# **OSIRIS**

Optical, Spectroscopic, and Infrared Remote Imaging System

# In-field stray-light determination and correction

RO-RIS-MPAE-TN-093

Issue: 1

Revision: -

3/06/2019

Prepared by:

Gabor Kovacs and Cecilia Tubiana



Issue:

1 3/06/2019 Rev.: -

Date: Page:

176.19

e:

# **Approval Sheet**

prepared by: Gabor Kovacs (signature/date)

approved by: Holger Sierks (signature/date)



ssue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: iii

# **Document Change Record**

Iss./Rev.	Date	Author	Pages affected	Description
D / -	14 Mar 2019	Kovacs	all	first draft
D / a	28 May 2019	Kovacs/ Tubiana	all	Revised version
1 / -	3 Jun 2019	Kovacs/ Tubiana	all	First issue



Rev.: -

Issue: 1

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: iv

## **Table of contents**

1	G	eneral aspects	1
	1.1	Scope	1
	1.1	Reference Documents	1
	1.2	Acronyms and Abbreviations	1
2	In	n-field stray light and integrated ghost of the camera system	2
3	In	n-field stray-light removal method	6
4	In	n-field stray-light analysis and the ghost kernels	7
	4.1	Analysis of calibration image	7
	4.2	Ghost kernel image determination	7
5	In	n-field stray-light removal implementation	9
6	G	host kernel files description	0
7	C	alibration files used by OsiCalliope and GhostCrawler	. 1
		List of Figures	
Fi	_	1 NAC optical components near the image plane. From left to right: The CCD located at the image plane, the thick silica Anti-Radiation Plate (ARP) and two filters	
Fi	In th	2 Stray light paths in the optical system. The image forming rays are marked red. Left: aternal reflections in the ARP. Center: Narcissus ghost reflections between the CCD and the ARP surfaces. Right: Reflections between different optical components (ARP and Filter).	
Fi	th	3 Example of in-field stray-light patter for the NAC F21. Colored contours are overlaid to be image to better visualize the individual ghosts produced by the different optical components.	
Fi		4 In-field stray-light patterns of the NAC (left) and WAC (right). The images are splayed in the Rosetta standard orientation.	4
		List of Tables	
Та	able [	1 Estimate stray light for evenly illuminated image	5
Та	able 2	2 GRM calibration images used for ghost kernel calculation	8
Та	able 3	3 In-flight calibration images used for ghost kernel calculation	8

#### 1 General aspects

#### 1.1 Scope

This document describes the method and results of the OSIRIS Narrow Angle Camera (NAC) and Wide Angle Camera (WAC) in-field stray-light analysis. The analysis was carried out using in-flight science and calibration data and using on-ground calibration images acquired using the OSIRIS ground reference model (GRM). The resulting data is used by the OSIRIS calibration pipeline (OsiCalliope, see RD2) to generate in-field stray-light corrected images.

#### 1.1 Reference Documents

no.	document name	document number, Iss./Rev.
RD1	OSIRIS user manual	RO-RIS-MPAE-MA-004, D/s
RD2	OSIRIS calibration pipeline OsiCalliope	RO-RIS-MPAE-MA-007
RD3	Rosetta-OSIRIS To Planetary Science Archive Interface Control Document	RO-RIS-MPAE-ID-015
RD4	Kovacs et al. (2013): Stray light calibration of the Dawn Framing Camera, in SPIE Conf. Ser., 8889, 12	
RD5	Keller et al. (2009):OSIRIS The Scientific Camera System Onboard Rosetta, in Space Science Reviews 128(1):433-506	

#### 1.2 Acronyms and Abbreviations

ARP	Anti Reflection Plate
-----	-----------------------

CG Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko
DN Digital numbers, i.e., counts on the CCD

FM Flight Model

GRM Ground Reference Model NAC Narrow Angle Camera

OSIRIS Optical, Spectroscopic, and Infrared Remote Imaging System

WAC Wide Angle Camera



Rev.: -

Issue: 1

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 2

#### 2 In-field stray light and integrated ghost of the camera system

The in-field stray light is an additional signal caused by multiple reflections of the incoming image-forming light within the optical system. For NAC in the orange/red region a ghost intensity of less than  $10^{-3}$  was required [RD1, RD5]. To achieve low in-field stray light, anti-reflective coatings were applied on the optical component surfaces and on the CCD.

The primary sources of in-field stray light are the components near the image plane of the cameras: the CDD detector, the anti-radiation plate (ARP), the band-pass filters and the focusing plates (Figure 1). There are two types of reflection: reflections internal to the optical component (i.e. between the two surfaces of the ARP) and reflection between the surfaces of different components (i.e. between the CCD and the ARP).




Figure 1 NAC optical components near the image plane. From left to right: The CCD located at the image plane, the thick silica Anti-Radiation Plate (ARP) and two filters.

The optical components create multiple reflections, resulting in ghosts with different size, position, and intensity (Figure 2):

- The highest intensity but usually smallest size ghost component is generated by internal reflections of the image forming rays inside the ARP and the filter surfaces (Figure 2, left).
- The CCD is the source of the Narcissus ghost, by generating multiple reflections between itself and the plane optical surfaces of the ARP and the filters (Figure 2, center).
- Several reflection paths between the different ARP and filter surfaces also add to the ghost contribution, with a smaller intensity, but larger pattern sizes (Figure 2, right).



Issue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 3




Figure 2 Stray light paths in the optical system. The image forming rays are marked red. Left: Internal reflections in the ARP. Center: Narcissus ghost reflections between the CCD and the ARP surfaces. Right: Reflections between different optical components (ARP and Filter 2).

The in-field stray-light pattern on the CCD is very complex, with the multiple ghost components overlapping (Figure 3). The correction algorithm targets the strongest 7 components for the NAC and 8 for the WAC, which are responsible for the majority of the in-field stray light.




Figure 3 Example of in-field stray-light patter for the NAC F21. Colored contours are overlaid to the image to better visualize the individual ghosts produced by the different optical components.



Issue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 4

The in-field stray-light patterns of the NAC and WAC are different, because of the optical layout of the cameras (2 mirror off-axis system of the WAC and 3 mirror for the NAC). The ghost pattern of the WAC is simpler, since only one filter is in the optical path. In addition, because of the highly off-axis optical layout of the WAC, the ghost pattern appears only on one side of the illuminated area (Figure 4, right). The NAC has a smaller principal ray angle, which results in the in-field stray-light pattern appearing on both sides (and around) of the illuminated area (Figure 4, left).




Figure 4 In-field stray-light patterns of the NAC (left) and WAC (right). The images are displayed in the Rosetta standard orientation.

The analysis of the OSIRIS images indicates that even though ghosts and narcissus effects (e.g. reflections from the detector) are small, the additional parasitic flux needs to be corrected for in particular for limb observations and the faint shadows on the nucleus surface. The in-field stray light is as well significant in case of gas and dust observations, where the comet nucleus is strongly overexposed. The contribution of each individual pixel to the ghost is small. However, the integrated values for an extended object can reach up to several percent of the original signal. In shadowed or non-illuminated areas, where the original signal is low, the ghost contribution, from the illuminated surface in the vicinity, can be as high as the original signal.

Lutetia images were used to estimate the in-field stray-light contribution near the limb. The flux close to the limb was measured and compared to the flux of the illuminate surface. The analysis revealed that the effect is wavelength (filter) dependent: the in-field stray light is the lowest in the visible bands, and higher in the UV and NIR bands. Evenly illuminated (flat) artificial images were created and used to estimate the in-field stray-light contribution using the same algorithm that is applied to science data. The integrated ghost pattern intensities (Table 1) are in agreement with the stray light estimated using Lutetia images.



Issue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 5

Table 1 Estimate stray light for evenly illuminated image

Camera	Filter	Additional Signal
NAC	F23 (Green)	0.009
NAC	F41 (Near_IR – FFP_IR)	0.031
NAC	F16 (FFP_UV – NearUV)	0.046
WAC	F21 (Green)	0.0039
WAC	F12 (Red)	0.0081
WAC	F71 (UV325)	0.023



Issue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 6

### 3 In-field stray-light removal method

For the in-field stray-light removal, a convolution-based ghost estimation and removal algorithm, similar to the one used for the Dawn Framing Cameras [RD4] has been developed. The method assumes that the detected image ( $I_{det}$ ) can be expressed as the sum of a series of images, where the first term ( $I_0$ ) is the expected ghost-free image, followed by the first order ghost ( $I_{RI}$ ) and the multiple reflection images ( $I_{Rn}$ ):

$$I_{det} = I_0 + I_{R1}(I_0) + I_{Rn}(I_{Rn-1})$$
 with  $n \ge 2$ 

Since the ghost effect is much smaller than the ghost-free image, the second and higher order reflected images are negligible compared to the first two terms. The ghost-free image can be calculated by subtracting the first order ghost contribution from the detected image. The ghost effect computation method is based on the fact that each small detector area, illuminated by a point source, produces similar reflected light intensity distribution and this light intensity distribution is a linear function of the illumination flux of the source. This means that the CCD and filter surfaces behave like a uniform mirror. In this case, the first order ghost image is formed as the convolution of the ghost-free image and the point source stray light function, i.e. the stray-light image generated by a point source.

$$I_{R1}(x,y) = \iint I_0(x-x',y-y')P_s(x',y')dx'dy'$$

Each illuminated pixel generates similar reflected intensity distribution ( $P_s$  point source stray light function) scaled by the pixel flux. These partial reflection images add up and form the first reflection image:  $I_{RI}(I_0)$ .

Since the stray energy content is relatively low compared to the ghost-free image, as a first approximation, we can use the detected image as the first estimate of the ghost-free image in the convolution.

$$I_0 \approx I_{det} - I_{det} * P_s$$

The accuracy can be further improved by a second iteration, using the I<sub>0</sub> result of the first calculation. Key to the effective stray-light removal is the accurate determination of the P<sub>s</sub> point source stray light function, or the ghost convolution kernel.



Issue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 7

#### 4 In-field stray-light analysis and the ghost kernels

In-flight science and calibration data and on-ground calibration images acquired using the OSIRIS GRM were used to quantitatively characterize the NAC and WAC in-field stray-light patterns. The point source stray light functions (P<sub>s</sub>) for each filter combination were estimated based on those images, and stored in the ghost kernel files.

Calibration campaigns were carried out at MPS in November 2015, using the WAC GRM, and in September 2018, using the NAC GRM. A stray-light experimental setup was built using a fiber optic light source, which served as a point source target in the focus of the OSIRIS mirror collimator. Images were acquired with different exposure times to reveal the different intensity ghost pattern components. The field position dependency of the pattern was also tested and confirmed using a small refractive optical system.

The in-flight calibration images revealed that there are slight alignment differences between the GRM and the FM cameras, which resulted in small shifts in the ghost pattern sizes and positions. In addition, the reflectivity values of the different ghost components are slightly different. Therefore the final ghost pattern geometry and the intensity values were refined using the inflight calibration images. The ghost kernel files were tested on Lutetia images, which provided background (coma) free, partially filled full frame targets, with high contrast limb. Table 2 and Table 3 contain the list of calibration images that have been used to determine the NAC and WAC ghost kernels.

#### 4.1 Analysis of calibration image

- The calibration images are bias subtracted and normalized to 1 s exposure time.
- The strongest stray-light reflection paths are identified, and matched with the calibration image pattern. Each individual ghost component is represented by a single (circular) feature.
- The sizes (in pixels) of the ghost components are measured in the image.
- The positions of the centres of the ghost components, relative to the point source image, are measured.
- The average intensity of each ghost component is measured and scaled to the point source illumination.
- The size of the full in-field stray-light pattern (containing all the ghost components) is measured relative to the point source image.

The size, position and intensity of each ghost component is stored in vector graphic form in the ghost kernel file (for details see Sec. 6).

#### 4.2 Ghost kernel image determination

The ghost kernel file is used to generate the convolution kernel or ghost kernel image (P<sub>s</sub>), which is assembled as a sum of stray-light components. The ghost kernel image is used for the numerical convolution.



Issue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 8

#### Table 2 GRM calibration images used for ghost kernel calculation

Session	Camera	First image	Last image	# images
session_GRM_20180821_	NAC	NAC_2018-08-29T09.48.22.985Z_	NAC_2018-08-30T15.22.36.062Z_	277
calibration_prep		ID00_1398669000_F23.img	ID00_1398669002_F84.img	
session_GRM_20180910_	NAC	NAC_2018-09-12T09.04.39.787Z_	NAC_2018-09-12T12.15.02.427Z_	125
calib_ghost		ID00_1398669000_F21.img	ID00_1398669004_F84.img	
session_20151119_GRM	WAC	WAC_2015-11-23T09.37.46.822Z_	WAC_2015-11-23T15.26.50.041Z_	115
OC PointSourceTest		ID00 1398669000 F12.img	ID00 1398669000 F81.img	

## Table 3 In-flight calibration images used for ghost kernel calculation

Observation	Camera	First image	Last image	# images
STEINS AST1 2008 09	NAC	NAC 2008-09-05T16.55.03.453Z	NAC 2008-09-05T16.58.18.203Z	4
		ID10_0166015000_F21.IMG	ID10_0166015003_F21.IMG	
STEINS_AST1_2008_09	WAC	WAC_2008-09-05T18.16.06.977Z_	WAC_2008-09-05T18.17.19.338Z_	4
		ID10_0166045000_F12.IMG	ID10_0166045003_F12.IMG	
LUTETIA_AST2_2010_07	WAC	WAC_2010-07-10T11.29.41.261Z_	WAC_2010-07-10T11.29.56.543Z_	3
		ID10_1251276000_F12.IMG	ID10_1251276002_F12.IMG	
LUTETIA_AST2_2010_07	NAC	NAC_2010-07-10T15.22.40.560Z_	NAC_2010-07-10T15.45.09.210Z_	76
		ID10_1251276000_F82.IMG	ID10_1251276000_F22.IMG	
STP003_CALIB_GHOST	NAC	NAC_2014-05-14T02.00.57.546Z_	NAC_2014-05-14T02.05.32.747Z_	16
		ID10_1397549000_F22.IMG	ID10_1397549000_F23.IMG	
STP003_CALIB_GHOST	WAC	WAC_2014-05-14T02.22.02.856Z_	WAC_2014-05-14T03.32.03.372Z_	16
		ID10_1397549000_F12.IMG	ID10_1397549000_F13.IMG	
STP056_GHOST_911cC	WAC	WAC_2015-05-19T14.48.08.433Z_	WAC_2015-05-19T15.37.32.343Z_	6
		ID10_1397549000_F17.img	ID10_1397549005_F15.img	
STP056_GHOST_911cTR	WAC	WAC_2015-05-19T15.53.06.661Z_	WAC_2015-05-19T16.13.00.545Z_	3
		ID10_1397549000_F13.img	ID10_1397549002_F13.img	
STP088_EARTH_001	NAC	NAC_2015-12-29T20.02.08.560Z_	NAC_2015-12-29T20.06.46.878Z_	21
		ID10_1397549000_F22.IMG	ID10_1397549006_F24.IMG	
STP092_EARTH_001	NAC	NAC_2016-01-23T06.43.42.552Z_	NAC_2016-01-23T06.49.15.479Z_	32
		ID10_1397549000_F82.IMG	ID10_1397549031_F88.IMG	



Issue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 9

#### 5 In-field stray-light removal implementation

The stray-light computation is implemented as a two dimensional numerical convolution of the original image and the convolution kernel (ghost kernel image). The result of the convolution is the ghost image, which contains only the ghost contribution, and that is subsequently subtracted from the original image. This algorithm is computation intensive, and takes significantly more time than the regular calibration steps. Therefore, the generation of the ghost images is handled separately from the calibration pipeline.

A stand-alone application (GhostCrawler) has been developed to generate ghost images for all full frame NAC and WAC images with TARGET\_NAME = "67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko 1". These ghost images are stored off-line and used by OsiCalliope for the in-field stray-light removal calibration step. Ghost images have the same filename of the original image, but "ID" is replaced with "GS". The number of iteration is recorded in the image header history section:

#### NUMBER\_ITERATIONS = "2"

In addition, GhostCrawler generates synthetic images, using SPICE, the comet 67P shape model and a 67P surface reflectivity model. The pixel data is stored as 32 bit PC\_REAL. The filename of the synthetic image is the same as the original image, but "ID" is replaced with "SY".

The ghost image calculation is done in the following steps:

- 1. GhostCrawler generates a partially calibrated image from the OSIRIS Level 1 (CODMAC L2) binary by applying the bias, ADC, flat field, bad pixel, and exposure time correction. These are the same calibration steps that are applied by OsiCalliope to calibrate the images. GhostCrawler and OsiCalliope share the same calibration database, to assure consistency. In case the image cannot be exposure time calibrated, no ghost image is generated.
- 2. GhostCrawler implements a "brute force" multithreaded numerical convolution of the 4x4 compressed (binned) image and the ghost image ( $P_s$  convolution kernel) to calculate the first estimate of the ghost contribution ( $I_{R1}$ ).
- 3. GhostCrawler subtracts the un-binned ghost contribution from the image, and repeats the convolution without the binning.
- 4. The resulting ghost image is stored for later processing by OsiCalliope.

In case of gas and dust observations, long exposure times were used to reveal the low intensity structures around the comet. This resulted in saturation on the nucleus. Since saturated images cannot be used for estimating the original illumination of the detector, the synthetic image is used, instead of the original one, in the convolution. The threshold for using the synthetic image in the convolution is set to 1 % of saturated pixels in the original image.



Issue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 10

## 6 Ghost kernel files description

GhostCrawler uses the ghost kernel files to generate the ghost kernel raster image (convolution kernel) for the removal algorithm.

The ghost kernels are stored in text files containing vector graphics description of the significant ghost pattern components (7 components for WAC and 8 for NAC). The data lines (GHOSTSPOTnnnn) are describing the ghost reflection components of a single pixel, reduced to 1 DN flux. The ghost kernel image is generated as a float image with the size of (IMAGESIZE\_X, IMAGESIZE\_Y), which is the minimum size encapsulating all the pattern components. The size is different for NAC and WAC. The numerical convolution is carried out on the original full frame, un-binned image, and the kernel image, with the kernel center at VECTOR\_OFFSET. The kernel center is the center coordinate of the 2D convolution for the kernel image. During the rasterization the ghost component intensities are calculated as the product of a general scaling parameter (INTENSITY\_SCALE) and the relative intensity of the ghost spot (P11). After generating the ghost kernel image with the ghost components, a Gaussian blur is applied to smooth the pattern edges. The radius of the blur filter is BLUR\_EDGES.

The ghost kernel image size in pixels:

IMAGESIZE\_X = 1300

IMAGESIZE\_Y = 1000

Kernel center in pixels:

**VECTOR\_OFFSET** = (350, 500)

Radius of the blur filter in pixels:

BLUR EDGES = 5

Pixel stretching center:

 $VECTOR\_STRETCH = (0, 0)$ 

General intensity scale for the full kernel:

INTENSITY\_SCALE = 2.3e-9

Number of **GHOSTSPOTnnnn** components:

VECTOR\_COUNT = 17

The individual vector components (n = 0 - **VECTOR COUNT** -1):

GHOSTSPOT000n = ("VectorType", P0, P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12)

Where:

VectorType: Marker, CircleDraw, CircleFill, EllipseDraw, EllipseFill

P0, P1, P2, P3, P4, P5: vector geometry (x,y coordinates, sizes, radius, rotation)

P6, P7, P8, P9: additional position stretching parameters

P10 : display color RGB DWORD (used only for display)

P11: pattern component relative intensity

P12: display flag (0: used for calculation; 1: display only)



Issue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 11

### 7 Calibration files used by OsiCalliope and GhostCrawler

The data (ghost kernel) files used for the in-field stray-light removal of OSIRIS images are:

- NAC FM GHOST 15 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 16 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 21 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 22 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 23 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 24 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 26 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 27 V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_28\_V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_32\_V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_33\_V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_34\_V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_35\_V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_36\_V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 37 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 38 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 41 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 51 V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_58\_V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_61\_V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_71\_V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_82\_V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_83\_V01.TXT
- NAC\_FM\_GHOST\_84\_V01.TXTNAC\_FM\_GHOST\_86\_V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 87 V01.TXT
- NAC FM GHOST 88 V01.TXT
- WAC FM GHOST 11 V01.TXT
- WAC FM GHOST 12 V01.TXT
- WAC FM GHOST 13 V02.TXT
- WAC FM GHOST 14 V02.TXT
- WAC\_FM\_GHOST\_15\_V01.TXT
- WAC\_FM\_GHOST\_16\_V01.TXT
- WAC FM GHOST 17 V01.TXT
- WAC\_FM\_GHOST\_18\_V01.TXT
- WAC\_FM\_GHOST\_21\_V01.TXT
- WAC FM GHOST 31 V01.TXT
- WAC FM GHOST 41 V01.TXT
- WAC\_FM\_GHOST\_51\_V01.TXT
- WAC FM GHOST 61 V01.TXT
- WAC\_FM\_GHOST\_71\_V01.TXT
- WAC FM GHOST 81 V01.TXT



Reference: **RO-RIS-MPAE-TN-093** Issue: 1 Rev.: -

Date: 3/06/2019

Page: 12

The files are included in each public delivery of OSIRIS data to PSA. The location is specified in the OSIRIS EAICD [RD3].