

Ref: SPIRE-RAL-NOT-1880

Issue: Issue 1.0

Date: 24th November 2003

Page: 1 of 4

SPIRE ICC Workpackage Allocation Ken King

At the Consortium meeting in October, inputs were requested from ICC contributors to identify ICC workpackages for which they felt they could make a significant contribution. From the inputs we have received Seb and I have assigned groups to lead each of the workpackages (see table below).

Most of them fit in with the wishes expressed in the inputs we received, but in some cases we have had to assign work to groups either to take advantage of the effort available at particular sites or to balance the work between sites.

Workpackage Title	Respon- sible	Comments
Overall ICC Management	RAL	
Activities		
Product / Quality Assurance	RAL	
ICC System Engineering	RAL	Continuation of current work
Herschel Ground Segment	RAL	Continuation of current work
Development		
ICC Operations during	all	RAL will provide a central ICC system containing data from
Development		instrument tests and allowing access from external ICC users.
		Other institutes are responsible for providing and maintaining
		their own hardware/software to access this facility.
Information dissemination	ICSTM	
ICC Design	RAL	Contributions will be made from all parties
Training	RAL	All institutes are responsible for general training of their staff as
		required. RAL and ICSTM will organise training on the use of
		ICC systems at relevant times.
Observations and Science Data Reduction Team	ICSTM	
Operations Team	RAL	ICSTM should participate in the testing, and analysis of data
		from tests associated with instrument operating modes
ICC Software Development Team	RAL	Contributions will be expected from all institutes
Calibration Team	RAL	RAL is the current de facto leader. Input swill be expected from all groups participating in data processing definition
Instrument Users Manual	RAL	
Instrument Observations	ICSTM	
Ground Testing of Instrument	ICSTM	RAL will contribute by defining test procedures and executing
Observations		them based on the test specifications from ICSTM. ICSTM will
		analyse the data
Simulation of Instrument	Sussex??	Most of the simulation effort will be made by Cardiff outside
Observations		the remit of the ICC.
		Stockhom could contribute by providing the layer to generate
		TM from the simulator timelines
		CEA, and Lethbridge have offered contributions
Time Estimator	CEA	
SPIRE Contribution to the HCSS	RAL	We would like to increase the contribution by Italy to this WP
Software Development	RAL	Completed already
Infrastructure		



SPIRE-RAL-NOT-1880 Ref: Issue 1.0 24th November 2003 2 of 4

Issue:

Date: Page:

SPIRE ICC Workpackage Allocation Ken King

Ovids I agls Anglesia	DAT	Longo contributions almost unada by ICCTM CEA and Dadus
Quick-Look Analysis	RAL	Large contributions already made by ICSTM, CEA and Padua.
IA Davidonment System	RAL	ICSTM should continue to contribute the majority of the effort. Continuing with the RAL/ICSTM work on this
IA Development System IA Access Tools	RAL	Continuing with the RAL/ICSTM work on this
Engineering Data	Padua	IDAC our shoody wording or consets of this (detector load
Detector Response	IPAC	IPAC are already working on aspects of this (detector load
BSM	Dodus	curves, noise, time constants)
· · ·	Padua	
Averaging	ICSTM	There is some some for med summer in this area from Vent
Deglitching	Lethbridge	There is some scope for real support in this area from Kent. CEA and ICSTM have also offered contributions.
Dainting	ICCTM	
Pointing Flat Fig. 14ing	ICSTM	Or IAC????
Flat Fielding	CEA	ICSTM can make a contribution to this WP
Fourier Transformation	Lethbridge	LAM would like to contribute. They could especially help in the
CCAL	TAC	area of dealing with SMEC data and analysis of results.
SCAL	IAC	It is not clear who should lead this.
		Both LAM and Lethbridge have indicated interest as it directly relates to FTS data processing
		IAC may have an interest as a small standalone WP?
		TAC may have an interest as a small standarone wr:
Telescope	CEA	
Spectral Response	Lethbridge	LAM would like to contribute in the area of FTS processing
User Products	ICSTM	CEA should share work on this (PSF determination)
Scan Mapping	ICSTM	CEA could make a contribution to this
Quality Control 'Pipeline'	ICSTM	CLA Could make a contribution to uns
Photometric Data Analysis	ICSTM	ATC have offered to help with this
Thotometre Data Marysis	ICSTNI	IPAC could also make a contribution
Spectrometric Data Analysis	CEA	IPAC, LAM & Lethbridge could make contributions to this
Instrument Simulator	CLIT	11 Tie, Li wi & Lethoriage could make contributions to this
Provision of Serendipity		
Mode Processing Software		
Key Programs		
Provision of ILT System(s) –	RAL	Mostly complete
includes integration of ILT	_	
systems		
Produce validation software		
to validate scripts and		
observation requests		
Produce Command Validator		
Populate Calibration		
Database (ILT data)		
Support to ILT Tests	RAL	
Provision of IST System(s)	RAL	
Populate Calibration		
Database (IST data)		
Support to IST Tests	RAL	
ICC Operations Centre	RAL	
DAPSAS (UK) Centre	ICSTM	



Ref: SPIRE-RAL-NOT-1880

Issue: Issue 1.0

Date: 24th November 2003

Page: 3 of 4

SPIRE ICC Workpackage Allocation Ken King

DAPSAS (Fr) Centre	CEA	
OBS Maintenance Facility	IFSI	
ICC Integration	RAL	
Ground Segment Integration	RAL	
Ground Segment Testing	RAL	
Provision of Commissioning	RAL	
Phase System (ICC@MOC)		
Commissioning Phase	RAL	
Support		

Notes:

- 1. The group identified in the 'Responsible' column in the table will be responsible for all aspects of the implementation of the workpackage. This does not mean that they have to provide all the effort necessary for this, but that they are responsible for coordinating the effort available for this work in order to complete it. We have indicated in the table other groups who have shown interest in contributing to the workpackages even though they may not have any effort formally available.
- 2. Each of the responsible groups should nominate a Manager who will be responsible for the implementation of all of the workpackages allocated to the group (suggested names are given below). The Manager should have the authority to control the resources available to meet the required deliveries and milestones The functions of the Manager are:
 - to prepare an agreed plan, including schedule and resources allocation, for providing the required outputs and meeting the required milestones.
 - to monitor the progress of work and use of resources and take steps to maintain the delivery dates
 - to coordinate the use of external resources (usually staff) in the implementation of the workpackages
 - to report progress to the ICC Development Manager (and SPIRE project as necessary)
 - to present the status of the workpackages at ICC reviews.

Contacts

CEA: Marc Sauvage msauvage@cea.fr IAC: Ismael Perez-Fournon ipf@ll.iac.es

ICSTM: Dave Clements d.clements@ic.ac.uk

IPAC: Ken Ganga kmg@crunch.ipac.caltech.edu

Kent: Glenn White g.j.white@kent.ac.uk

LAM: Jean-Paul Baluteau jean-paul.baluteau@astrsp-mrs.fr

Lethbridge:Peter Davis-Imhofpeter.davis@uleth.caPadua:Fabio Pasianpasian@ts.astro.itSussex:Seb Olivers.oliver@sussex.ac.uk

The following table gives a summary of the allocated workpackages and a comparison of the estimated effort required against the available effort for each of the major ICC contributors.



Ref: SPIRE-RAL-NOT-1880
Issue: Issue 1.0

Date: 24th November 2003

Page: 4 of 4

SPIRE ICC Workpackage Allocation Ken King

Workpackage Staff Estimates

WBS	Task Name	RAL	ICSTM	CEA	LAM	Italy	Canada	Sweden	Spain	USA	Others	SY
GHS1 - ICC D	Development Phase Workpackages											
GHS11	ICC Continuous Tasks	7.06	2.98	1.08	0.00	0.23	1.70	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.18	13.26
GHS11X1000	Overall ICC Management	2.00						-			0.18	2.18
GHS11X2000	ICC System Engineering	0.43										0.43
GHS11X3000	Product/Quality Assurance	0.75										0.75
GHS11X4000	Herschel Ground Segment Development	0.40										0.40
GHS11X5000	ICC Operations during Development	1.00	0.10	0.10								1.20
GHS11X6000	Information Dissemination	1.00	0.05	0.10								0.05
GHS13X2000	ICC Design	0.03	0.03	0.03		0.03						0.10
011010712000	Training	0.30	0.30	0.20		0.10	0.20		0.05			1.15
	Observations and Science Data Reduction Team	0.00	0.40	0.20		0.10			0.00			0.50
	Operations Team	1.00	2.00			0.10	1.50					4.50
	ICC Software Development Team	0.40	0.10				1.50					0.50
	Calibration Team	0.75	0.10	0.75								1.50
CHC40	Generation of Instrument Information	1.85	1.80	0.60	0.00	0.20	0.70	0.30	0.05	0.05	0.30	5.85
GHS12	Instrument Users' Manual	0.65	1.80	0.60	0.00	0.20	0.70	0.30	0.05	0.05	0.30	0.65
	Instrument Observations	0.03	0.30	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	1.20
	Ground Testing of Instrument Observations	1.00	1.50	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	3.00
	Simulation of Instrument Observations	1.00	1.50		-		0.00	0.05			0.05	
		-		0.50			0.00	0.25			0.25	0.50
	Time Estimator			0.50								0.50
GHS13	Development Activities	1.55	6.10	3.30	0.75	3.05	3.75	0.00	1.50	2.00	0.25	22.25
GHS13X1100	SPIRE Contributions to HCSS	1.00	1.00	0.60		1.40						4.00
GHS13X3000	Software Development Infrastructure											0.00
GHS13X5000	Quick-Look Analysis	0.20	1.00	0.30		0.40						1.90
	IA Development System	0.25	0.25									0.50
	IA Access Tools	0.10										0.10
	Engineering Data					0.50						0.50
	Detector Response									2.00		2.00
	BSM					0.75						0.75
	Averaging		0.25									0.25
	Deglitching						0.50				0.25	0.75
	Pointing		1.50									1.50
	Flat Fielding		0.10	0.65								0.75
ì	Fourier Transformation				0.50		1.50					2.00
ì	SCAL				0.00		0.00		1.50			1.50
ì	Telescope			0.75								0.75
ì	Spectral Response		0.25		0.00		1.75					2.00
ì	User Products		1.00	1.00								2.00
i	Scan Mapping		0.25	0.00								0.25
i	Quality Control Pipeline		0.25									0.25
i	Photometric Data Analysis		0.25							0.00		0.25
ì	Spectrometric Data Analysis				0.25		0.00			0.00		0.25
	Instrument Simulator											0.00
	Provision of Serendipity Mode Processing Software											0.00
	Key Programs											0.00

WBS	Task Name	RAL	ICSTM	France	France	Italy	Canada	Sweden	Spain	USA	???	SY
GHS2 - Suppo	ort to Instrument Team Activities											
GHS21	ILT Support	1.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.70
GHS21X1000	Provision of ILT System(s)	0.20										0.20
GHS21X2000	Provision of Validation Software											0.00
GHS21X3000	Provision of Command Validator											0.00
GHS21X4000	Populate Calibration Database (ILT data)											0.00
GHS21X5000	Support to ILT Tests	1.00					0.50					1.50
GHS22	IST Support	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.60
GHS22X1000	Provision of IST System(s)	0.20										0.20
GHS22X2000	Populate Calibration Database (IST data)											0.00
GHS22X3000	Support to IST Tests	0.20					0.20					0.40

WBS	Task Name	RAL	ICSTM	France	France	Italy	Canada	Sweden	Spain	USA	???	SY
GHS3 - Opera	tions Preparation											
GHS31	Facilities	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.40
GHS31X1000	ICC Operations Centre	0.20										0.20
GHS31X2000	DAPSAS (UK) Centre		0.20									0.20
GHS31X3000	DAPSAS (Fr) Centre											0.00
GHS31X4000	On Board Software Maintenance Facility					1.00						1.00
GHS33	Integration and Test	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.60
GHS33X1000	ICC Integration	0.30					0.10					0.40
GHS33X2000	Ground Segment Integration	0.30					0.30					0.60
GHS33X3000	Ground Segment Testing	0.30					0.30					0.60
GHS34	Commissioning Phase	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
GHS34X1000	Provision of Commissioning Phase System (ICC@MOC)	0.25										0.25
GHS34X2000	Commissioning Phase Support	0.25										0.25

Totals	13.66	11.08	4.98	0.75	4.48	7.55	0.30	1.60	2.05	0.73	47.16
Available	7.70	10.30	8.80	4.00	5.20	6.75	1.30	2.20	4.50	0.70	51.45