

SPIRE sensitivity models SPIRE-QMW-NOT-000642
Matt Griffin
6 April 2001

The sensitivities of the SPIRE photometer and spectrometer for point source and mapping observations have been computed in the attached MathCad worksheets, under the assumptions listed. The main assumptions made in estimating the scientific performance of the instrument are listed below. Additional assumptions are given in the worksheets.

Telescope temperature (K)	80		
Telescope emissivity	0.04		
Telescope used diameter (m) (1)	3.29		
No. of observable hours per 24-hr period	21		
Photometer			
Bands (μm)	250	350	500
Numbers of detectors	139	88	43
Beam FWHM (arcsec.)	17	24	35
Bolometer DQE (2)	0.6	0.7	0.7
Throughput	λ^2		
Bolometer yield	0.8		
Feed-horn/cavity efficiency (3)	0.7		
Field of view (arcmin.)	Scan mapping 4 x 8		
	Field mapping 4 x 4		
Overall instrument transmission	0.3		
Filter widths ($\lambda/\Delta\lambda$)	3.3		
Observing efficiency (slewing, setting up, etc.)	0.9		
Chopping efficiency factor	0.45		
Reduction in telescope background by cold stop (4)	0.8		
FTS spectrometer			
Bands (μm)	200-300	300-670	
Numbers of detectors	37	19	
Bolometer DQE	0.6	0.7	
Feed-horn/cavity efficiency	0.70		
Field of view diameter (arcmin.)	2.6		
Max. spectral resolution (cm^{-1})	0.04		
Overall instrument transmission	0.15		
Signal modulation efficiency	0.5		
Observing efficiency	0.8		
Electrical filter efficiency	0.8		

Notes:

- The telescope secondary mirror is the pupil stop for the system, so that the outer edges of the primary mirror are not seen by the detectors. This is important to make sure that radiation from highly emissive elements beyond the primary reflector does not contribute stray light.
- The bolometer DQE (Detective Quantum Efficiency) is defined as $\left[\frac{NEP_{ph}}{NEP_{Total}} \right]^2$ where NEP_{ph} is the photon noise NEP due to the absorbed radiant power and NEP_{Total} is the overall NEP including the contribution from the bolometer noise.
- This is the overall absorption efficiency of the combination of feed-horn, cavity and bolometer element.
- A fraction of the feedhorn throughput falls outside the solid angle defined by the photometer 2-K cold stop and is thus terminated on a cold (non-emitting) surface rather than on the 4% emissive 80-K telescope. This reduces the background power on the detector.

**Photometer sensitivity model
for SPIRE feedhorn option**

SPIRE_Phot_2_IIDR.MCD

6 April 2001

This version: SPIRE_Phot_2.MCD

* Update prepared for IIDR

Previous versions:

BOLPH_01.MCD 18 Sept. 1997

Modified to compute mapping sensitivity correctly following discussion with WKG

BOLPH_02.MCD 11 Oct. 1997

Telescope focal ratio changed to f/9.59

Horn outside diameter changed to $2F\lambda$

Hours per day changed from 20 to 22

BOLPH_03.MCD 11 Nov. 1997

Telescope focal ratio changed to f/8.68

Dtel changed to 3.285 m

BOLPH_04.MCD 26 Nov. 1997

Adjusted calculation of sensitivity for frame mapping to use factors for S/N enhancement as in draft note on mapping speed by Griffin, Bock and Gear

NEPdet changed from $1E-17$ to $3E-17$

Observing efficiency: 0.9 for point source ; 0.8 for field map

BOLPH_05.MCD 2 April 1999

Revised to include each optical element of photometer explicitly

15-K level makes significant additional contribution

Overall transmission still set at around 0.3

BOLPH_06.MCD 22 April 1999

Revised to incorporate 4 x 8 fov for deep surveys

Strong source power levels calculated

Internal calibrator requirements now included

BOLPH_07.MCD 16 May 1999

Detector sensitivity characterised in terms of DQE

BOLPH_07_revised.MCD 28 June 1999

New version incorporating Jamie's comments

in his e-mail of June 25. Revisions are noted

in purple.

BOLPH_08.MCD

Version prepared for array selection meeting

* Bands set at 250, 350, 500 mm, the nominal values used for the array selection

* Temperature table updated to reflect current optical/thermal design

* Power and NEP now referred to what is absorbed by the detector

* Only one observing efficiency factor (0.9) used for all observations

* Full NEPph calculation implemented (makes no real difference)

BOLPH_08_JPL_Spec.MCD

* QE changed to represent bolometer + horn with spec of 0.6, goal of 0.85

* DQE wrt absorbed power now used to define overall NEP using values in

JPL spec doc.

SPIRE_Phot_1.MCD 21 November 2000

* Version prepared for Systems Design Review and Toledo Meeting

Constants $h \equiv 6.626 \cdot 10^{-34}$ $c \equiv 3 \cdot 10^8$ $kb \equiv 1.38 \cdot 10^{-23}$ Planck function $B(\nu, T) := \frac{2 \cdot h \cdot (\nu)^3}{c^2 \cdot \left[e^{\left(\frac{h \cdot \nu}{kb \cdot T} \right)} - 1 \right]}$

$i \equiv 1, 2, \dots, 3$ $origin \equiv 1$

Assumptions

Telescope Temp. Emissivity Diameter Area Focal ratio

$T_{tel} \equiv 80$ $\epsilon_{tel} \equiv 0.04$ $D_{tel} \equiv 3.285$ $A_{tel} \equiv 0.25 \cdot \pi \cdot D_{tel}^2$ $F_{tel} := 8.68$

Plate scale at telescope focus (arcsec/mm): $PS := \frac{1}{D_{tel} \cdot F_{tel}} \cdot \frac{360}{2 \cdot \pi} \cdot 3.6$ $PS = 7.23$

Plate scale at arrays (arcsec/mm): $PSA := PS \cdot \frac{8.68}{5}$ $PSA = 12.56$

Beamwidths (arcsec.): $FWHM_i := \frac{1.11 \cdot \lambda_i \cdot 10^{-6}}{D_{tel}} \cdot \frac{360}{2 \cdot \pi} \cdot 3600$ $FWHM_i =$

17.4
24.4
34.8

Feedhorn point source coupling efficiency: $\eta_{tel} \equiv 0.7$

Final optics focal ratio $F_{fin} := 5$

Cold stop attenuation of telescope background: $\eta_{cs} := 0.8$

Bolometer and feedhorn properties (see BDA Subsystem Spec. Doc. SPIRE-JPL-PRJ-000456):

Overall optical efficiency of horn + bolometer combination $\eta_{feed_min} := 0.45$ $\eta_{feed_goal} := 0.85$ $\eta_{feed_nom} := 0.7$

DQE of horn-bolometer combination $DQE_min_i :=$ $DQE_goal_i :=$ $DQE_nom_i :=$

0.55
0.61
0.66

0.66
0.73
0.79

0.6
0.7
0.7

$\eta_{feed} := \eta_{feed_nom}$

$DQE_i := DQE_nom_i$

Bolometer yield $y_min := 0.75$ $y_goal := 0.9$ $y_nom := 0.8$ $yield := y_nom$

Chopping efficiency factor $\eta_{ch} \equiv 0.45$

Observing efficiency (slewing, mechanism overheads, etc.): $\eta_{obs} \equiv 0.9$

Bands: defined by central wavelengths (in μm) and resolution of the filters

$\lambda_i \equiv$ $R_i :=$

250	3.3
350	3.3
500	3.3

$\nu_i := \frac{c}{\lambda_i \cdot 10^{-6}}$ $\lambda_{L_i} := \lambda_i - \frac{\lambda_i}{2 \cdot R_i}$ $\lambda_{U_i} := \lambda_i + \frac{\lambda_i}{2 \cdot R_i}$ $\Delta \lambda_i := \frac{\lambda_i}{R_i}$ $\Delta \nu_i := \frac{\nu_i}{R_i}$

$\nu_{L_i} := \frac{c}{\lambda_{U_i} \cdot 10^{-6}}$ $\nu_{U_i} := \frac{c}{\lambda_{L_i} \cdot 10^{-6}}$

$i =$	$\lambda_i =$	$\lambda_{L_i} =$	$\lambda_{U_i} =$	$\Delta \lambda_i =$	$\nu_i \cdot 10^{-9} =$	$\nu_{L_i} \cdot 10^{-9} =$	$\nu_{U_i} \cdot 10^{-9} =$	$\Delta \nu_i \cdot 10^{-9} =$
1	250	212	288	76	1200	1042	1414	364
2	350	297	403	106	857	744	1010	260
3	500	424	576	152	600	521	707	182

Transmission, emissivity and temperature of optical elements

$j = 0, 1 \dots k = 0, 1 \dots 12$

$T_{dets} = 0.3$ $T_2 = 2.0$ $T_4 = 5.0$ $T_4 = 5$

	$k =$	$t_k =$	$\epsilon_k =$	$T_k =$	$td_j =$
0 = Telescope	0	0.960	0.04	Ttel	0.301
1 = 15-K filter	1	0.900	0.100	T4	0.334
2 = M3	2	0.995	0.005	T4	0.336
3 = M4	3	0.995	0.005	T4	0.338
4 = M5	4	0.995	0.005	T4	0.339
5 = 4-K filter	5	0.900	0.100	T4	0.377
6 = M6	6	0.995	0.005	T4	0.379
7 = 2-K filter	7	0.900	0.100	T2	0.421
8 = M7	8	0.900	0.100	T2	0.423
9 = Dichroic	9	0.995	0.005	T2	0.47
10 = M8	10	0.525	0.300	Tdets	0.473
11 = Bandpass filter	11	0.900	0.100	Tdets	0.9
12 = Blocker	12				

Transmission from element to detector $td_j = \prod_{k=j+1}^{12} t_k$

Array parameters

Detector Numbers

$N_{dets_i} :=$ $N_{dets_i} =$

$15 \cdot 5 + 16 \cdot 4$	139
$13 \cdot 4 + 12 \cdot 3$	88
$9 \cdot 3 + 8 \cdot 2$	43

Horn aperture outside dia. (mm)

$D_{horn_i} := \frac{2 \cdot F_{fin} \cdot \lambda_i}{1000}$

$D_{horn_i} =$

2.5
3.5
5.0

Array dimension cente-centre (pixels):

$N_{max_i} := N_{min_i} :=$

15	8
12	6
8	4

Horn size projected onto telescope focus (mm):

$D_{pix_i} := (D_{horn_i}) \cdot \frac{F_{tel}}{F_{fin}}$

Array dimensions at telescope focus centre-centre (mm):

$L_{mm_i} := N_{max_i} \cdot D_{pix_i}$

$W_{mm_i} := N_{min_i} \cdot D_{pix_i}$

Field size (arcmin):

$L_{arcmin_i} := \frac{L_{mm_i} \cdot PS}{60}$

$W_{arcmin_i} := \frac{W_{mm_i} \cdot PS}{60}$

$D_{horn_i} =$	$D_{pix_i} =$	$L_{mm_i} =$	$W_{mm_i} =$	$L_{arcmin_i} =$	$W_{arcmin_i} =$
2.5	4.3	65	35	7.8	4.2
3.5	6.1	73	36	8.8	4.4
5.0	8.7	69	35	8.4	4.2

Background power levels on the detectors

Throughput:

$$A\Omega_i := \eta_{cs} \cdot (\lambda_i \cdot 10^{-6})^2$$

Power contribution absorbed by detector from any element (pW)

$$\text{Power}_{i,j} := \text{td}_j \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot 10^{12} \cdot \eta_{\text{feed}} \cdot \int_{\nu_{L_i}}^{\nu_{U_i}} B(\nu, T_j) \cdot A\Omega_i \, d\nu$$

Total power absorbed by detector (pW)

$$P_{\text{det}_i} := \sum_{n=0}^9 \text{Power}_{i,n}$$

Power_{1,j} =

3.93
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00

Power_{2,j} =

3.16
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00

Power_{3,j} =

2.41
0.01
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.01
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00

Note that the background power on the detectors is totally dominated by the telescope

Photon noise levels and single-detector NEFD

Photon noise limited NEP (full expression)

$$\text{NEP}_{\text{ph}_i} := \left[\frac{4 \cdot A\Omega_i \cdot h^2}{c^2} \cdot \int_{\nu_{L_i}}^{\nu_{U_i}} \frac{\epsilon_{\text{tel}} \cdot \text{td}_0 \cdot \eta_{\text{feed}} \cdot \nu^4}{e^{\left(\frac{h \cdot \nu}{k_B \cdot T_0}\right)} - 1} \cdot \left[1 + \frac{\epsilon_{\text{tel}} \cdot \text{td}_0 \cdot \eta_{\text{feed}}}{e^{\left(\frac{h \cdot \nu}{k_B \cdot T_0}\right)} - 1} \right] \, d\nu \right]^{0.5} \cdot 10^{17}$$

Overall NEP (W Hz^{-1/2} x 10⁻¹⁷)

$$\text{NEP}_{\text{tot}_i} := \frac{\text{NEP}_{\text{ph}_i}}{(\text{DQE}_i)^{0.5}} \quad \text{referred to the power absorbed by the detector}$$

Detector NEP (W Hz^{-1/2} x 10⁻¹⁷)

$$\text{NEP}_{\text{det}_i} := \left[(\text{NEP}_{\text{tot}_i})^2 - (\text{NEP}_{\text{ph}_i})^2 \right]^{0.5}$$

NEFD (mJy Hz^{-1/2}) for point source chopped observations

$$\text{NEFD}_{\text{p}_i} := \frac{\text{NEP}_{\text{tot}_i} \cdot 10^{-17} \cdot 10^{26} \cdot 1000}{\eta_{\text{ch}} \cdot \eta_{\text{tel}} \cdot 2^{0.5} \cdot A_{\text{tel}} \cdot \text{td}_0 \cdot \Delta \nu_i \cdot t_0 \cdot \eta_{\text{feed}}} \quad \text{Factor of SQRT(2) from pixel-pixel chopping}$$

NEFD (mJy Hz^{-1/2}) for field mapping (jiggle mode)

$$\text{NEFD}_{\text{f}_i} := \frac{\text{NEP}_{\text{tot}_i} \cdot 10^{-17} \cdot 10^{26} \cdot 1000}{\eta_{\text{ch}} \cdot \eta_{\text{tel}} \cdot A_{\text{tel}} \cdot \text{td}_0 \cdot \Delta \nu_i \cdot t_0 \cdot \eta_{\text{feed}}} \quad \text{No factor of SQRT(2) in the denominator as we are not pixel-pixel chopping}$$

NEFD (mJy Hz^{-1/2}) for scan map observations without chopping

$$\text{NEFD}_{\text{s}_i} := \frac{\text{NEP}_{\text{tot}_i} \cdot 10^{-17} \cdot 10^{26} \cdot 1000}{\eta_{\text{tel}} \cdot A_{\text{tel}} \cdot \text{td}_0 \cdot \Delta \nu_i \cdot t_0 \cdot \eta_{\text{feed}}} \cdot 2^{0.5} \quad \text{Factor of SQRT(2) assumes need for background subtraction (probably pessimistic as background can be estimated by averaging a number of scan points)}$$

1-σ; 1 sec. limiting flux densities (mJy):

$$S_{1\sigma_{1s_point}_i} := \frac{NEFDp_i}{2^{0.5}} \quad S_{1\sigma_{1s_field}_i} := \frac{NEFDf_i}{2^{0.5}} \quad S_{1\sigma_{1s_scan}_i} := \frac{NEFDs_i}{2^{0.5}}$$

1-σ; 1 hr. limiting flux densities (mJy):

$$Slim_{point_1hr}_i := \frac{S_{1\sigma_{1s_point}_i}}{(3600 \cdot \eta_{obs})^{0.5}} \quad Slim_{field_1hr}_i := \frac{S_{1\sigma_{1s_field}_i}}{(3600 \cdot \eta_{obs})^{0.5}} \quad Slim_{scan_1hr}_i := \frac{S_{1\sigma_{1s_scan}_i}}{(3600 \cdot \eta_{obs})^{0.5}}$$

Point source photometry in 7-point mode:

Loss in S/N for point source due to need to make a 7-point map:

$$loss_i :=$$

0.06
0.13
0.20

$$Slim_{point_1hr}_i =$$

0.467
0.46
0.481

5-σ 1 hr flux density limit in 7-point mode:

$$Slim_{7_pt_5_σ_1hr}_i := 5 \cdot Slim_{point_1hr}_i \cdot (1 + loss_i)$$

$$Slim_{7_pt_5_σ_1hr}_i =$$

2.5
2.6
2.9

Deep mapping of one field for 1 hour in jiggle-map mode:

Loss in S/N for point source due to need to make a map:

S/N improvement through pixel co-addition

$$SN_{imp} := 1.5$$

S/N reduction through decrease in integration time/point by factor of 16

$$SN_{red} := 4$$

Overall reduction in S/N

$$factor := \frac{SN_{imp}}{SN_{red}} \quad factor = 0.375$$

1 σ; 1-hr limiting flux density for field map (mJy)

$$\Delta S_{field_1hr}_i := \frac{Slim_{field_1hr}_i}{factor}$$

$$\Delta S_{field_1hr}_i =$$

1.8
1.7
1.8

Deep mapping of one field for 1 hour in scan-map mode:

Note: this would not be done in practice as the telescope turn-around overhead would be unacceptable. But the calculation allows the sensitivity for large-scale maps to be estimated.

1 σ; 1 hr limiting flux density for scan map (mJy)

$$\Delta S_{scan_1hr}_i := \frac{Slim_{scan_1hr}_i}{factor}$$

$$\Delta S_{scan_1hr}_i =$$

1.1
1.1
1.2

5-σ flux density limit (mJy) for 4 x 8 arcminute field (allow 25% margin)

$$margin := 1.25$$

$$\Delta S_{scan_5_σ_1hr}_i := \Delta S_{scan_1hr}_i \cdot 5 \cdot margin$$

$$\Delta S_{scan_5_σ_1hr}_i =$$

7.0
6.9
7.2

Time to map 1 sq.deg. to the confusion limit in scan-map mode

Confusion limit for 1 source per 40 beams (mJy) using source count models of Rowan-Robinson (2000)

$$\Delta S_{\text{conf_MRR}_i} :=$$

19
20
15

Take 15 mJy as required 5- σ limit for all three bands

$$\Delta S_{\text{conf}_i} :=$$

15
15
15

Time to reach confusion limit for one field at 5- σ (minutes)

$$T_{1_field_i} := \left(\frac{\Delta S_{\text{scan_5_}\sigma_1hr_i}}{\Delta S_{\text{conf}_i}} \right)^2 \cdot 60$$

$$T_{1_field_i} =$$

13.1
12.7
13.9

Required overlap between fields:

$$\text{overlap} := 1.2$$

Number of fields to be mapped for 1 sq. deg.

$$N_{\text{fields}} := \frac{60^2}{4.8} \cdot \frac{\text{overlap}}{\text{yield}}$$

Time needed (days)

$$T_{1_sq_deg_i} := N_{\text{fields}} \cdot T_{1_field_i} \cdot \frac{1}{60 \cdot 21}$$

$$T_{1_sq_deg_i} =$$

1.8
1.7
1.9

Note: It is assumed (pessimistically) that the overlap between fields does not lead to any S/N enhancement

Large area deep survey (nominally 100 sq. deg; 180 days):

Area of one field (sq. arcmin) taking bolometer yield into account

$$A_{\text{field}} := (4) \cdot (8) \cdot \text{yield} \quad A_{\text{field}} = 25.6$$

Area to be surveyed (sq. deg.)

$$A_{\text{surv}} := 100$$

Number of fields to be observed:

$$N_{\text{fields}} := \frac{A_{\text{surv}} \cdot 60^2}{A_{\text{field}}} \cdot \text{overlap} \quad N_{\text{fields}} = 16875$$

Time for survey:

$$T_{\text{days}} := 180 \quad T_{\text{months}} := T_{\text{days}} \cdot \frac{12}{365} \quad T_{\text{months}} = 5.9$$

$$T_{\text{hrs}} := T_{\text{days}} \cdot 21 \quad T_{\text{hrs}} = 3780$$

Time for each field (hrs):

$$T_{\text{Field}} := \frac{T_{\text{hrs}}}{N_{\text{fields}}} \quad T_{\text{Field}} = 0.224$$

1- σ ; 1-hr limiting flux density for scan map (mJy)

$$\Delta S_{\text{scan_1hr}_i} := \frac{\text{Slim_scan_1hr}_i \cdot \text{margin}}{\text{factor}}$$

Large survey 5- σ flux density limit (mJy):

$$\Delta S_{\text{surv_5}\sigma_i} := \Delta S_{\text{scan_1hr}_i} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T_{\text{Field}}} \right)^{0.5} \cdot 5$$

$$\Delta S_{\text{surv_5}\sigma_i} =$$

14.8
14.6
15.3

Summary of power loading and sensitivity calculations

	<u>Pdet absorbed (pW)</u>	<u>NEPs (W Hz-1/2 E-17)</u>	<u>NEFDs (mJy Hz-1/2)</u>
$\lambda_i =$	$P_{det_i} =$	$NEP_{ph_i} = NEP_{tot_i} =$	$NEFD_{p_i} =$ $NEFD_{f_i} =$ $NEFD_{s_i} =$
250	3.9	8.1 10.4	38 53 34
350	3.2	6.1 7.3	37 52 33
500	2.4	4.5 5.4	39 55 35

	<u>Point source 7-point (mJy) 5 σ 1 hr</u>	<u>Field Map (mJy 5 σ 1 hr)</u>	<u>Scan Map (mJy 5 σ 1 hr)</u>	<u>100 sq.deg. : 120 day survey (mJy 5 σ)</u>
$\lambda_i =$	$Slim_{7_pt_5_sigma_1hr_i} =$	$\Delta S_{field_1hr_i} \cdot 5 =$	$\Delta S_{scan_5_sigma_1hr_i} =$	$\Delta S_{surv_5sigma_i} =$
250	2.5	8.8	7.0	14.8
350	2.6	8.7	6.9	14.6
500	2.9	9.1	7.2	15.3

This Version

SPIRE_FTS_2_IIDR.MCD

Updated for IIDR

Previous versions**BOL_FTS4.MCD: 1 Dec. 1997:**

Dtel changed to 3.285

Bands changed to allow for same array sizes as in photometer and to correct for previous excessively broad band 1 (was 25-38 cm⁻¹, $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$ was 2.4)**BOL_FTS5.MCD: 7 Dec. 1997:**Modified to treat correctly variation of resolving power with wavelength: fixed resolution of 0.1 cm⁻¹ now assumed

Error in treatment of electrical filtering now corrected - flux limits now worse by sqrt(0.8)

Some other changes made to simplify computation and improve tabulation of results

BOL_FTS6.MCD: 11 Jan. 1998:Bands changed to extend upper wavelength to 15 cm⁻¹ (667 um)Cross-over put at 33.5 cm⁻¹ (300 um) to give equal photon noise NEP in the two bands.

Background power from calibration source now also included in photon noise calculation.

BOL_FTS7.MCD: 29 Sept. 1998:

Revised to include full set of mirrors

BOL_FTS8.MCD: 6 April 1999:

Revised for Mach Zehnder (ADES) configuration

BOL_FTS9.MCD: 7 May 1999:

Three-band system extending to 150 um

BOL_FTS10.MCD: June 1999:

QE term taken out of denominator

Overall efficiency set at 20%

Back to 2-band system

BOL_FTS11.MCD: 2 July 1999:

Corrected for efficiency of the intensity beam divider (to ~ 0.5)

SPIRE_FTS_1.MCD: 21 November 2000:

New version for Toledo meeting and System Review

* Multi-moding of both SW and LW bands now taken into account

* NEP contributions from each mode calculated separately and added in quadrature

* NEP now referred to the power absorbed by the detector

* Calculations done for the minimum and goal parameters of the detectors and feedhorns

Constants: $h \equiv 6.626 \cdot 10^{-34}$ $kb \equiv 1.3806 \cdot 10^{-23}$ origin := 1 $c \equiv 2.998 \cdot 10^8$

b := 1, 2.. 2

Plank

function:

$$B(\nu, T) := \frac{2 \cdot h \cdot \nu^3}{c^2 \cdot \left[\exp\left(\left(\frac{h \cdot \nu}{kb \cdot T}\right)\right) - 1 \right]}$$

Assumptions

Telescope	Temp.	Emissivity	Diameter	Area	Focal ratio
	$T_{tel} \equiv 80$	$\epsilon_{tel} \equiv 0.04$	$D_{tel} \equiv 3.285$	$A_{tel} \equiv 0.25 \cdot \pi \cdot D_{tel}^2$	$F_{tel} := 8.68$
Bolometers	NEP (*1E-17)	QE			
	$NEP_{det} \equiv 3.0$	$\eta_b \equiv 0.8$			
Telescope coupling efficiency (point source)	$\eta_{tel} \equiv 0.7$				$\eta_{obs_m} \equiv 0.8$ (jiggle map)
Cold stop attenuation of telescope background:			$\eta_{cs} := 0.8$		
FTS efficiency	Observing efficiency	Elec. filter efficiency	Cos^2 modn efficiency		
	$\eta_{obs} := 0.8$	$\eta_{elec} \equiv 0.8$	$\eta_{cosq} \equiv 0.5$		

Bolometer and feedhorn properties (see BDA Subsystem Spec. Doc. SPIRE-JPL-PRJ-000456):

Overall optical efficiency of horn + bolometer combination $\eta_{feed_min} := 0.45$ $\eta_{feed_goal} := 0.85$ $\eta_{feed_nom} := 0.7$

DQE of horn-bolometer combination

$DQE_{min_b} :=$ $DQE_{goal_b} :=$ $DQE_{nom_b} :=$

0.61
0.66

0.73
0.79

0.6
0.7

$\eta_{feed} := \eta_{feed_nom}$

$DQE_b := DQE_{nom_b}$

Beam divider reflection transmission, emissivity

$t_{bd} \equiv 0.487$ $r_{bd} \equiv 0.487$ $\eta_{bd1} \equiv 2 \cdot t_{bd} \cdot r_{bd}$ $\eta_{bd2} \equiv t_{bd}^2 + r_{bd}^2$
 $\eta_{bd1} = 0.5$ $\eta_{bd2} = 0.5$ $\epsilon_{bd} \equiv 1 - (t_{bd} + r_{bd})$ $\epsilon_{bd} = 0.03$

Temperature of 4-K and 15-K levels

$T_4 \equiv 5$ $T_{15} \equiv 11$

Diffraction loss at each mirror

$diffraction \equiv 0.97$

Emissivity of each mirror

$\epsilon_{mirr} \equiv 1 - 0.995$

Effective transmission of each mirror

$t_{mirr} \equiv 0.995 \cdot diffraction$ $t_{mirr} = 1.0$

Overall diffraction loss

$diff_loss := diffraction^{11}$ $diff_loss = 0.7$

	$k =$	$t_k \equiv$	$T_k \equiv$	$\epsilon_k \equiv$	$td_j =$
0 = Telescope	0.0	0.96	80	0.04	0.147
1 = CF11 (15 K)	1.0	0.90	T15	0.1	0.164
2 = CFIL2 (4 K)	2.0	0.9	T4	ϵ_{mirr}	0.182
3 = CIPM (M3)	3.0	t_{mirr}	T4	ϵ_{mirr}	0.189
4 = CBSM (M4)	4.0	t_{mirr}	T4	ϵ_{mirr}	0.195
5 = CRIM (M5)	5.0	t_{mirr}	T4	ϵ_{mirr}	0.203
6 = SPOM (M6)	6.0	t_{mirr}	T4	ϵ_{mirr}	0.210
7 = SIFM	7.0	t_{mirr}	T4	ϵ_{mirr}	0.217
8 = SIRM	8.0	t_{mirr}	T4	ϵ_{mirr}	0.225
9 = SBD_overall	9.0	η_{bd1}	T4	ϵ_{mirr}	0.475
10 = SCOM	10.0	t_{mirr}	T4	$2 \cdot \epsilon_{\text{mirr}}$	0.492
11 = SRTM	11.0	t_{mirr}^2	T4	ϵ_{mirr}	0.528
12 = SDCM	12.0	t_{mirr}	T4	ϵ_{bd}	0.547
13 = SBD2	12.0	1	T4	ϵ_{mirr}	0.547
14 = SCAM	13.0	t_{mirr}	T4	0.1	0.567
15 = SFIL3 (2 K)	14.0	0.9	2	0.4	0.630
16 = Bandpass (0.3 K)	15.0	0.7	0.3	0.1	
17 = Blocker (2 K)	15.0	0.9	0.3		

Transmission from element to detector

$$td_j \equiv \prod_{k=j+1}^{17} t_k$$

Array parameters

SW Band (243 μm): 37-element hex array of $2.0F\lambda$ feedhorns:

Array side: $W_{\text{array}} := 6.2 \cdot \frac{250 \cdot 10^{-6}}{\text{Dtel}} \cdot \frac{360}{2 \cdot \pi} \cdot 60$
 $W_{\text{array}} = 3.1 \text{ arcmin}$

LW Band (343 mm): 19-element hex array of $2.0F\lambda$ feedhorns:

Array side: $W_{\text{array}} := 4.2 \cdot \frac{350 \cdot 10^{-6}}{\text{Dtel}} \cdot \frac{360}{2 \cdot \pi} \cdot 60$
 $W_{\text{array}} = 2.9 \text{ arcmin}$

Bands

SW Band: 33.5 - 50 cm^{-1}

LW Band: 15 - 33.5 cm^{-1}

Band limits (cm^{-1})

$\sigma_{L_2} \equiv 33.5$ $\sigma_{U_2} \equiv 50$

$\sigma_{L_1} \equiv 15$ $\sigma_{U_1} \equiv 33.5$

Band limits (mm and Hz)

$\lambda_{L_b} := \frac{10^4}{\sigma_{U_b}}$ $\lambda_{U_b} := \frac{10^4}{\sigma_{L_b}}$

$\nu_{L_b} := c \cdot \sigma_{L_b} \cdot 100$ $\nu_{U_b} := c \cdot \sigma_{U_b} \cdot 100$

Band centre (mm and Hz)

$\nu_{0_b} := \frac{\nu_{L_b} + \nu_{U_b}}{2}$

$\lambda_{0_b} := \frac{c \cdot 10^6}{\nu_{0_b}}$

Band $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$

$R_b := \frac{\sigma_{U_b} + \sigma_{L_b}}{2 \cdot (\sigma_{U_b} - \sigma_{L_b})}$

Band limits (mm and THz) LW

SW

$\lambda_{L_b} =$	$\lambda_{0_b} =$	$\lambda_{U_b} =$	$\nu_{L_b} \cdot 10^{-12}$	$\nu_{0_b} \cdot 10^{-12}$	$\nu_{U_b} \cdot 10^{-12} = R_b =$	
299	412	667	0.45	0.73	1.00	1.31
200	240	299	1.00	1.25	1.50	2.53

Background power levels on the detectors

Assumptions:

1. All modes carry equal background power (per unit bandwidth) from the telescope
2. All modes couple equally well to the bolometer
3. Calibrator contributes same amount of power as the telescope

Throughput per mode $A\Omega(\nu) := \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^2 \cdot \eta_{cs}$

Coupling of higher order modes to telescope: Assume 50%
(cf. Martin Caldwell note presented at Boulder Feedhorn meeting): $\eta_{\text{higher}} := 0.5$

SW band (b = 2)

Designed cut-off wavelength for TE11 mode $\lambda_c := 310$ $\nu_{\text{TE11}_2} := 0.5 \cdot (\nu_{L_2} + \nu_{U_2})$

Required waveguide radius (μm) $r_o := \frac{\lambda_c \cdot 1.841}{2 \cdot \pi}$ $r_o = 91$ $\frac{r_o}{\lambda_c} = 0.3$

Cut-off wavelengths of higher modes (one higher mode can propagate)

$\lambda_{c_TM01} := \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot r_o}{2.405}$ $\lambda_{c_TM01} = 237$ $\nu_{c_TM01} := \frac{c \cdot 10^6}{\lambda_{c_TM01}}$ **Propagated** $\nu_{\text{TM01}_2} := \frac{\nu_{c_TM01} + \nu_{U_2}}{2}$

$\lambda_{c_TE21} := \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot r_o}{3.054}$ $\lambda_{c_TE21} = 187$ $\nu_{c_TE21} := \frac{c \cdot 10^6}{\lambda_{c_TE21}}$ **Not propagated**

TE11 power absorbed by detector from each element (pW) $P_{\text{TE11}_2, j} := 2 \cdot t_{d_j} \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot \eta_{\text{feed}} \cdot 10^{12} \cdot \int_{\nu_{L_2}}^{\nu_{U_2}} B(\nu, T_j) \cdot A\Omega(\nu) \, d\nu$ **Factor of 2 accounts for same background from calib. source in 2nd port**

$\text{Power}_{\text{TE11}_2} := \sum_{n=0}^9 P_{\text{TE11}_2, n}$ $\text{Power}_{\text{TE11}_2} = 4.9$

TE11 NEPph contribution

$\text{NEPph}_{\text{TE11}_2} := \left(2 \cdot \text{Power}_{\text{TE11}_2} \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot h \cdot \nu_{\text{TE11}_2}\right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{17}$ $\text{NEPph}_{\text{TE11}_2} = 9.0$

TM01 power absorbed by detector from each element (pW)

$P_{\text{TM01}_2, j} := \eta_{\text{higher}} \cdot 2 \cdot t_{d_j} \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot \eta_{\text{feed}} \cdot 10^{12} \cdot \int_{\nu_{c_TM01}}^{\nu_{U_2}} B(\nu, T_j) \cdot A\Omega(\nu) \, d\nu$

$\text{Power}_{\text{TM01}_2} := \sum_{n=0}^9 P_{\text{TM01}_2, n}$ $\text{Power}_{\text{TM01}_2} = 1.1$

TM01 NEPph contribution

$\text{NEPph}_{\text{TM01}_2} := \left(2 \cdot \text{Power}_{\text{TM01}_2} \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot h \cdot \nu_{\text{TM01}_2}\right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{17}$ $\text{NEPph}_{\text{TM01}_2} = 4.5$

Overall power for SW band $\text{Power}_{2, j} := P_{\text{TE11}_2, j} + P_{\text{TM01}_2, j}$

Overall NEPph for SW band (W Hz^{-1/2} * 1E-17) $\text{NEPph}_2 := \left[(\text{NEPph}_{\text{TE11}_2})^2 + (\text{NEPph}_{\text{TM01}_2})^2 \right]^{0.5}$

$\text{NEPph}_2 = 10.1$

LW band (b = 1)

Designed cut-off wavelength for TE11 mode

$$\lambda_c := 670$$

Required waveguide radius

$$r_o := \frac{\lambda_c \cdot 1.841}{2 \cdot \pi} \quad r_o = 196 \quad \frac{r_o}{\lambda_c} = 0.3$$

$$v_{o_TE11_1} := 0.5 \cdot (v_{L_1} + v_{U_1})$$

Cut-off wavelengths of higher modes (three higher modes can propagate)

$$\lambda_{c_TM01} := \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot r_o}{2.405} \quad \lambda_{c_TM01} = 513 \quad v_{c_TM01} := \frac{c \cdot 10^6}{\lambda_{c_TM01}} \quad \text{Propagated} \quad v_{o_TM01_1} := \frac{v_{c_TM01} + v_{U_1}}{2}$$

$$\lambda_{c_TE21} := \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot r_o}{3.054} \quad \lambda_{c_TE21} = 404 \quad v_{c_TE21} := \frac{c \cdot 10^6}{\lambda_{c_TE21}} \quad \text{Propagated} \quad v_{o_TE21_1} := \frac{v_{c_TE21} + v_{U_1}}{2}$$

$$\lambda_{c_TE01} := \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot r_o}{3.832} \quad \lambda_{c_TE01} = 322 \quad v_{c_TE01} := \frac{c \cdot 10^6}{\lambda_{c_TE01}} \quad \text{Propagated} \quad v_{o_TE01_1} := \frac{v_{c_TE01} + v_{U_1}}{2}$$

$$\lambda_{c_TE31} := \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot r_o}{4.201} \quad \lambda_{c_TE31} = 294 \quad v_{c_TE31} := \frac{c \cdot 10^6}{\lambda_{c_TE31}} \quad \text{Not propagated}$$

TE11 power absorbed by detector from each element (pW)

$$P_{TE11_1,j} := 2 \cdot t_{d_j} \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot \eta_{feed} \cdot 10^{12} \cdot \int_{v_{L_1}}^{v_{U_1}} B(v, T_j) \cdot A \Omega(v) \, dv$$

$$Power_{TE11_1} := \sum_{n=0}^9 P_{TE11_1,n} \quad Power_{TE11_1} = 7.0$$

TE11 NEPph contribution

$$NEP_{ph_TE11_1} := \left(2 \cdot Power_{TE11_1} \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot h \cdot v_{o_TE11_1} \right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{17}$$

$$NEP_{ph_TE11_1} = 8.2$$

TM01 power absorbed by detector from each element (pW)

$$P_{TM01_1,j} := \eta_{higher} \cdot 2 \cdot t_{d_j} \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot \eta_{feed} \cdot 10^{12} \cdot \int_{v_{c_TM01}}^{v_{U_1}} B(v, T_j) \cdot A \Omega(v) \, dv$$

$$Power_{TM01_1} := \sum_{n=0}^9 P_{TM01_1,n} \quad Power_{TM01_1} = 2.5$$

TM01 NEPph contribution

$$NEP_{ph_TM01_1} := \left(2 \cdot Power_{TM01_1} \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot h \cdot v_{o_TM01_1} \right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{17}$$

$$NEP_{ph_TM01_1} = 5.2$$

TE21 power absorbed by detector from each element (pW)

$$P_{TE21_1,j} := \eta_{higher} \cdot 2 \cdot t_{d_j} \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot \eta_{feed} \cdot 10^{12} \cdot \int_{v_{c_TE21}}^{v_{U_1}} B(v, T_j) \cdot A \Omega(v) \, dv$$

$$Power_{TE21_1} := \sum_{n=0}^9 P_{TE21_1,n} \quad Power_{TE21_1} = 1.5$$

TE21 NEPph contribution

$$NEP_{ph_TE21_1} := \left(2 \cdot Power_{TE21_1} \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot h \cdot v_{o_TE21_1} \right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{17}$$

$$NEP_{ph_TE21_1} = 4.2$$

TE01 power absorbed by detector from each element (pW)

$$P_{\text{TE01},j} := \eta_{\text{higher}} \cdot 2 \cdot t_{d,j} \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot \eta_{\text{feed}} \cdot 10^{12} \cdot \int_{\nu_{c_TE01}}^{\nu_{U1}} B(\nu, T_j) \cdot A\Omega(\nu) \, d\nu$$

$$\text{Power_TE01}_1 := \sum_{n=0}^9 P_{\text{TE01},n} \quad \text{Power_TE01}_1 = 0.4$$

TE01 NEPph contribution

$$\text{NEPph_TE01}_1 := \left(2 \cdot \text{Power_TE01}_1 \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot h \cdot \nu_{c_TE01} \right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{17}$$

$$\text{NEPph_TE01}_1 = 2.3$$

Overall power or LW band

$$\text{Power}_{1,j} := P_{\text{TE11},j} + P_{\text{TM01},j} + P_{\text{TE21},j} + P_{\text{TE01},j}$$

Overall NEPph for LW band

$$\text{NEPph}_1 := \left[(\text{NEPph_TE11}_1)^2 + (\text{NEPph_TM01}_1)^2 + (\text{NEPph_TE21}_1)^2 + (\text{NEPph_TE01}_1)^2 \right]^{0.5}$$

$$\text{NEPph}_1 = 10.8$$

Total power on detector (pW)

$$P_{\text{det}_b} := \sum_{n=0}^9 \text{Power}_{b,n}$$

$$P_{\text{det}_b} =$$

11.4
6.0

Note that total power is dominated by the telescope contribution

Power_{1,j} =

10.7
0.7
1.26·10 ⁻³
1.3·10 ⁻³
1.35·10 ⁻³
1.4·10 ⁻³
1.45·10 ⁻³
1.5·10 ⁻³
8.08·10 ⁻³
3.28·10 ⁻³
6.79·10 ⁻³
3.65·10 ⁻³
0.02
3.78·10 ⁻³
0.08
1.62·10 ⁻⁴

Power_{2,j} =

5.95
0.08
1.05·10 ⁻⁵
1.09·10 ⁻⁵
1.13·10 ⁻⁵
1.17·10 ⁻⁵
1.21·10 ⁻⁵
1.25·10 ⁻⁵
6.74·10 ⁻⁵
2.73·10 ⁻⁵
5.66·10 ⁻⁵
3.04·10 ⁻⁵
1.64·10 ⁻⁴
3.15·10 ⁻⁵
6.53·10 ⁻⁴
5.57·10 ⁻¹⁰

Spectral resolution (cm⁻¹ and Hz)

$$\Delta\sigma \equiv 1 \quad \Delta\nu \equiv c \cdot \Delta\sigma \cdot 100$$

Band centre and edges: wavelengths and resolving powers

$$\text{Res}_{L_b} := \frac{\nu_{U_b}}{\Delta\nu} \quad \text{Res}_{0_b} := \frac{\nu_{0_b}}{\Delta\nu} \quad \text{Res}_{U_b} := \frac{\nu_{L_b}}{\Delta\nu}$$

LW

$\lambda_{L_b} =$	$\text{Res}_{L_b} =$	$\lambda_{0_b} =$	$\text{Res}_{0_b} =$	$\lambda_{U_b} =$	$\text{Res}_{U_b} =$												
<table border="1"><tr><td>298.5</td></tr><tr><td>200.0</td></tr></table>	298.5	200.0	<table border="1"><tr><td>33.5</td></tr><tr><td>50.0</td></tr></table>	33.5	50.0	<table border="1"><tr><td>412.4</td></tr><tr><td>239.5</td></tr></table>	412.4	239.5	<table border="1"><tr><td>24.3</td></tr><tr><td>41.8</td></tr></table>	24.3	41.8	<table border="1"><tr><td>666.7</td></tr><tr><td>298.5</td></tr></table>	666.7	298.5	<table border="1"><tr><td>15.0</td></tr><tr><td>33.5</td></tr></table>	15.0	33.5
298.5																	
200.0																	
33.5																	
50.0																	
412.4																	
239.5																	
24.3																	
41.8																	
666.7																	
298.5																	
15.0																	
33.5																	

Photon noise levels and single-detector NEFD

Overall NEP
(W Hz-1/2 x 10-17)

$$NEP_{tot_b} := \frac{NEP_{ph_b}}{(DQE_b)^{0.5}} \quad \text{referred to the power absorbed by the detector}$$

Detector NEP
(W Hz-1/2 x 10-17)

$$NEP_{det_b} := \left[(NEP_{tot_b})^2 - (NEP_{ph_b})^2 \right]^{0.5}$$

NEFD (Jy Hz-1/2)

$$NEFD_b := \frac{NEP_{tot_b} \cdot 10^{-17} \cdot 10^{26}}{\eta_{elec} \cdot \eta_{cosq} \cdot \eta_{tel} \cdot A_{tel} \cdot t_{d_0} \cdot \Delta v \cdot t_0 \cdot \eta_{feed}}$$

NEFD_b =

2.0
1.7

Note: this is pessimistic in that the additional modes are assumed to couple to the telescope background but not to the source

Point source observation

Limiting flux density
(mJy 5-σ 1-hr)

$$S_{lim_b} := \frac{1000 \cdot NEFD_b \cdot 5}{(2 \cdot 3600 \cdot \eta_{obs})^{0.5}}$$

Limiting line strength
(mJy 5-σ 1-hr)

$$F_{lim_b} := \left(\frac{S_{lim_b} \cdot 10^{-26}}{1000} \cdot \Delta v \right)$$

Deep mapping of one field (jiggle-map mode):

Loss in S/N for point source due to need to make a map:

S/N improvement through co-addition of pixels

$$SN_{imp} := 1.5$$

S/N reduction through decrease in integration time per point by factor of 16

$$SN_{red} := 4$$

Overall reduction in S/N

$$\text{factor} := \frac{SN_{imp}}{SN_{red}} \quad \text{factor} = 0.375$$

Limiting flux density (mJy 5-σ 1-hr)

$$\Delta S_{1hr_b} := \frac{S_{lim_b}}{\text{factor}} \quad \Delta F_{1hr_b} := \frac{F_{lim_b}}{\text{factor}}$$

Summary:

	<u>Pdet absorbed</u> (pW)	<u>NEPs (W Hz-1/2 E-17)</u>	<u>Spectrophotometry</u> (mJy 5-σ; 1-hr) <u>Point source Map</u>	<u>Spectroscopy</u> (W m-2 5-σ; 1-hr) <u>Point source Map</u>			
	Pdet _b =	NEP _{ph_b} =	NEP _{tot_b} =	Slim _b =	ΔS _{1hr_b} =	Flim _b · 10 ¹⁷ =	ΔF _{1hr_b} · 10 ¹⁷ =
LW	11.4	10.8	13.9	130	347	3.9	10.4
SW	6.0	10.1	12.1	113	301	3.4	9.0