

From: pestaria@estec.esa.nl
Sent: 16 November 1998 09:39
To: tpassvog@estec.esa.nl; charra@ias.fr; H.Aarts@sron.ruu.nl;
k.j.king@rl.ac.uk; ohb@mpe-garching.mpg.de; butler@tesre.bo.cnr.it;
GPILBRAT@estec.esa.nl; jtauber@estec.esa.nl
Cc: pclaes@estec.esa.nl; aheras@estec.esa.nl
Subject: Comments to Minutes of the Commonality WG Steering Comm. meeting

Dear Steering Committee Members

Please find attached a set of comments to the minutes of the meeting held on the 11.11.1998. I do not intend to update the minutes and recirculate them. I suggest, therefore, that you attach this set to the existing minutes.

Regards

----- Forwarded by Pierre Estaria/estec/ESA on 16-11-98 10:34 -----

"J. Tauber, ESA Astrophysics Division" <jtauber@astro.estec.esa.nl> on 13-11-98 16:34:30

To: Pierre Estaria/estec/ESA

cc: gpilbrat@astro.estec.esa.nl

Subject: Comments to Minutes of the Commonality WG Steering Comm. meeting

Dear Pierre,

We have the following comments on the minutes of the FIRST/Planck Commonality Working Group Steering committee meeting:

WG#3. Last bullet: The Chairmen ... in the "FIRST/Planck Project reserved area" on the SCI-SA-DMS. Clarification: It is called the "FIRST-Planck domain" in SA-DMS.

WG#3 (RTA/QLA):

It was agreed that ... Third bullet; we do not recall that this was specifically agreed. It is an obvious thing to do, but only after you have decided on the requirements on the RTA/QLA. It is also not clear at this time that you can speak about "the common FIRST/Planck RTA/QLA"; this is something for this group to look into; there were reservations even about "a" (not to mention "the") common RTA/QLA in the meeting. This bullet should be removed or rephrased.

WG#6 (FINDAS/IDIS Commonality):

- Please remove the sentence "Emphasis to be put on the implementation of 3rd party clients". For the commonality working group the agreed additional task is to coordinate and specify the tests to be carried out on the FINDAS Prototype; and later to evaluate the results of the various tests carried out. Individual members of the group may wish to place emphasis on testing different aspects of the prototype, only one of which is that of 3rd party clients. Implementation is in any case an individual responsibility of the ICCs and DPCs, not of the commonality working group. Of course members may share implementation tasks if they so wish; they may organize this sharing themselves or the commonality working group may agree to facilitate it.

- Please change "the Committee expressed concern that SCI-SA was not in a position..." to "the Committee expressed concern that the FIRST Science Centre was not in a position...". G"oran Pilbratt in this case was not (and obviously could not have been) speaking for SCI-SA (B. Taylor) but for the FSC.

Best regards,

Jan and G"oran