HUYGENS

TITRE : **HUYGENS FLIGHT CHECKOUT F7**
TEST REPORT

Doc n° HUY.AS/c.100.TR.605

Ed. : n°01 **Date : 20/05/01**
Rév. :n°00

	FUNCTION	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
WRITTEN BY	TECHNICAL TEAM	P.COUZIN		15/05/02
APPROVED BY	PROGRAM MANAGER	G.HUTTIN		15/05/02

CLASSES DE CONFIDENTIALITE	SOCIETE	MILITAIRE	PROGRAMME
	Non Protégé : ☐	Non Protégé : ☐	Classe 1/Grand Public : ☐
	Réservé Alcatel : ☐	Diff. Restreinte : ☐	Classe 2/Industrie : ☐
	Confid.Alcatel : ☐	Confid. Défense : ☐	Classe 3/Diff. Restr. : ☐
	Secret AS : ☐	Secret Défense : ☐	Classe 4/Confidentiel : ☐

CONTRAT ou MARCHE (Note Externe)	Client	n° contrat ou marché	Programme
	ESA	12 150/96/NL/RE	HUYGENS
	Document Contractuel	**Lot**	**Poste**
	-	-	-

TITRE HUYGENS FLIGHT CHECKOUT F7 TEST REPORT	Catégorie de configuration
	Configuré : ☐
	Non configuré : ☐

AUTEUR
Sigle :DOS/IE
Nom . P. Couzin
Signature

Date	Réf. interne/Ed.	Réf. Programme/Ed.	Nb pages	Nb d'annexes
15/06/01	1	HUYGENS	26	0

RESUME D'AUTEUR

Ce document présente l'évaluation technique de la sonde HUYGENS pendant le septième " cruise check out " (F7). F7 s'est déroulé le 22 Mars 2001.

.

Références Informatiques		
Réf. du fichier :	**Langue du document :**	Ang
Nom du logiciel : WORD 95	**Langue faisant foi :**	Ang

ETAT DES EDITIONS

N°	DATE	RAISON DE L'EVOLUTION
1		Création -

MOTS CLES	Catégorie de diffusion	
HUYGENS CRUISE CHECK OUT	**INTERET** **Court terme :** ☐ **Moyen et long termes :** ☐	Sigle : Nom : Signature
	DIFFUSION SUPPLEMENTAIRE **Autorisée :** ☐ **Contrôlée :** ☐	Sigle : Nom : M. HUTTIN Signature

EXTERNAL COMPANIES		
Company	Name	Nb of Copies
ESA ESTEC	M. VERDANT	5
ESA ESOC	C. SOLLAZZO	2
ESA ESTEC	JP.LEBRETON	1

Internal Diffusion Sheet

P.MAUTE	**X**
B.LAFOUASSE	**X**
G.HUTTIN	**X**
AM SCHIPPER	**X**
P. COUZIN	**X**

Change Notice

ED.	REV.	DATES	MODIFIED PAGES	CHANGES	APPROVAL
01	00	20/06/01		First Issue	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. SCOPE 1

2. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS.....2

3. CONFIGURATION 3

3.1. SPACECRAFT CONFIGURATION.....3

3.2. RADIO FREQUENCY SUBSYSTEM.....3

4. FLIGHT CHECK OUT 5 (F7) 5

4.1. OPERATIONS 5

4.2. RESULTS 7

4.2.1. Telecommanding 7

4.2.2. Telemetry Frames and packets structure.....9

4.2.3. Telecommunication.....10

4.2.4. Power 11

4.2.5. Data handling 15

4.2.6. On board software 19

4.2.7. Thermal.....21

4.2.8. Experiments status word 25

5. CASSINI INSTRUMENTS CHECK OUT (ICO) 26

6. CONCLUSION.....26

1. SCOPE

The present report covers the seventh flight cruise check-out (F7) performed in the frame of the phase F of the HUYGENS Probe

F7 was run at launch + 41 months on the 22 th of March 2001. It shall be pointed out that F7 is the first test performed after the CASSINI Jupiter flight by operations. The test was also run after the so called **Probe Relay Test 2** (PRT2) which purpose was to characterize the PSE performance in realistic RF link conditions.

This document aims at analyzing the behavior of the HUYGENS Probe system and subsystems during the test

Note that experiments behavior analysis is not part of this report.

In addition,

- The present analysis is based on the data downlinked in near real time to HPOC/ESOC during F7 through CASSINI High Gain Antenna during a single DSN pass with Goldstone station. It was later cross checked with the same data recorded on board CASSINI SSR , and downloaded the day after F7.
- The reference test for comparison is mainly F5, run on the 2nd of February 2000 at launch + 28 months.
- After some processing all the engineering data plots on the one hand, and dedicated status files on the other hand (relays, software status), were delivered to ALCATEL..

2. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

The tests have been performed according to the following documents:

- AD01: ESOC F7 sequence
- AD02: Spacecraft Data Operations Handbook (SDOH) : DOPS-SMD-HUY-DB-004, ISSUE 1.0, June 1996.

Reference documents for the present report are:

- RD01: T° Flight Prediction Report
  Doc. n° HUY.MBB.340.AN.0045, Issue 03
- RD02: Thermal model adjustment and recalculation of temperatures
  Doc. n° TN-RIA54-98-0018-A date 07/07/98
- RD03: Huygens Flight checkout F1 & F2 test report
  Doc. n° HUY.AS/c.100 .TR .600
- RD04: Huygens Flight checkout F3 test report
  Doc. n° HUY.AS/c.100 .TR .601
- RD05: Huygens Flight checkout F4 test report
  Doc. n° HUY.AS/c.100 .TR .602
- RD06: Huygens Flight checkout F5 test report
  Doc. n° HUY.AS/c.100 .TR .603
- RD07: Huygens Flight checkout F6 test report
  Doc. n° HUY.AS/c.100 .TR .604
- RD08: Huygens Flight checkout F7 test report
  Doc. n° HUY-OPS-RP-1007-TOS-OFH

3. CONFIGURATION

3.1. SPACECRAFT CONFIGURATION

- F7 happens 3 months after the CASSINI Jupiter flyby. The Orbiter-Z axis, ie the High Gain Antenna axis is oriented towards the Earth. At the time of F7 the Sun-Spacecraft-Earth angle is about 10°. Location of CASSINI at F7 time, and planets/Sun geometry is illustrated in Fig.3.2 & 3.3. S/C orientation is Earth.

- The accuracy of the HGA pointing to the Earth, ie CASSINI AACS deadband is +/-2mrad for the X & Y axes and +/-20mrad for the Z axis of CASSINI

- The relative distance to the Sun is ~5.5AU (see Fig 3.1) and to the Earth is ~5.8 AU



Fig. 3.1: CASSINI – Sun distance

- The CASSINI communication configuration status during F7 is :
  - Prime antenna is the HGA

3.2. RADIO FREQUENCY SUBSYSTEM

TBD



Figure 3.2 : CASSINI position during F7



Figure 3.3 : SUN-EARTH position during F7

4. FLIGHT CHECK OUT 7 (F7)

4.1. OPERATIONS

F7 consists in the execution of a so-called Checkout scenario 2 (CO#2). Compared to the original CO#2 scenario, it also includes :

⇒ a repetition of the " Flight check out " alteration TC to have a better confidence in the TC execution. This mainly intends to ensure that GCMS will not operate its valves in checkout,

⇒ POSW and SASW E²PROMs dumps,

⇒ some modifications requested by the PIs and explained below in italic letters.

The relevant SASF was loaded on board CASSINI, then executed at a pre-programmed time : execution start was at 21h00 UTC on the 22/3/2001. As already mentioned, CASSINI HGA was pointed towards the Earth, making feasible a real time transmission of the data. Probe telemetry was down linked at a 24.885kbits /s rate via the DSS15 DSN station in Goldstone.

The F7 structure is based on:

- PSA activation through Orbiter CDS "power on" TC at S_0-60mn
- Dump SASW A/B EEPROM at S_0-40mn
- Probe wake up by the CASSINI Orbiter via the Solid State Power Switches at S_0-36mn
- T_0 simulated by Resume command at S_0 and T_0 detection at S_0+6.375 s
- descent simulation run with Chain A indicated as "invalid" : experiments are directed to receive the broadcasted data from Chain B
- RF link on Chain A makes use of TUSO and RUSO (DWE experiment)
- HASI and SSP run a simulated descent *then SSP performs a specific investigation activity on APIS at So+153mn11s for a 42s duration.*
- ACP is in dormant mode during the first 110mn then in "mechanisms check mode" from 140mn to 153mn.

- GCMS runs a calibration sequence :
  - Threshold scan
  - Lens scan 1
  - High power mode
  - Lens scan 2
  - Calibrate
- DISR runs the calibration sequences 1 & 2. *In addition, an E²PROM upload is performed*. DISR is kept ON 1h25mn longer.
- DUMP POSW A/B EEPROM starting at S0+167mn

The F7 "as run" key events are:

EVENTS	SEQUENCE TIMING	EXECUTION TIME IN UTC
PSE turn on	S0-1:00:00	2001-081 T21:00:00
RUSO ON	S0-00:59:44	2001-081 T21:00:16
Select RUSO	S0-00:58:43	2001-081 T21:01:17
PROBE turn on	S0-00:36:00	2001-081 T21:24:00
TUSO ON	S0-00:35:44	2001-081 T21: 24:16
Select TUSO	S0-00:35:39	2001-081 T21:24:21
T0 detection	S0+0:6:375	2001-081 T22:00:06.375
PROBE OFF	S0+2:48:05	2001-082 T00:48:05
PSA's OFF	S0+2 :48:59	2001-082 T01:48:59

Checkouts duration's are compared in Fig.4.1 hereunder. This shows that F4 and F7 duration's are very similar.



Fig. 4.1: Checkouts duration's

4.2. RESULTS

As already mentioned, the analysis is based both on engineering data plots received at ALCATEL Cannes, while the various status of the Probe were made available on the 24/03/01.

Reference for the analysis is **F5**, the previous CO#2 sequence performed on the 2nd of February 2000, however comparisons are also performed with F6 results (F6 is a CO#1a sequence run on the 28/07/2000).

The main outcomes of the evaluation are:

- the timing requested by the scenario are correctly followed by the CDS and all 1524 TCs generated by ground are correctly executed for each chain,
- the timeline shows no anomaly (an overview of the sequence is given by the DDB information versus time)
- all the status information was detaily checked and validated from the data retrieved.

The following presents the analysis of F7, per function.

4.2.1. Telecommanding

This section addresses the Probe System commanding function through the analysis of the reported PSA, CDMU A & B Telecommand counters, and of the reported CDMU's Mission timeline commands counts. This provides a good overview of the execution of the checkout sequence, and of the Mission timeline.

The Figure 4.2 hereafter shows the evolution of the different counters along F7, where the time "0" corresponds to the start of F7 sequence, ie the turn ON of the PSA A & B. In this time scale, So event happens at t=3600s. and T0 is detected at t=3606.375s







Fig 4.2 : Telecommand counters telemetry

It clearly appears that :

- All ground telecommands have been accepted as valid, both on PSA's and CDMU's side,
- More telecommands have been sent to PSA A, which is due to the numerous commands for switching to basic frequency on chain A,
- Exactly the same number of valid TC have been forwarded to CDMU A & B,
- The evolution of the automatic commands counts, identical for A and B channels is in line with the stored MTT

4.2.2. Telemetry Frames and packets structure

This section deals with the review of the data contained in the telemetry frame and packets headers, especially the various sequence counters evolution with time.

- **PSA Delta Seq. Count**: A Delta value of 1 is nominally reported on both chains.
- **PSA Delta Spacecraft Time**: A Delta value of 1 is nominally reported on both chains.
- **Super Packets Delta Seq. Count**: A Delta value of 1 is nominally reported on both chains
- **Super Packets Master and Virtual Channels Frame Counts**: Periodical reset of the Master channel frame counts on both chains is nominally noticed.
- **Dump Super Packets Delta Seq. Count and Sequence Count and Real Time Counter**: A Delta value of 1 in the sequence count and in the spacecraft time is nominally reported on both chains. Similarly, a monotonous increase of the Dump Super Packets absolute Seq. Count is nominally reported on both and the RT Count on both chains increases and resets when the Probe is OFF.

  Note that spurious increases in the super packets delta counts before Probe turn On time was reported during F5, and a telemetry retrieve problem was suspected (see RD6). This assumption has been confirmed by ESOC and corrected . The anomaly does not reproduce during F7.
- **Probe HK packets Delta Seq. Counts**: a Delta value of 1 is nominally reported on both chains for HK1, 2 & 3. One Delta value of 24 is nominally reported for HK4 on both chains: it corresponds to the reset of this HK packet (which contains Entry Acceleration data), 6.4 mn after $T_{probe\ ON}$. This mechanisms will permit to report the entry acceleration profile to CASSINI after the telecommunication link establishment, during the real mission.

4.2.3. Telecommunication

Here are addressed the telemetry parameters related to the telecommunication subsystem, its units, and the DWE experiment, as acquired in the Probe System housekeeping.

Main related features are :

- RF link on chain A makes use of TUSO and RUSO
- CASSINI HGA is pointed towards the Earth, and the Sun is constantly outside the HGA main lobe.

- **PSA secondary voltages**: PSA 12V, 5V and LNA supply voltage (nominally 12V), are in their nominal range and perfectly stable over the test.

- **RUSO status**: RUSO is turned ON 16s after PSA A is ON. RUSO reports lock status at about RUSO ON+16 mn , well in line with expected behaviour and F5 results.

- **TUSO status**: TUSO is turned ON 16 s after Probe is ON. TUSO reports lock status at about TUSO ON+13mn; it was 16s during F5. This duration is explained by the higher TUSO initial temperature compared to F5, as will be underlined later. Note that the mission timeline permits warm up duration as long as 30mn.

- **TCXOs status**: TM nominally reports TCXO selection on B chain and not on A chain,

- **HPA/TX power**: As expected, HPA is OFF, and no power is monitored at TX output.

- **Receivers status**: TM nominally reflects a RSW state of 2 until TXs are turned ON, on both chains.

  On Chain A, state 6 (carrier, subcarrier, bit sync and Sync Marker locked) has been reached after about the **5th** attempt to switch to Basic Frequency; this is slightly faster tahn during F5 (6 attempts were neceszsay (it shall be noticed that F1 showed a complete receiver lock on chain A during CO#2 at the **3rd** attempt ; and on ground at worst, lock was achieved at the **2nd** attempt). As noted for F5, this is not a concern (in total the sequence plans 28 attempts to switch to basic frequency) and it is strongly believed this phenomenon to be related to the initial T° of TUSO . It was colder during F5 (see later), about 10°, while it was higher during F1 ; a colder T° leads to a longer time for the TUSO oscillator frequency to stabilise and enter in the 30kHz PSA acquisition bandwidth. It should be pointed out that this problem will not happen during the mission : about 30mn TUSO warm up time is foreseen before PSA attempts to acquire the Probe RF signal in basic frequency mode (as in checkout because of the CASSINI-Huygens geometry change). At that time, the frequency variation of the transmitted signal will be far below the requested 30kHz).

  As expected, on Chain B (no TUSO/RUSO), switch to basic frequency is successful at the 1st attempt.

- **AGC**: The table hereunder evidences the AGC evolution since the first flight checkout. The AGC level for F7 is well in accordance with conclusions reached after the AGC

specific test (see RD3) and confirmed by F3, F4, F5 and F6: the favorable AGC level is explained by the Earth-spacecraft-Sun geometry illustrated in Fig. 3.2 & 3.3, considering that the HGA is pointed towards the Earth. The fact that the levels indicated by the AGC are slightly lower than during F5 & F6 is considered as a minor issue (temperature effects ?).

TEST	AGC A	AGC B
F1	-101 dB+/-0.4 S shape period 85 mn	-100.6 dB +/-0.4 S shape period 85 mn
F2	-104 dB+/-0.5	-106 dB+/-0.4
Off Sun Test	-94.6 dB+/-0.1	-93.3 dB+/-0.1
F3	-98 dB→ -100.5 dB+/-0.4	-97.8 dB →-98.8dB+/-0.4 small amplitude max 99.3 dB
F4	-98 dB +/-1dB S shape period 40 mn	-97 dB +/-1dB S shape period 40 mn
F5	-93.5 dB +/-0.5dB	-93 dB +/-0.5dB
F6	**-93.8 dB +/-0.5dB**	**-93 dB +/-0.5dB**
F7	**-94.2dB +/-0.8dB**	**-93.1 dB +/-0.5dB**

- **NCO**: as far as the Probe System is concerned, NCO frequency changes are as expected, both on chain A (RUSO) and chain B (TCXO), and very similar**.** It shall be underlined that the NCO frequency modulation noticed by DWE, similar to those observed during F1, F3, F4 & F5 (~0.367Hz with a maximum amplitude oscillation of about 20Hz, see DWE report) is currently **not a Probe System concern** Also, so called dF/dt parameters on both chains are within the expected range.

4.2.4. Power

- **CASSINI Telemetry** has shown :
  - PSA A power consumption ranges from 40W during RUSO warm up phase, down to 32W afterwards,

- PSA B average consumption is 25W , which means a total PSE steady state consumption of 57W, identical to F5,
- Probe total average maximum consumption is 150W.

These values are well in line with reference test results.

- **Current limiters status and Pyro relays status** have been cross checked with the retrieved telemetry :
  - Nominal Current Limiters status changes during F7 are displayed in Fig 4.3. They are fully in line with the Mission Timeline and F7 sequencing.



Figure 4.3 : Nominal current limiters status changes along F7 (“0” = start of F7)

- Pyros selection relays status changes during F7 are displayed in Fig 4.4 for both chains, with a zoom around T0. All the nominal and redundant relays are set and reset by each of the chain at the proper time, fully in line with the Mission Timeline and F7 sequencing. It shall be noted that the period of reporting of the pyro selection relay status is 16s



Figure 4.4 : Reported selection relay status changes along F7 (NB : S0 is at t=3600s)

- **Main bus voltage** is 28.09 Volts, as expected.

- **Batteries voltages** telemetry at the end of the test are similar to the telemetry reported during F4, and slightly higher than during F5 and F6.

	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7
battery 1 A	2.93 V	2.28 V	2.00 V	2.6 V	2.00 V	2.28 V	2.6 V
battery 2 A	2.6V	2.28 V	2.00 V	2.28V	2.00 V	1.96 V	2.28 V
battery 3 A	1.3 V	1.30 V	1.00 V	1.30 V	1.00 V	0.98 V	1.30 V
battery 3 B	1.3 V	1.30 V	1.00 V	1.30 V	1.00 V	0.98 V	1.30 V
battery 4 B	2.6 V	2.28 V	2.00 V	2.30 V	2.00 V	1.96 V	2.28 V
battery 5 B	2.28 V	1.96 V	1.63 V	1.96 V	1.63 V	1.63 V	2.28 V

Note that these voltages, as long as batteries are not connected to the PCDU, are not in any way representative of the actual batteries voltages. They actually reflect the leakage current in the measurement diode which is somewhat proportional to the PCDU temperature.

The lower battery 3 voltage parameter is due to the cross trapping of the relevant telemetry.

- **BDR currents** are in accordance with the operating modes of the Probe System and experiments and identical to the reference test, ie, at different phases of the mission :

	Pre To	To To+110 mn	To+140 mn	To+154 mn	Reference test
BDR1	0.55 A	0.82 A	0.82 A	0.5 A	F5
BDR2	0.49 A	0.72 A	0.72 A	0.45 A	F5
BDR3	0.49 A	0.72 A	0.72 A	0.45 A	F5
BDR4	0.49 A	0.72 A	0.72 A	0.45 A	F5
BDR5	0.55 A	0.82 A	0.82 A	0.5 A	F5

- **Units and Experiments currents** are summarized in the following table. They are in perfect accordance with the reference test and expected behavior.

UNITS	CURRENT	UNITS	CURRENT	Reference test
TX A	0.19 A	**TX B**	0.195 A	F5
TUSO N	0.32 A warm up 0.127 A steady state	**TUSO R**	0.3 A warm up 0.120 A steady state	F5
CDMU A	0.326 A	**CDMU B**	0.337 A	F5
Prox Sensor A	0	**Prox Sensor B**	0	- (unit is OFF)
DISR1 N	0.16 A/peak 0.23 A	**DISR1 R**	0.15 A/peak 0.22 A	F5
DISR2 N	0	**DISR2 R**	0	F5
GCMS1 N	0.28 A in pre To 0.4 A in post To HP Mode : 0.60A	**GCMS1 R**	0.26 A in pre To 0.38 A in post To HP Mode : 0.60A	F5
GCMS2 N	0	**GCMS2 R**	0	F5
HASI1 N	0.2 A in post To	**HASI1 R**	0.18 A in post To	F5
HASI2 N	0	**HASI2 R**	0	F5
ACP1 N	0.07 A	**ACP1 R**	0.07 A	F5
ACP2 N	0	**ACP2 R**	0	F5
ACP3 N	Peaks up to 0.30 A	**ACP3 R**	Peaks up to 0.38 A	F5
SSP N	0.32 A	**SSP R**	0.027 A	F5

4.2.5. Data handling

This section deals with the analysis of all the telemetry data related to the CDMS, and to the PSA's data handling functions.

- **Central Acceleration data**: The reported TM on A and B nominally shows a 0 g value for the accelerometer 2 (parameters 2A, 2B).and 3 (parameters 3A, 3B)

  For the CASU accelerometer 1, a value of 0g is reported on both 1A & 1B TM, with few spurious 1 LSB peaks.

  It shall be underlined that spurious drifts on the accelerometers 1 and 3 telemetry were evidenced during F1, F2, F3 & F4. While the noise peaks reported within F1

and F2 were marginal, the problem got much worse during F3 with noise peaks reaching up to 2 LSBs, then slightly improved during F4.

The situation during F5 and F6 was definitely better : only accelerometer 1 TM seldom showed 1LSB peaks. F7 is in line with the measurement observed in during F5 and F6.

Tentative explanations were provided in the F3 report describing the noticed noise as possibly coming from a stiction effect at the level of the accelerometers 1 & 3. This is actually not in contradiction with the observed improvement, the stiction being strongly dependent upon the initial conditions of the test, and the entry acceleration parameters shall be kept under a close monitoring

- **Radial Acceleration data**: The reported TM nominally shows a 0 g value.

- **DDB Mission Phase flags**: The telemetry properly reports the mission modes changes, identical to F3 : Flight Checkout Suspended and De-activate modes.

- **DDB F1 & F2 flags status**: To "detection" is correctly reported on both chains through F1 change. F2 nominally reports the TAT use over the whole sequence. Note that To corresponds to the time of pilot chute firing, and **$T_0 = S_0 + 6.375s$** where So corresponds to the g-threshold detection by the POSW.

- **DDB Time**: For both chains, it is in line with Probe Real Time before To, then with Probe [Mission Time - 6.375s] from To (ie. here from Tp+36mn6.375s to probe off).

- **DDB Altitude** : Nominally set to 320 km until To, then follows the Time Altitude Table (TAT) down to "surface" (Proximity Sensor is OFF).

- **DDB Spin** : TM reports permanently 0rpm since Spin is not simulated in CO#2 type sequences.

- **µprocessor Valid** : As expected, analysis of the Probe status shows that both CDMUs have been set as " invalid " from Tp+35mn, giving the experiments the opportunity to listen to the B chain (CO2). Processor Valid evolution along F7 is shown in Fig 4.5 hereafter.

- **MTU** : All three timers registers content, as read by both CDMUs, are reported to be 16#FFFF. These are the expected values when the MTU is turned on, but not programmed, as per F1, F2, F3, F4, F5 & F6.

- **EEPROM's** : As for F5, a complete CDMUs EEPROM (16kW) and PSA EEPROM (8kW) dump was performed, and the content was compared to the expected one, ie. in the present case, the memory contents as dumped during F5 & F6. No difference

between F6 and F7 was noticed for all 4 memory banks, showing a good immunity of the CDMUs and PSA's EEPROM chips to Single Event Upsets in unbiased conditions.

– **Processor boards** : no anomaly in the PSA's and CDMU's init was noticed. In addition, no double nor single RAM (CDMU's o PSA's) error was flagged by the EDAC circuitry all over F7 duration.



Fig 4.5 : µp Valid changes along F7 (S_0 is at t=3600s)

– **Reference voltages** : this telemetry provides highly accurate information on the current performance of the CDMUs acquisition chain in view to possibly adjust the analog parameters calibration curves, and especially the Entry Accelerometers ones, on board. There are 3 stabilised reference voltages :

- 4.54V, and
- 300mV and
- 500mV

the later ones being set to be close to the voltage corresponding to the S_0 g-threshold, ie. 522mV.

Fig 4.6 and 4.7 hereafter show the evolution over F7 of the stabilised voltages as acquired by the CDMU's. The telemetry of the CDMU's 5V supply voltage is also displayed. This clearly demonstrate the very good operation of the Analog acquisition chain. Also, no degradation from launch time is evidenced.



Fig 4.6 : CDMU A Voltages (5V is not stabilized)



Fig 4.7 : CDMU B Voltages (5V is not stabilized)

4.2.6. On board software

4.2.6.1. SASW

This paragraph addresses the telemetry related to the SASW operation.

- **High Stack Water Mark:** This parameter aims at providing data on the stack usage by the SASW. It reports the 16bits address of the top of the stack, which shall be lower than the Stack base address, i.e. 16#EFFF. Value reported during F7 is in line with the requirement, but very marginally changed compared to F1, F2, F3, F4, F5 & F6 for which it was identical. No obvious explanation is found.

- **SASW CUT Processing Time**: It reflects the processor load for each CUT. As expected, and as per F1, F2, F3, F4, F5 & F6, processing time ranges from 16ms to 27ms, representing a nominal PSA data handling processor load of about 20 %.

- **DT Start/End Time, DT Start EXEC**: The DTStart parameter provides the time within the CUT when the Dead Time Start signal is received by the SASW. It shall be <120ms. DTEnd parameter provides the time within the CUT when the DTStart interrupt processing stops. The interrupt processing duration is given by the **DTStart EXEC** parameter.

  During F7, on both chains, **DTStart** nominally happens 18.7ms after the CUT start; processing duration is in average 1.4ms, in line with the reference test results. Few (2-3) unexplained excessive peaks in the DT interrupt processing time are noted. No further effect have been noticed; this “anomaly” is considered minor.

- **FDI Start/End, FDI EXEC:** The **FDIStart** signal provides the time when a Probe frame is received. **FDIEnd** provides the time when the FDI is serviced, while **FDI EXEC** simply indicates the duration of the interrupt servicing.

  It shall be noticed that the **FDIStart** signal monotonously increases because of the Probe (CDMU’s) TM clock drift w.r.t. CASSINI RTI.

  Fig. 4.9 shows the clocks drifts wrt CASSINI RTI computed as a function of the temperature measured at CDMU level over F7, and compares these drifts to F3, F4, F5 & F6 ones. This demonstrates that the TM clock on board the CDMU’s is well within its stability requirement, and has not degraded from F3.

  Processing duration, given by **FDI EXEC** parameter is in average 0.8ms, in line with the reference tests results.

- **DMA Start/End, DMA EXEC:** The DMAStart signal provides the time when a Direct Memory Access interrupt is received. **DMAEnd** provides the time when the DMA interrupt is serviced, while **DMA EXEC** indicates the duration of the interrupt servicing.

  The evolution of the telemetry related to DMA interrupt is quite similar to FDI related

telemetry, with the same comments.

Duration of the interrupt servicing is, in average, 1.4ms, in line with the reference tests results.

As a conclusion, we have [**DTStart EXEC + FDI EXEC + DMA EXEC** = 3.6ms], and the constraint for a correct software operation being [**DTStart EXEC + FDI EXEC + DMA EXEC**< 4.5ms] is fulfilled.



Fig 4.8 : Computed CDMU A & B Data clock drift wrt CASSINI RTI

4.2.6.2. POSW

This paragraph addresses the telemetry specifically related to the POSW operation.

- **High Stack Water Mark:** This parameter aims at providing data on the stack usage by the POSW. It reports the 16 bits address of the top of the stack, which shall be lower than the Stack base address, i.e. 16#EFFF. Value reported during F7, 10#7425 is well in line with reference test data.

- **POSW CUT Processing Time**: It reflects the processor load for each CUT. The reported value is a worst case over the 128 CUT major acquisition cycle and is actually the residual value of the CDMU's µprocessor timer B at the end of the processing time.

  As expected, Processing time ranges from 56 ms to 60 ms, representing a nominal CDMU data handling processor load of about 55 % max. A slow increase of the processor load from T_0 time can be noticed; it reflects the fact that the MTT processing time is correlated to the current Mission Time. Also, for the first time, few spurious peaks in the processor load are noted ; this seems to be an "artifact" of the TM reporting.

  In total, the POSW processing time during F7 is very much comparable to F5, and shows a correct operation of the software over the whole checkout.

4.2.7. Thermal

This section discusses telemetry measurements related to the THSS : Probe and PSE temperatures in Probe housekeeping, including units internal T°, plus Probe and PSE temperatures in CASSINI housekeeping.

Temperatures values permanently acquired by CASSINI are summarized in the table hereafter:

IDENTIFICATION	F7 MEASURED RANGE INIT T° → END T°	F6 MEASURED RANGE INIT T° → END T°	F5 MEASURED RANGE INIT T° → END T°	F4 MEASURED RANGE INIT T° → END T°	F3 MEASURED RANGE INIT T° → END T°
MIMI elec T°	20.5°C → 22.5°C	15°C → 19°C	15°C → 19°C	22°C → 22°C	16° C → 19° C
Probe T° 1	13°C → 35°C	10°C → 32°C	10°C → 32°C	12°C → 35°C	12° C → 33° C
Probe T° 2	12.5°C → 35°C	10°C → 32°C	10°C → 32°C	12°C → 34°C	12° C → 33° C
LNA A Temp	-7°C → -3°C	-10°C → -5°C	-10°C → -5°C	-4.7°C → 0.5°C	-8° C → -2.5° C
LNA B Temp	-8°C → -3.5°C	-10°C → -5°C	-10°C → -5°C	-5°C → 0.5°C	-8° C → -2.5° C
SEPS Temp 1	-51.2°C → -51.2°C	-51°C → -50°C	-51°C → -50°C	-50.2°C → -49.5°C	-53° C→ -56° C
SEPS Temp 2	-50.2°C → --50.2°C	-50.5°C → -48.5°C	-50.5°C → -48.5°C	-50.2°C → -49.5°C	-52° C → -56° C
SEPS Temp 3	-53.2°C → -53.2°C	-50.5°C → -49.5°C	-50.5°C → -49.5°C	-52.5°C → -52.8°C	-56° C → -56° C
SEPS Temp 4	-53.5°C → -54°C	-54.1°C → -52.7°C	-54.1°C → -52.7°C	-54.8°C → -54.8°C	-56° C → -56° C

Temperatures values, in °C, acquired by the HUYGENS Probe are summarized in the tables hereafter:

a. Descent module External units:

TM IDENTIFICATION	T° SENSOR	F7 MEASUREMENTS (22/03/2001)			F6 MEASUREMENTS (28/07/2000)			F5 MEASUREMENTS (2/02/2000)			F4 MEASUREMENTS (15/09/99)		
	Location	T init	T end	Delta	T init	T end	Delta	T init	T end	Delta	T init	T end	Delta
1A	SEPS A	-48.2	-48.2	0	-48.6	-48.6	0	-47.7	-47.7	0	-47.7	-47.7	0
2B	SEPS A	-47.7	-47.7	0	-48.6	-48.6	0	-47.7	-47.7	0	-47.7	-47.7	0
1B	SEPS B	-50	-50	0	-50.9	-50.9	0	-48.6	-48.6	0	-49.5	-49.5	0
2A	SEPS C	-50	-50	0	-52.6	-52.6	0	-51	-50	1	-51.7	-51.7	0
3A	PJM A	-25	-24.6	0.4	-26.5	-25.9	0.6	-27	-26.3	0.7	-26	-25.3	0.7
3B	PJM B	-23.2	-22.8	0.4	-24.4	-24.9	0.5	-25	-24.3	0.7	-24	-23.4	0.6
4A	PJM C	-24.5	-24	0.5	-25.8	-25.3	0.5	-26	-25.6	0.4	-25	-24.7	0.3
4B	PDD	-21	-21	0	-22.2	-21.9	0.5	-23	-22.5	0.5	-21.5	-21.3	0.2

b. Descent Module Internal units

TM IDENTIFICATION	Sensor Location	F7 MEASUREMENTS (22/03/01)			F6 MEASUREMENTS (28/07/00)			F5 MEASUREMENTS (2/02/2000)			F4 MEASUREMENTS (15/09/99)		
		T init	T end	**Delta**	T init	T end	**Delta**	T init	T end	**Delta**	T init	T end	**Delta**
8A	PCDU	13	33.75	20.7	11.3	32.5	21.2	10	31.3	21.3	12	33.7	21.7
5A	BATT 1A	16.7	21.8	5.1	15.5	20.9	5.4	14	18.8	4.8	16.5	21.8	5.3
8B	BATT 1B	16.5	21.8	5.3	14	20.9	6.9	12	18.8	6.8	16.5	21.8	5.3
6B	BATT 2A	13	25.45	12.4	12	22.7	10.7	10.5	21.8	11.3	13.1	24.5	11.4
7B	BATT 3A	16.7	21.8	5.1	15	20	5	14	18.8	4.8	14.3	21.8	7.5
6A	BATT 3B	12.6	21.8	9.2	11.3	20	8.7	10	18.8	8.8	12.5	21.8	9.3
5B	BATT 4B	15	22.7	7.7	15	21.8	6.8	14	19.4	5.4	15.7	21.7	6
7A	BATT 5A	16.7	23.6	6.9	15.3	21.8	6.5	14	20.	6	16.5	22.7	6.2
9A	TX A	15	32.5	16.5	13.7	30	16.3	12	29.1	17.1	14	32.5	18.5
9B	TX B	15	30	15	13.7	28.2	15.5	12	26.4	14.4	14	29.1	15.1
10A	GCMS	15	29.1	14.1	14	28.1	14.1	12	27.3	15.3	14.5	29.1	14.4
10B	TUSO	13	37.5	24.5	12	25.5	13.5	10	33.7	23.7	12.5	36.2	23.7
11A	DISR I/F	-25	-24.1	0.9	-25.4	-25.3	0.1	-25.6	-25.6	0	-25.2	-26.6	0.8
11B	DISR SH	3	8.6	5.6	2	7.7	5.5	0	5.4	5.4	2.5	5.9	6.1
12A	FOAM int	9	25	14	8	22.7	14.7	7	22.7	15.7	9.5	25.4	15.9
12B	CONE (foam ext)	-17	-15	2	-18.3	-16.1	2.2	-19	-16.7	2.3	-17.5	-15.2	2.3

c. Probe units internal T° reported through the Probe TM are summarized in the table hereafter:

IDENTIFICATION	F7 MEASURED RANGE INIT T° → END T°	F6 MEASURED RANGE INIT T° → END T°	F5 MEASURED RANGE INIT T° → END T°	F4 MEASURED RANGE INIT T° → END T°
RUSO Lamp	112.5° C → 112.5° C	101.7° C → 101.7° C	112.5° C → 112.5° C	112.5° C → 112.5° C
RUSO resonator	75° C → 75° C	64.4° C → 64.4° C	75° C → 75° C	75° C → 75° C
RUSO crystal	75° C → 75° C	64.4° C → 64.4° C	72° C → 73° C	72° C → 73° C
TUSO Lamp	112.5° C → 112.5° C	101.7° C → 101.7° C	112.5° C → 112.5° C	112.5° C → 112.5° C
TUSO resonator	76.5° C → 76.5° C	64.4° C → 64.4° C	76.5° C → 76.5° C	76.5° C → 76.5° C
TUSO crystal	73° C → 75.8° C	64.4° C → 64.4° C	74° C → 75° C	74° C → 75° C
PSA A Temp	21.5° C → 40° C	19° C → 37.3° C	16.5° C → 36.4° C	22° C → 40° C
PSA B Temp	21.5° C → 40° C	19° C → 37.3° C	16.5° C → 36.4° C	22° C → 40° C
Tx A HPA	14° C → 34° C	13° C → 33.2° C	13° C → 30.4° C	14° C → 33.3° C
Tx B HPA	14° C → 31.6° C	13° C → 30° C	13° C → 28° C	14° C → 31.2° C
CDMU A DC/DC 1	13° C → 37.5° C	12° C → 33.7° C	10° C → 33.7° C	13° C → 37.5° C
CDMU A DC/DC 2	13° C → 33.75° C	12° C → 31.25° C	10° C → 31.2° C	13° C → 33.7° C
CDMU B DC/DC 1	13° C → 33.75° C	11.5° C → 31.25° C	10° C → 30° C	12° C → 33.7° C
CDMU B DC/DC 2	13° C → 31.25° C	11.5° C → 29.1° C	10° C → 28.2° C	12° C → 30° C

Conclusion:

The initial steady state temperatures appear mostly related to the Sun to Spacecraft distance illustrated in Fig. 3.1, and to the Huygens Sun illumination : they are slightly warmer than during F6. This is reflected in most of the measured temperatures, with the delta ranges presented below :

	F7-F6	F6-F5	F5-F4
Average delta initial T°	+1.5°C	+1.5°C	-2°C to –4°C

This may be explained by considering that HUYGENS was completely shadowed by the CASSINI HGA during F1 to F5, while it was partially subjected to - limited - solar illumination during F6

and F7.

The temperatures trends are in accordance with RD02, computed after the model adjustment in July 98 (RD01).

They are in addition fully in line with F2, F3, F4, F5 & F6 measurements considering the respective checkouts duration (see Fig 4.1).

The overall Probe System thermal behavior is therefore considered nominal.

4.2.8. Experiments status word

The evolution of the Status Word for each instrument, is similar to its evolution during F5, used as the reference test.

Experiments detailed behavior analysis shall be found in the PI's F7 test reports: no anomaly related to the Probe System operation has been notified.

5. CASSINI INSTRUMENTS CHECK OUT (ICO)

This chapter deals with the review of the technical issues raised in the F4 to F5 time frame, related to CASSINI, and especially CASSINI experiments operations which have or may have an impact on the Huygens Probe System.

Belonging to that category, a statement was requested on the possibility to maintain nominally 6 of the CASSINI instruments in " ON " but " sleep " mode condition during future Probe Checkouts. EMC and 1553 Orbiter Data Bus issues have mainly been addressed via the analysis of documentation provided by JPL and dealing with :

- 1553 Bus muting
- EMC measurements recorded during " quiet test " on ground.

As mentioned in the F6 report, it was stated that :

- the mute mode proposed to be used to prevent data generation from CASSINI instruments during Probe Checkouts was fully satisfying HUYGENS request.
- the EMC results from ground testing were not considered to properly cover the conditions planned to be exercised in flight.

A dedicated flight test has been designed to assess the CASSINI instruments sleep mode impact on a " dummy " Probe Checkout.

This test has been run in august 2000, after F6, and has clearly demonstrated that the Probe was behaving nominally, and thus a flight checkout could be performed safely when CASSINI instruments were in sleep mode.

6. CONCLUSION

The seventh Cruise Check out was completed on the 22nd March 2001, 3 months after the Jupiter flyby, and at a distance from the Sun of ~5.5AU.

ALL THE HUYGENS SUB-SYSTEMS WORKED NOMINALLY AND WERE STABLE WRT PREVIOUS CHECKOUTS.

The unexplained behavior, evidenced in the previous checkouts, related to the noise level on CASU accelerometers 1 and 3, remained unchanged from F5, and is fully acceptable from a system point of view. The issue however is kept opened, and the corresponding TM parameters will continue to be carefully monitored.

The RF link between Probe and PSA was excellent, with an AGC signal in accordance with the expected value, without any Sun interference at CASSINI HGA input. The RF link with ground through the CASSINI HGA was nominal without loss of any packet and data.

This good quality of the overall HUYGENS to ground data path is confirmed by ESOC Reed Solomon analysis (see RD 08) which, except during transitions, shows that no Super Packet was rejected, while one single correction was performed over a total of 24245 received Super Packets.

To conclude, the HUYGENS Probe System status after the Probe Relay Test 2 was excellent.