, HF		256	256 s
0x503	Vsc, HF	0.9 Hz	272	160 s
0x505	LDL, HF,N	0.45 Hz	96	160 s
0x506	N,HF,Swps	0.45 Hz	96	160 s
0x600	HF,Swp		256	256 s
0x700	Vsc,HF	0.9 Hz	160	96 s
0x701	Vsc,HF	0.9 Hz	160	96 s
0x702	Vsc,HF	0.9 Hz	160	96 s
0x703	LDL,Vsc,HF	1.8 Hz	160	96 s
0x705	Vsc,HF	0.9 Hz	272	160 s
0x803	LDL,N,HF	1.8 Hz	160	96 s

Table 4.2-8: Characteristics of the different LAP Normal modes

Macro	Purpose	Sample Frequenc	Samples	Cadency	
		У			
0x504	Vsc, HF	57.8 Hz	432	32 s	
0x604	N, HF,swps	28.9 Hz	1840	96 s	
0x704	LDL,Vsc,Wave	57.8 Hz	2416	32 s	
0x706	Vsc, HF	57.8 Hz	2624	96 s	
0x804	LDL,N,HF	57.8 Hz	2416	32 s	

Table 4.2-9: Characteristics of the different LAP Burst modes

4.2.3.1.1 LDL MODE

Together with the MIP instrument LAP will enter a common mode, the Long Debye Length mode (LDL Mode). In this mode the MIP instrument will have full access to one of the LAP probes. Two variants of the LDL mode are defined, the LDL Normal (see Section 4.2.3.1.2) and LDL Mixed (see Section 4.2.3.1.3).

4.2.3.1.2 LDL Normal Mode

In the LDL Normal mode LAP simply lends one probe to MIP. During this period LAP does essentially nothing with the other probe, this means LAP does nothing that is interfered or interferes with MIP transmitting or measuring. How to set up and synchronise the two instruments are described in Section 4.2.3.1.4.

4.2.3.1.3 LDL Mixed Mode

In the LDL Mixed mode LAP does mixed measurements, thus MIP uses the probe in every second AQP and LAP does nothing. Interleaved between the times MIP uses the lent probe and LAP uses the other probe and MIP does nothing, (LAP could also use the probe lent out to MIP, but LAP wants to



Issue : 2 Rev. : 1 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 284

minimise relay switches). How to set up and synchronise the two instruments is described in Section 4.2.3.1.4.

4.2.3.1.4 MIP & LAP Synch.

To get the two instruments to interact smoothly with each other some kind of synchronisation is necessary. This is particularly important in the mixed mode, since if there is a shift in the AQP period with respect to the other the LAP measurement is performed when MIP sends, which is a bad timing. The synchronisation and set up of the two instruments is best handled by the S/C DMS. This method requires that the DMS can check HK and branches depending on the result. Furthermore two bits are needed in both MIP and LAP HK to achieve synchronisation. If the acknowledge in HK is negative it retries several times (about 3 times). As soon as an instrument (LAP or MIP) enters LDL mode it should set one bit to indicate this in the MIP_LDL and the LAP_LDL. It should also set a toggle bit MIP_LDL_SYNC and LAP_LDL_SYNC. The instruments toggle the synchronisation bits every AQP making it possible to synchronise the instruments by comparing these two bits.

If we are out of sync for an even number of AQPs, we are again in sync afterwards. Therefore only one bit is needed.

The instruments will be out of sync when one instrument starts up in one AQP and the other in the next, happening if commands are received at a boundary of an AQP.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 285

4.2.4 MIP

The modes are described in details in RD-MIP-5.

A sequence is a series of elementary working modes run during an acquisition period (32 seconds). Four types of sequences have been defined

MIP science sequence LDL science sequence Control sequence Table sequence.

For one science type, several sub-sequences will be defined with different series of elementary modes. The MIP science sequences correspond to the nominal operating order of the experiment around the comet when the Debye length is greater than a few millimeters and lower than ~20 cm. Transmission and reception are done with the MIP antenna. Combination of elementary modes "Survey", "Sweep" and "Passive" are run.

The LDL science sequences correspond to the nominal operating mode with MIP and LAP experiments when the Debye length is greater than ~20 cm. The Langmuir probe LAP2 is used as a long distance transmitter and MIP antenna is used for reception. Combination of modes "LDL" and "Passive" are run.

The Control sequence is a special sequence used to check the working state of the experiment when MIP is set on. It is automatically run once, before the science sequences. Its tasks are the reception and decoding of the commands coming from the PIU (configuration table) and a check-out of the experiment.

The Table sequence is defined to decode the commands which arrive during a science MIP or LDL sequence. This case occurs for example when MIP is set first in MIP modes and then in LDL mode. This sequence is like a Control sequence without experiment check-out.

Each LDL mode has to be preceded by MIP science mode.

MIP has only two operating modes: MIP mode.

LDL mode(together with LAP)

Each operating mode can be set with one of the 3 telemetry rates. MIP has no special test or calibration mode.

MIP has three science data rates: minimum with a 18-byte packet per 32s sequence, normal with a 198-byte packet per 32s sequence, burst with a 1200-byte packet per 32s sequence.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 286

MIP transmits to PIU one science packet every AQP (32 seconds).

The total telemetry data, HK + science, transmitted to the S/C (CCSDS

formatted) are:

in minimum rate: 66 bytes every 32 s, rate of 16.5 b/s, in normal rate: 246 bytes every 32 s, rate of 61.5 b/s, in burst rate: 1248 bytes every 32 s, rate of 312 b/s.

The nominal power consumption (secondary) is:

in minimum rate: 1190 mW

in normal and burst rates: 1625 mW.

The operational modes are defined within RPC.

Science Mode (Binary)	Sample Rate	Packet Period	Packet Length	Bit Rate	Spectrum Rate	Name
SID1 (000)	N/A	32 s	18 bytes	4.5	N/A	Minimum
SCIENCE				bits/s		Rate
SID 2 (001)	1/16 Hz	32 s	198	49.5	0.0625	Normal
SCIENCE			bytes	bits/s	spec/s	Rate
SID 3 (010)	13/32	32 s	1200	300	0.40625	Burst
SCIENCE	Hz		bytes	bits/s	spec/s	Rate
HK	N/A	32 s	12 bytes	3 bits/s	N/A	House
						Keeping

Table 4.2-10: MIP Modes (SID+HK) and vector rates

Constraints:

The only constraint for MIP concerns the LDL mode:

- it has to be agreed by MIP and LAP experimenters
- it starts when MIP and LAP are already on and running in their own modes.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

: 287

4.2.5 MAG

The MAG Data Modes are defined as follows:

Mode (Binary)	Sample Rate	Packet Period	Packet Length	Bit Rate	Vector Rate	Name	File Ext.
SID 1 (000)	1/32 Hz	1024 s	32 OB vec	2 bits/s	0.03125 vec/s	Minimum	_DID275
SCIENCE			1 IB vec	0.0625 bits/s	0.000976 vec/s	Mode	
SID 2 (001)	1 Hz	32 s	32 OB vec	64 bits/s	1 vec/s	Normal	_DID275
SCIENCE			1 IB vec	2 bits/s	0.03125 vec/s	Mode	
SID 3 (010)	20 Hz	16 s	320 OB vec	1280 bits/s	20 vec/s	Burst	_DID2699
SCIENCE			16 IB vec	64 bits/s	1 vec/s	Mode	
SID 4 (011)	5 Hz	32 s	160 OB vec	320 bits/s	5 vec/s	Medium	_DID1299
SCIENCE			1 IB vec	2 bits/s	0.033125 vec/s	Mode	
SID 5 (100)	1/4 Hz	128 s	32 OB vec	16 bits/s	0.25 vec/s	Low	_DID275
SCIENCE			1 IB vec	0.5 bits/s	0.007812 vec/s	Mode	
SID 6 (101)	20 Hz	16 s	320 OB vec	1280 bits/s	20 vec/s	Test	_DID275
SCIENCE			1 IB vec	4 bits/s	0.0625 vec/s	Mode	
HK	1280 Hz	32 s	8 words	4 bits/s		House	_DID27
	Internal					Keeping	_

Table 4.2-11: MAG Modes (SID+HK) and vector rates

The magnetometer vector rates for IB and OB (main) correspond to the above defined modes as follows:

Minimum Mode:

1 packet every 1024 s containing 32 vectors from OB sensor and 1 from IB sensor. (total 2112 bits/1024 s)

Normal Mode:

1 packet every 32 s containing 32 vectors from OB sensor and 1 vector from IB sensor. (total 2112 bits/ 32 s)

Burst Mode:

1 packet every 16 s containing 320 vectors from OB sensor and 16 vectors from IB sensor. (total 21504 bits/16 s)

Medium Mode:

1 packet every 32 s containing 160 vectors from OB sensor and 1 vector from IB sensor. (total 10304 bits/ 32 s)

Low Mode:

1 packet every 128 s containing 32 vectors from OB sensor and 1 vector from IB sensor. (total 2112 bits / 128 s)

Test Mode:

1 packet every 16 s containing 320 vectors from OB sensor and 1 vector from IB sensor. (total 20544 bits / 16 s)

Remark: The Test Mode will never be used in flight



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 288

• Housekeeping Mode:

1 packet every 32 s containing 8 words. (total 128 bits / 32 s)

Each vector consisting of three components XOB,YOB,ZOB or XIB,YIB,ZIB is sampled by a 20 bit A/D converter. 4 bits of identification per vector are added to get 8 bytes per vector (64 bits).

Constraints:

No special constraints apply to any mode.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 289

4.3 RPC Operation Macro Modes (ROMM)

During the past years of the ROSETTA mission and during the detailed science planning for the comet phase it turned out, that most of the tests and most of the relevant plasma investigations can be performed with a small set of instrument mode combinations.

Therefore, 9 RpcOperationMacroModes (ROMM) were defined in the following way.

RpcOperationMacroMode	ICA	IES	LAP	MAG	MIP
ROMM1	n	n	n	n	n
ROMM2	b	b	b	b	b
ROMM3	b	b	n	n	n
ROMM4	n	n	b	b	b
ROMM5	n	b	b	b	b
ROMM6	b	b	n	b	n
ROMM7	n	b	n	n	n
ROMM8	Χ	Χ	Χ	b	Χ
ROMM9	n	n	n	b	n

Table 4.3-1: RPC Operation Macro Modes

Here (n) means normal mode, (b) means burst mode and (x) means don't don't care (=leave instrument in the current state).

Using these 9 ROMMs, each RPC instrument can be set to the right telemetry mode optimized for the related science objective at the regarded mission phase. Refer to Table 4.3-2 for details.

The ROMMs define only the telemetry modes of each instrument. Therefore, a ROMM is related to the telemetry budget needed at the desired phase. This provides an optimal means for budget planning. Besides this, an instrument can have different submodes under the given telemetry mode, which define specific intrument settings but do not change the overal TM needed.

The different submodes – if existent – are not listed here but can be found in the related specific instrument user manuals, as the submode definitons are of internal interest only.

The ROMMs will act as default modes. This means that there is a standard sequence associated with each ROMM which sets the instrument (all parameters of each instrument) in a state which is perfect for the related science objective. Thus even if there is no further action available (PI on holiday,....) the instrument will work in a proper way.



Rev. : 18 : October 06, 2011

Measurement Objective	Science Objective	ICA	IES	LAP	MAG	MIP	RpcOperation MacroMode
BowShock Dynamics	SO 03	b	b	b	b	b	ROMM2
BowShock Monitoring	SO 03	n	n	n	n	n	ROMM1
ComaCompositionDetermination	SO 23	b	b	n	n	n	ROMM3
ComaDevelopment	SO 09	n	b	n	n	n	ROMM7
CometarySurvey	SO 20	n	n	n	n	n	ROMM1
DiamagneticCavityInvestigation	SO 04	n	b	b	b	b	ROMM5
DustPlasmaInteractionStudy	SO 21	n	b	b	b	b	ROMM5
FarApproachPhasePickuplons	SO 01	n	n	n	n	n	ROMM1
InsituPlasmaSurfaceInteraction	SO 02	b	b	b	b	b	ROMM2
InternalRPCCrossCalibration	SO 16	b	b	b	b	b	ROMM2
IonTailDevelopmentAndEvolution	SO 07	n	n	n	n	n	ROMM1
IonopauseNightSideStructure	SO 04	n	n	b	b	b	ROMM4
IonopauseStability	SO 04	n	n	b	b	b	ROMM4
LaterallonopauseStructureProbing	SO 04	n	b	b	b	b	ROMM5
MagneticFieldDraping	SO 07	n	n	n	n	n	ROMM1
${\bf Magnetic Field Draping During Inactive Phase}$	SO 08	n	n	n	b	n	ROMM9
NegativelonPickUpDetection	SO 14	b	b	n	n	n	ROMM3
NonGyrotropicDistribution	SO 15	b	b	n	n	n	ROMM3
NucleusActiveRegionsMapping	SO 09	b	b	b	b	b	ROMM2
PickUpofCOlons	SO 07	b	b	n	b	n	ROMM6
PickuplonInvestigation	SO 12	b	b	n	b	n	ROMM6
PileUpBoundary	SO 13	n	n	n	n	n	ROMM1
ROSINARPCCrossCalibration	SO 17	b	b	b	b	b	ROMM2
RPCROMAPCalibrationPhaseA	SO 18	Χ	Χ	Χ	b	Х	ROMM8
RPCROMAPCalibrationPhaseB	SO 18	Χ	Χ	Χ	b	Х	ROMM8
RPCROMAPCalibrationPhaseC	SO 18	Χ	Χ	Χ	b	Х	ROMM8
RPCROMAPCalibrationPhaseD	SO 18	Χ	Χ	Χ	b	Х	ROMM8
RPCROMAPCalibrationPhaseE	SO 18	Χ	Χ	Χ	b	Χ	ROMM8
RadiallonopauseStructureProbing	SO 04	n	n	b	b	b	ROMM4
StriationStructureByOpticalImaging	SO 19	n	n	n	n	n	ROMM1
UpstreamWavesInvestigation	SO 11	n	n	n	n	n	ROMM1
WakeDevelopment	SO 22	n	n	n	n	n	ROMM1

Table 4.3-2: Macro Modes used for different Science Objectives in the Comet Phase

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

: October 06, 2011

: 291

5 Operational Procedures

5.1 Ground Test Sequences / SVT

5.1.1 RPC

5.1.1.1 UFT

The procedure is defined RD-GEN-2.

5.1.1.2 IST

The procedure is defined in RD-GEN-1.

5.1.1.3 SVT

For the procedure definitions refer to the following documents:

RD-GEN-11, System Validation Test Plan

RD-RPC-1, RPC Operations Planning Document

RD-RPC-6, SVT Test Script

5.1.2 Experiments

The detailed individual procedures are defined in the documents

RO-RPC-TS-6006 **SVT Test Script**

RO-ESC-PL-5000 Flight Operations Plan

5.1.2.1 PIU


For further information refer to RD-PIU-2.

5.1.2.2 IES

For further information refer to RD-IES-4.

5.1.2.3 ICA

For further information refer to RD-ICA-1.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 292

5.1.2.4 LAP

For further information refer to RD-LAP-2.

5.1.2.5 MIP

The ground test sequences are described in RD-MIP-4. For further information refer to RD-MIP-1.

5.1.2.6 MAG

There is the same procedure for the Bench Test, the UFT, and the IST:

- For the functional test in the RPC integrated configuration it must be assured that the Mumetal can is installed properly on the boom according to installation procedure (see MAG ADP).
- Check that MAG EGSE (notebook) is connected via network to the IC-London RPC-EGSE.
- Power MAG on.
- Wait for 3 minutes and check MAG sensors to be in range (all 6 components). If out of range tune sensor position/orientation, respectively move the Mumetal can slightly in boom axis direction until all sensor components are in range.
- Check housekeeping channels versus nominal values including sensor temperatures.
- Run test about 5 minutes and control sensor reading stability.
 (Due to the LAB environment this stability will be in the order of several 10 nT per second or minute with excursions to several 100 nT, if H/W is moved close to the S/C.) Easiest control is to take the first science data packets as reference and compare al following data with these packets. There is no fixed value that can be given and no known stability of the magnetic field in the LAB; the Mumetal can just reduces ambient field by a factor of 5 10 and the technical noise in the LAB.
- The HK parameters have to be checked against the values listed in Table 2.1-1 in document RD-MAG-23.

The detailed procedures are defined by the PIU-IC team; for further information refer to RD-MAG-4.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 293

5.2 Command Sequences

5.2.1 Summary of all RPC Command Sequences

A summary of all RPC Command Sequences can be found in RD-RPC-7. The actual commanding Sequences can be found on the RPC WIKI located at

http://rpc.sp.ph.ic.ac.uk/wiki/bin/view/Commanding/WebHome

5.2.2 **OBCPs**

For information about the OBCPs refer to

- Section 2.3.3.3 (List of OBCPs)
- RD-GEN-5Section
- Section 3.2.4

5.2.3 FCPs

Latest FCP Definitions documents are

RPC: RD-RPC-7 PIU: RD-PIU-3 IES: RD-IES-2 ICA: RD-ICA-3 LAP: RD-LAP-1 MIP: RD-MIP-2 MAG: RD-MAG-6

These documents are RPC internal documents and they are maintained by IC.

The FCP's currently used can be found in the latest FOP, RD-GEN-9.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 294

5.2.4 Contingency Recovery Procedures

We have a few sequences in place which deals with powering instruments and RPC (i.e. PIU) off but none of them might be suitable for a real emergency power off, as all the procedures state preconditions. For details refer to Table 3.4-7.

The listed procedures are part of the complete RPC FCP list and are no additional ones.

RPC vital parameters such as voltages and temperatures are monitored by PIU (and DMS) and actions are taken autonomously on board in case of an out-of-limit parameter.

The emergency procedures we are dealing with at this stage are procedures which are meant for handling contingencies from ground, should there be the necessity.

5.3 Usage of the EQM

PIU:

All the command RPC command sequences used for the EQM will be coordinated by the RPC TM. EQM usage is planned only for testing new command sequences before running them on ROSETTA. The time and personnel budget for this can hardly be assessed. However, the only task for the ESOC operators, will be the uploading of the desired commands to the EQM which will not consume much time.

Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 295

IES:

From the IES point-of-view, we have a digital simulator (no high-voltage power supply or optics) at SwRI for testing initial scripts. If there are issues that require PIU interaction, an IES simulator at Imperial College can be used for RPC-level testing. This simulator has the same configuration as the one at SwRI.

The IES team also has an EM unit at ESOC onboard the EM S/C at ESOC. Functionally, this unit is the same as the simulators at SwRI and IC; however, it is part of a testbed that can be used with real S/C scripts and is valuable from that standpoint. The EM S/C is required whenever new IES scripts are developed, especially to uncover S/C commanding, scripting and timing issues.

Typically, because the scripts would have been run beforehand (albeit "by hand" rather then with real S/C commanding or scripting), testing of IES-specific issues by ESA personnel should be minimal.

When the scripts have been translated by S/C personnel, the IES team should have reviewed it prior to it running on the EM S/C. The overall flow is viewed to be:

- A new IES activity is required, so the requirements for it must be expressed. In particular, what output is expected, volume of output and amount of on-time.
- IES team contacts Imperial College and ESA regarding intent for planning purposes.
- The series of commands and the timing required to accomplish the requirements are written to a test plan, typically into an Excel spreadsheet.
- The Excel spreadsheet is run "by-hand" on the IES simulator at SwRI, and if RPC interaction is needed, the test should be run at IC.
- The Excel spreadsheet is passed onto ESA for translation into a S/C script.
- The generated S/C script is reviewed by SwRI and IC and updated if necessary.
- The S/C script is run on the EM S/C.
- ESA, SwRI and IC review the results.
- The S/C script is then ready for actual use on the Rosetta S/C.

Given the above series of steps, the need for ESA personnel on the EM S/C itself (aside from the script generation) does not appear to be very great.

ICA:



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18

Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 296

ICA may have use of EQM to try out some new command combinations and try patches before applying them to the spacecraft. Expected to be rather infrequent.

LAP:

The EQM might be used to test new commands and patches before the uplink to the spacecraft. Expected to be used seldom.

MIP:

The EQM is electrically conform with the FM. The EQM might be used to test some new commands and to try patches before applying them to the spacecraft. Expected to be rather infrequent.

MAG:

Tests on the MAG experiment apart from the s/c can be done on two instruments:

The EQM electronics connected to the FS sensors.

This instrument is located at Imperial College.

The FM = PFM connected to EM sensors.

This instrument has been installed on the EM S/C at ESOC.

Both instruments are completely compatible (electrically, software) to the flying unit onboard ROSETTA.

It is not planned to change the any MAG commands. So there is in principle no need to run any MAG related sequence on the EQM. Nevertheless MAG will be switched on in case that PIU is operating, as MAG provides PIU HK data. However, this will not cause any more workload to the ESOC operators.



Issue : 2 Rev. : 18 Date : October 06, 2011

Page : 297

6 Data Operations Handbook

All the RSDB TC/TM tables which have been listed here in earlier versions of the User Manual have been cancelled at this place.

For a detailed reference to all commands, parameters and descriptions refer to the RPC DSDB RD-GEN-27 and RD-RPC-10 available as MS-Access database on the IC-server .