CEA Documentation for Grounding Review

This is a set of relevant CEA documents compiled by the Project Team on September 19.

Contents:

- 1. Dominique Schmitt EMC Note May 26 2002
- 2. Dominique Schmitt SPIRE FPU Inner Enclosure Note May 29 2002
- 3. Dominique Schmitt PSU Grounding Note 20 June 2002
- 4. Christophe Cara DCU Redesign Note 10 Sept 2002
- 5. Available information on the HFI grounding scheme





The subject of the present note is rather general but special mentions to the SPIRE instrument has been introduced to help the understanding of specific principles adopted here.

By the way, these specific principles are tightly attached to the adopted instrument configuration and environmental constraints for the flight. Changes on one of them or both and the "specific principles" could be drastically affected. That s the EMC ambiguity.

1.1 GENERIC APPROACH

We first have to take into account that all devices generating, converting or consuming power are noisy sources and[°]:

All injected current must inevitably return to its own source.

All induced current must inevitably circulates into conductive tracks and structures, in one or several loops.

Induced voltages always exist loops being closed or not.

In higher frequency, injected or induced currents circulate everywhere. Loops and grounding network concepts are superfluous. Common mode impedance (inductance) is the main trouble.

In basic system instrumentation where victims and noisy sources are well identified it is mandatory to create barriers between the both worlds. In a more complex situation or when both worlds share the same location, a hierarchy shall be identified. It shall be noted that the level of susceptibility of victims and parasitic emission of noisy sources are not accuratly known excepted when the system has reached its final and definitive configuration in its proper environment.

Most of the time reducing parasitic emission at source level is very hard to achieve and useless if not performed on all noisy sources with an appropriate accuracy. The latter being out of control this work would lead to wasted time and money (space qualification of exotic devices) if not kept at reasonnable level and then limited results. Only the barrier concept can be kept under control with reasonnable chances of success.

It shall be also noted that none barrier is perfect. In addition, most of the EMC remedies are in contrast one with each other and shall be used with distinction. Excessive use of EMC cures has opposite effects, generates huge interactivities into the system leading to uncontrolled situation.

EMC strategie shall be kept clear, simple and stupid. Impacts on the design shall be taken into account from the beginning. Details shall be consolidated along the project. Due to contrasts each cure affects the next one. This is why each cure shall have limited number of impacts and shall respond, as much as possible, to a precise requirement in a hierarchical order.

Class of devices in order of importance°:

Victims°:

- Sensors or transducers
- Low level amplifiers
- ADC
- •

Noisy sources°:

- Power generator
- Power converter and chopper
- Digital devices
- Power amplifiers
- Power loads
- •

Special class of noisy sources are related to the environmental Electromagnetic fields and Electrostatic discharges.

In the following text "mandatory" is very often used. It shall be interpreted as the most effective technic when applicable. To go against would lead to increase the level of incertities in a world where there are already many of them.





1.2 NOISY SOURCE CURES IN ORDER OF IMPORTANCE

It shall be first noted that due to thermal constraints the electrical environment of the main victim (FPU sensors) is highly resistive (also inductive). A common grounding reference cannot be identified. Any injected current towards the FPU is highly susceptible to generate common mode voltages of several orders of magnitude higher than the useful signal.

1.2.1 Common mode Injected currents

Objective°: to stop raising up currents or voltages towards the FPU.

Directly in proportion with involved powers, they cannot be avoided or even reduced. They come from any connexion to external or internal noisy sources (wires, shieldings, ground-power planes..). They must return promptly to their own sources (e.g. other units, generators, converters, amplifiers, digital devices). Return paths shall be short and via the chassis footprints for the peripheral noisy sources when a good conductive blanket is available. Common mode impedances shall be kept very low especially where these impedances are shared with the victim (contact between DRCU units and the conductive plateform). Surface contacts are then mandatory.

In a hierarchical order, low level amplifiers shall be referenced to the chassis at the FPU connector side where the common mode voltage of the FPU-DRCU harnesses is referenced. Chassis is the only conductor presenting the lowest impedance at all frequency. In order to limit internal loops, inner grounding planes supporting low level amplifiers and ADC shall be connected to the chassis near the connector location.

Analog and digital circuitries are inevitably closely linked via the ADC on the same board. To reduce common mode impedance effects a large ground plane is mandatory. Zonal analog and digital circuitry is mandatory in order to reduce mixed currents into the ground plane. Digital entrance circuitry shall be referenced to chassis in a short way. Peripheral surface contacts between chassis and ground planes are then also mandatory.

On the SVM plateform, where all the power devices are concentrated, electrical loops between units are the rule for injected current feedback reasons. Internal electrical devices in units other than DRCU could be left floating but that means all injected currents in the system will flow throught the DRCU before to get back to their sources increasing common mode impedance problem at DRCU level.

Besides, there are numerous parastic capacitance and resistance everywhere in a so complex and so concentrated warm electronics. To be efficient filtering on power devices needs also to be referenced to chassis in order to meet common mode emission ESA requirements. Therefore the floating concept is not well defined when over few kHz and could bring additionnal troubles in the transition regions. Floating concept need to be used with distinction and where under control. Good common mode rejection technics is then obviously mandatory at units inputs.

1.2.2 Common mode Induced currents

Objective°: to stop raising up currents or voltages towards the FPU.

Induced currents are generated by electrostatique discharges and electromagnetique fields coming from the environment or from inner or outer power devices. The major part come from the SVM plateform where all power devices are concentrated. An other part come from the loop antennas made up by all harnesses between FPU and SVM.

Harness shieldings are a part of the overall enclosure consisting in a single Faraday cage surrounding all the instrumentation from the FPU and including each unit box on the SVM plateform. It is the main barrier to induced current effects. This Faraday cage is not perfect especially on harness sides.

First of all harness shieldings, must be electrically in contact all along with the metallic structure of the satellite in order to return promptly to the source the huge current induced by electrostatique discharges and then to reduce high voltage propagation to the victims. This is also valid for unit boxes. Specific ESD protections can be used when isolation is required at some places.

In NONE CIRCUMSTANCES this Faraday cage can be broken. Harness shieldings shall be attached on 360; at both ends on backshells. Flexible harness shieldings shall have a resistance as low as possible in order to be efficient at lower frequency. Optical aperture of flexible shieldings shall be kept as low as possible in order to





improve the shielding efficiency. Electrical continuity via wire bonding even on few centimeters is simply BANNED.

Then loops exist anyway. If it is not the case at some places then especially long transmission lines are perfect monopole antennas. Induced currents on shielding are unavoidable. Therefore reducing loop areas in the 3 dimensions is mandatory.

To keep tight contact between harnesses and conductive structure and blanket is already a good approach. To tight harnesses all together along the instrument chain and especially between the SVM and the FPU is mandatory.

Residual effects are strictly equivalent to injected currents effects and therefore the same cures are reported here.

1.3 FPU SIGNAL TRANSMISSION

Objective. To transport the correct signal to the right location

Shielding starts to be efficient above few kHz (c.f. above conditions). Below, inner wires and traks are susceptible to common mode emission and especially to magnetic fields. Common mode voltages due to residual injected current still exist at all frequencies. Transfert to the differential mode shall then be strictly limited and kept under control.

When the frequency bandwidth of main victim is below few kHz, at the end of a transmission line, and when a good conductive structure is not available to reduce common mode impedance with the rest of the instrumentation chain, the only effective solution is therefore to keep the victim floating (very common solution for thermocouple in noisy industry like Aluminum Foundry for instance).

Electrical isolation shall be kept under control. Symetrical and balanced impedance lines shall compensate for isolation defects especially at medium frequency. High common mode rejection shall be used at amplifier inputs. Harness shielding efficiency and HF filtering attenuation shall be optimised in order to avoid detection effects on non-linear devices and to accomodate the amplifier inputs.

Symetrical and balanced impedance lines is one of the rare EMC technic which always help in a cumulative way. However its validity domain is limited. In usual situation we cannot expect more than 20 to 30 dB of additionnal common mode rejection. To get some improvements special care shall be taken on all parts involved in the transmission lines and at all frequencies. If necessary dedicated parts can be introduced into the transmission line in order to get a better control on the dispersed characteristics of the transmission line, especially in the transition regions.

Capacitive feedtroughs have been introduced for this reason. They act on many ways[°]: on the dispersed characterics of the transmission lines, as part of RF filtering attenuator, and especially to reflect the common mode voltage towards the input amplifier before the victim to be affected by its own parasitic capacitor (isolation defect). The latter can also be understood as a barrier for injected currents into the victim for frequencies over the useful bandwidth. To be effective feedthrough capacitance shall be much larger than all parasitic capacitance.

1.4 CROSSTALKS

Crosstalk effects is an important topic but in a second order of magnitude. EMC cures are quite usual and have limited impacts on the overall design and then kept out of the present note.

1.5 ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1°: The overall simplified SPIRE instrumentation chain It shall be noted that the term of "grouding" is a bit improper since only reference to local enclosure voltages can be used in that chain.

Figure 2: EMC parameters to be computed and consolidated for the best performances.



INTRODUCTION TO EMC TECHNICS FOR HERSCHEL INSTRUMENTATION









EMC SPIRE GENERAL CONSIDERATION



2 main parasitic mechanisms:

-Induced voltage in signal bandwidth

(electromagnetic field in loop, current injection in common impedance, cross effect...)

-RF detection in unlinear devices

Common mode induced voltage is more problematic for space application

global CMR(f) = VDM/VCM = CMRdev(f) * TI(f) * X(f) * ACM(f)

CMRdev	:	Common mode rejection of victim device
TI	:	Transfer impedance (cable shielding efficiency)
Х	:	unbalanced line impedance/coupling factor
ACM	:	Common mode filtering attenuation factor





Dear all.

The last meeting helped me to understand what was expected with the floating enclosure in the FPU. The good practice is above illustrated but simplified for a better understanding. Cpd is the parasitic capacitance difficult to reduce at detector level, Cc the overall capacitance seen by each inner wire, Cps capacitance between both enclosures, Z the equivalent impedance of the inner shielding which is complex due to the mutual coupling with the outer shielding. The resulting current (I) flowing into the detector is therefore reduced by a factor

Low frequency:

 $I \approx VCM \frac{i Z Cps \omega}{1 + i Z Cps \omega} i \omega \frac{Cc Cpd}{Cc + Cpd + Cf}$

DCU

Сс

Very low frequency:

 $I \approx VCM Z \omega^2 \frac{Cps Cc Cpd}{Cc + Cpd + Cf}$

Z ω Cps at very low frequency compared to the absence of a guard shielding. The use of simple wire instead of inner shielding is clearly a degraded mode. Inner shielding has many benefits compared to a simple wire:

- to keep an impedance Z very low at low frequency (see equation)

- At high frequency guard shielding effects disappear anyway but the overall shielding consistency is kept with a good balance and a better shielding efficiency (reduced optical aperture)

- At intermediate frequency things are much more complex since resonance frequencies are very common when shielding or wire are open at one end.

Inner sheilding keeps balanced impedance and mutual coupling consistencies. The resulting equation is of 4th order.

Wire guard situation is simply awful. Interactive mutual coupling take place between wire guard, inner wires and shielding, impedance loads are totally unbalanced, resonance frequencies are numerous. The resulting equation is about of 7 or 8th order for a first approach. Additionnal screnning leads to additionnal nightmare (dont trust SPICE!!).

I hope this can help you and especially Matt for the last question which was too complex to deal with in a few minutes. Cpd value is clearly relaxed at low frequency but not so much at higher frequency. Also for Eric this is a good illustration on what kind of test could be performed prior anything else. Detector susceptibility to the common mode current I vs frequency would allow to size more accuretly the value of each component.

Kind Regards Dominique

SPIRE PSU_DRCU Power/Grounding Implementation

DCU Box

DS-20/06/02



DCU re-design JLA-CCa-DS-LV - 09/09/2002



TO CONSIDERE ANY INTERMEDIATE SOLUTION WE NEED:

- Specifications & tests
 - full specification as to be based on an EMC modelling

 need for a EMC oriented FPU simulator (including expected noise sources) for representative performance measurements From: Dominique SCHMITT <schmitt@discovery.saclay.cea.fr> To: Jamie Bock <jjb@astro.caltech.edu>, Victor Hristov <vvh@astro.caltech.edu>, Gerald Lilienthal <gerald.lilienthal@jpl.nasa.gov>, "Delderfield, J (John) " <J.Delderfield@rl.ac.uk>, "Swinyard, BM (Bruce) " <B.M.Swinyard@rl.ac.uk>, "Sawyer, EC (Eric) " <E.C.Sawyer@rl.ac.uk> Cc: Laurent Vigroux <vigroux@discovery.saclay.cea.fr>, CARA Christophe SMTP <ccara@cea.fr>, AUGUERES Jean-Louis DAPNIA <AUGUERES@dapnia.cea.fr> Subject: Needed requirement specification for DRCU Date: Tue, 16 Jul 2002 16:56:50 +0100

Dear All,

Please find attached 2 presentations made on HFI EMC concept in 1 year distance. The concept being consolidated there is no major change between them. The illustrated simulation is based on 1 Amp injected into the shielding structure in the range 100Hz to 1GHz.

The design considers a good continuity of the Faraday cage along the overall instrumentation chain. Stanless steel tubing is used where appropriate.

Local electrical grounds of every cold parts (including bolometers) are isolated from the Faraday enclosure and cooler with a good control of all parasitic capacitance (no more than 10pF).

Warm electronics are referenced to chassis. All secondary power outputs are referenced to chassis in a shortest way.

Question is still raised about the reference of the pre-amplifier unit (PAU) to chassis.

Unbalanced line impedance is not included in the model since the concept is less sensitive to a such parasitic effect (and also very difficult to compute). It is agreed the result shown has to be degraded by 10 to 20 dB depending the considered frequency.

HFI system is very complexe due to the large bandwidth of the bolometers up to 100Hz in a direct link and take into account microphony, tribo-electricity, crosstalks, non-linearity and disturbancy induced by JT cooler as well.

HFI CEM concept is very similar to the concept sustained independantly by the CEA excepted that the HFI system team started a deep analysis of their system more than 1 year ago with relevant huge ressources.

Then we dont see any reason why this concept cannot be applied on SPIRE. The cabling could be then reasonnably simplified with a better control of all unwanted parameters (mutual, capacitive coupling and related resonance frequencies). Constraints on the warm electronic would be reasonably limited as well to a realistic and controled design.

Besides, HFI CEM analysis started on the concept used on the Edelweiss flight balloun. The CNES analysis showed that there is no comparaison between balloun and satellite environmental constraints. Is that sound something to you ?

People changed their mind in view of the simulation approach which takes much more things into account and relevant to the satellite constraints.

Regards,

Dominique



Instrument Working Group September 27-28, 2001



1

Toward Achieving Low Noise

EMI / EMC - Grounding - Wiring

Dominique Yvon / CEA Saclay - SPP





People Involved

- Jean-Paul Chabaud (CESR/Toulouse).
- Jacques Lande (CESR/Toulouse).
- Johan Panh (CNES/Toulouse).
- Roger Pons (CESR/Toulouse).
- Jean-Pierre Torre (Labo Aéronomie, Verrière le Buisson).
- Dominique Yvon (CEA Saclay).





Guidelines For Low Noise Design

- Microphony
 - Detector Design
 - Cable design and implementation.
- EMI-EMC. Many aspects. Many process.
 - Conducted Mode Radiated Mode.
 - Field to wire coupling.
 - High Frequency Resonances.
 - Cross-talk.
 - Common Mode Noise.
 - Ground loops.
 - Non linearity.



Instrument Working Group September 27th –28th , 2001

Microphony vs Wiring

- 3 Process.
 - Tribo-electricity. (Main Mecanism).
 - Cutted Flux.
 - Varying capacitance.

• Cure

- Use low-triboelectricity Cables. (Semiconductive material layer coating of the dielectric).
- Rigidify the wiring.

PLANCK





Ordering a cable - Last Rocambole's Story

- Low-Noise Shielded twisted bifilar Cable.
 - Grade 1: Designed and Ordered late February.
 - Delivery delay: 10 weeks.
 - Finally delivered last Monday.
 - BUT: Fragmented No low-triboelectricity Layer.
- Habia sheepish. Promiss express remanufacturing.
 - Stainless steel central conductors (less fragmentation?).
- Big planning problem anyway.
 - Grade 2: Designed and Ordered late March.
 - Claim delivery delay: 10 weeks.
 - BUT: Habia still trying to make it!
- Quotation request (Grade 1) send to Axon and Gore.



Instrument Working Group

September $27^{\text{th}} - 28^{\text{th}}$, 2001



Simulation Work - From bolometer to REU.





Instrument Working Group September 27th –28th, 2001

Grounding Design



- Instrument/Readout placed in a Faraday Cage.
 - Grounded at REU/PAU
 - Electrical insulation/thermalisation with low capacity.
 - (Better control of High freq EMI) Thick Sapphire insulation.
- Cable braids makes Russian Puppet Faraday cage for detector and readout.
 - Compromise necessary with dilution heat exchanger.
 - Guide line: One single ground path for each shield.
- Detail designs still under discussion.
- Will be validated by tests.



Instrument Working Group

September 27th -28th , 2001



Tentative Grounding schematics



FPU - REU GROUND ING PHIL OSO PHY

Dominique Yvon / CEA Saclay - SPP



Instrument Working Group

September $27^{\text{th}} - 28^{\text{th}}$, 2001



Model: Asymmetric Stray capacitance. FET-BOX Grounding





Dominique Yvon / CEA Saclay - SPP



Conclusions



- Work in progress.
- Habia in trouble manufacturing low triboelectric cable
 - Quotation request sent to other companies.
 - Planning delays.
- Simulation work in progress.
 - Please end us preliminary drawing.
 - Bolometer sample holder. 4K mechanics design, etc
- Request for low electrical capacity insulation of the instrument. 4K cooler, thermalisation, etc.
- Magnetic field?
- We wish tests would start soon.
 - Looking for hardware samples.





d'Exigences Préliminaires

EMC - GROUNDING





General Grounding



FPU GROUNDING

PLANCK

HF







GROUNDING **ENTRE PLATINE 0.1K** ET **ETAGE 1.6 K**

28-29/11/2000



28-29/11/2000











d'Exigences Préliminaires

GROUNDING POUR LE SORPTION COOLER ET LE JT COOLER

28-29/11/2000

7





28-29/11/2000 FFF Satellite Structure

GROUNDING FOR THE DILUTION COOLER



Elaboration d'un modèle EMC





28-29/11/2000

R. PONS / CESR / EMC -GROUNDING



Cage de Faraday parfaite

V et I bolomètre - Symétrie parfaite - FET-BOX flottant - Imc_inj = 1A (fichier rdp_0)



28-29/11/2000

R. PONS / CESR / EMC -GROUNDING

PLANCK

HF



Influences dissymétrie capas montage bolomètre et mise à la masse FET-BOX



I et V bolomètre Cp1=0.1pF Cp2=0.5pF - FET-BOX / Satellite - R_470/400 = 10mΩ (fichier :rdp_4)



R. PONS / CESR / EMC -GROUNDING



Influence Filtre HF au niveau des bolomètres



I et V bolomètre - Influence des condensateurs parasites au niveau du bolometre Cp1=0.1pF et Cp2=0.5pF (fichier rdp_1)



R. PONS / CESR / EMC -GROUNDING



Dissymétrie montage différentiel au **PLANCK** niveau FET BOX

l et V bolomètre - La dissymétrie est déplacée du bolomètre aux accès 410 et 420 du FET-BOX (fichier : rdp_3)













CONCLUSION



Préliminaires

- Une Solution idéale au point de vue électrique a été trouvée
- Besoin de travailler avec les thermiciens pour obtenir un compromis
- Modélisations réalisées au CNES avec logiciel EMC-CNES